

To Dono Authoris

Fibrary of the Theological Seminary.

PRINCETON, N. J.

Collection of Puritan Literature.

Division Section

Section

Number







CALITMALES

Permittee Christian.

MAN WEST

- Marie - Mari

P. M. AND THE RESERVED TO SERVED

The state of the s

THE

CALUMNIES

UPON THE

Primitive Christians

Accounted for.

OR, AN

ENQUIRY

Into the

Grounds, and Causes of the Charge of Incest, Infanticide, Atheism, Onolatria, or Ass-Worship, Sedition, &c. laid against the Christians, in the three first Centuries.

By ROB. TURNER, M. A. Vicar of St. Peter's in Colchester.

LONDON:

Printed by M. J. for J. and J. Bonwicke, at the Red Lion in St. Paul's-Church-Yard. 1727.



The Right Reverend Father in God

E D M U N D Lord Bishop of

LONDON

This Attempt to account for the Calumnies upon the Primitive Christians

Is humbly offer'd,

By

His Lordship's

Dutiful and Obedient Servant,

ROB. TURNER.

L D of which the world related to

ED MILLIND IN DOMESTING OF THE PORT OF THE

The Arrespot to account for

is hearthy offer'd,

Corpus By and of

Garage and office of the state of the state

M ANDA

WALLIUT ROW

AND MARKET THE STATE OF THE STA

THE

CONTENTS.

To be the same as from the same of the same of

TV OF A TICE

CHAP.I.

W HA'T Crimes the Primitive Christians were accus'd of.

CHAP. II.

General Reasons assign'd for those Calumnies.

CHAP. III.

The charge of Incest accounted for.

CHAP.

The CONTENTS.

CHAP. IV.

The carge of Infanticide accounted for.

CHAP. V.OD

The charge of Atheism accounted for.

CHAP. VI.

The charge of Kendhovohalend, or of worshipping the Head of an Ass accounted
for.

CHAP. VII.

The charge of Sedition, and being enemies to Cæsar accounted for.

CHAP. VIII.

The charge of Ignorance and Poverty

CHALL

CHAP.

The CONTENTS.

CHAP. IX.

The charge of Magick Arts accounted for.

CHAP. X.

The Heresies, and divisions in primitive Christianity, accounted for.

CHAP. XI.

The charge of corrupting the Sibyllin Oracles accounted for.

CHAP. XII.

The charge of worshipping a Cross accounted for.

THE

THE CONTENTS.

CHARLE IX

the stage of the same offer

Z MAHS

The Margini, and him was pringed will

IX // HO

The later was the property of the later with the

JIN SARS

THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF

FHT



THE

INTRODUCTION.

N the following sheets, I have endeavour'd to give some account, of the rise and progress, the grounds and occasions, of those various calumnies upon the Primitive Christians. An attempt of this nature must, I am sensible, be very impersect, though manag'd by a learned hand, and with the utmost dexterity, and care: because, though the learned Apologists have, with irresistible reasoning, eternally silenc'd all those cavils, and exceptions against the first Christians; yet they do not always mention the causes, that gave birth to those calumnies. Being therefore frequently destitute of authorities, I am oblig'd to have recourse to conjecture, and probability.

That the best of men shou'd be charg'd with the soulest of crimes, and the most innocent behaviour give the greatest offence. That professing the Christian religion, the best natur'd, and peace-

B

able Institution, the world was ever acquainted with, shou'd expose men to contempt, and ridicule, and to all the barbarous outrages, that hellish cruelty cou'd invent, is something very furprizing. And it will add to the wonder when we observe, for how long a time, those excellent Christians labour'd under those scandalous imputations. When innocence is now a days injur'd, and defam'd, it generally recovers its reputation, and esteem in a short time. An evil report seldom lasts long, unless it has some foundation, either in fact, or probability. But it was the hard fate of the Primitive Christians, for a great many Years together, to be charg'd with crimes, that their enemies only were guilty of. The calumnies feem to have commenc'd with Christianity it self, and to have continu'd above two hundred Years.

Tertullian mentions the charge of Incest and Infanticide, as of a long standing (a); and justly reproves the infidels, for bringing in so long a time, no better evidence than common Fame.

⁽a) Quod aliud negotium patitur Christianus, nisi sue seeta? quam incæstam, quam crudelem, tanto tempore nemo probavit. ad scapulam cap. 4. Merito igitur Fama tamdiu sola conscia est scelerum Christianorum. Hanc indicem adversus nos profertis, quæ quod aliquando jastavit, tantoque Spatio in Opinionem corroboravit, usque adhuc probare non valuit. Apol. cap. 8.

Justin Martyr is the first, that expresly tells us, the Christians were charg'd with those crimes, in an Apology presented to the Emperor Antoninus Pins, in behalf of the persecuted Christians, A. D. 139, or 140. 'Tis said, I own, in the same Apology, that it was then 150 years since the Birth of our Saviour: But this must be a mistake of the transcriber (b). For Antoninus's Edict in favour of the Christians, was occasion'd by Justin's Apology; and by the intercession of some Christians in Afia. The Edict was therefore posterior in point of time, to Justin's Apology: And fince the Edict bears date (c), in the third Consulate of Antoninus, A. D. 140, the Apology must be written, at the lowest, in the same year. But those calumnies prevail'd before Justin was a Christian; for he tells us (d), whilst he was a Philosopher of Plato's school, he us'd to wonder, how it came to pass, that the Christians shou'd be accus'd of indulging themselves in all manner of abominable, and unlawful pleasures, and yet at the same time fuffer, with the utmost chearfulness, and intrepidity, the most inhuman tortures, and even death it self; by which they were effectually depriv'd of all temporal pleasures, and enjoyments.

⁽b) Vide Cav. Hift. Lit. de Justin. Mart.

⁽c) Vide Just. Apol. 1. p. 135. Grab. Edit.

⁽d) Apol. 2. cap. 12. Grab. Edit.

The Introduction.

The famous Apologies of Quadratus, and Ariflides, extant in the times of Eusebius, and St. 7erome, being now loft, we are in the dark, as to the reign of the Emperor Adrian.

Pliny having inquir'd into the character, and behaviour of the Christians, in his letter to Trajan, ingenuously owns; that excepting their obstinate refufal to facrifice, - he found nothing criminal amongst them. - But though he clears them from any imputation of guilt, yet what he fays implies, that they had been violently suspected, and openly accused (e). Some of them he examin'd by Tortures; which won'd scarce have been done, unless he had entertain'd fome suspicion of guilt.

Melito of Sardis, an Apologist for the Christians, A. D. 170, lays the foundation of those lying accusations, in the reigns of Nero, and Domitian (f). And Tacins, speaking of Nero's cruelty towards the Christians, tells us, they were per flagitia invisos, hated for their wicked, and scandalous behaviour (g).

(g) Haud perinde in crimine incendii, quam odio hu-

mani generis convicti funt. Annal. L. 15.

⁽e) Nomen ipsum, etiamsi flagitiis careat, an flagitia cohærentia nomini puniantur. ---- Propositus est libellus sine authore, multorum nomina continens. Quo magis necessarium credidi, ex duabus ancillis, quæ ministræ dicebantur, quid esset veri & per tormenta quærere. Sed nihil aliud inveni, quam superstitionem pravam

[&]amp; immodicam. Plin Epist. L. 10. Epist. 97.

(f) àp àr à lò lis ouno quellas ado you ound sia sei les

St. Peter, in his first Epistle, suppos'd to be written about the beginning of Nero's reign, feems to allude to those accusations against the Christians, as prevailing in those times. Dearly beloved, I beleech you, as Arangers and pilgrims, abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul: having your conversation honest (or chast and temperate, for the word xaxhv includes every thing that is commendable) among the Gentiles, that whereas they speak against you as evil doers (as being guilty of most filthy, and incestuous Lusts) they may by your good works, which they shall behold, glorifie God in the day of visitation (b). But it will still appear more probable, that St. Peter had an eye to those very calumnies, that are mention'd by the Apologists afterwards; if we consider what Justin Martyr, a most unexceptionable witness, at a very little distance from the Apostolick age (i), tells us in his dialogue with Trypho. The Jews, he says, after our Lord's Refurrection, and Ascension, were so far from repenting of what they had done to the Lord of life, that they fent chosen men from Jerusalem, into all parts of the world, who reported wherever they came, that there was sprung up a wicked and

⁽b) 1 Pet. 2. 11, 12. c. 3. 16. c. 4. 4. As concerning this Sect, we know that it is every where spoken against. Ast. 28. 22.

⁽i) Me? 's πολυ τω; Αποςέλων. Ευβεb. L. 2. cap. 13.

atheistical sect of Christians, accusing us of those very things, which the infidels at present ignorantly charge us with (k). By which it appears, that the charge of infanticide, incest, and atheism, is as old as Christianity it self.

For how many years those excellent Christians were persecuted, by the standerous tongues of bigotted Jews, and idolatrous Pagans, I cannot precisely determine. About two hundred years after our Lord's Ascension, we find (1), the same aspersions cast upon the Christians, that were broach'd by the Jews, in the infancy of Christianity, and by them propagated all over the world, with most internal malice, and indefatigable industry. But 'tis probable, those original calumnies were dropped, and laid aside, about the middle of the third century; because succeeding writers take no notice of them, even when they give a particular account of fresh accusations, brought against the Christians of their own times (m).

⁽k) Καταλέρον]ες ταυτα, άπες καθ' ήμων δι άγνουντες ήμως πάντες λέγκουν. Dial. cum Tryph. p 235. vid. pag. 335. Origen. contra Cels. L. 6. p. 293. Cantab. Edit. Census istius disciplinæ, ut jam edidimus, a Tiberio est, cum odio sui cæpit simul veritas, atque apparuit inimica esse. Tertal. Apol. cap. 7.

⁽¹⁾ Vide Origen. cont. Cels. Min. Fel.

⁽m) Vide Ciprian. ad Demetrianum. Arnob. Lastant:

Since in all persecutions there is a pretence of guilt, and some suppos'd, imaginary crimes; we may reasonably conclude, that persecutions of all kinds ceas'd at the same time: and that when the Pagans came to be better acquainted with the Christians, they spar'd both their lives, and their reputations. This however is certain, that in the beginning of the fourth Century, those insamous Stories, and senseless cavils almost entirely ceas'd; and truth, and innocence, by the blessing of God, mightily prevail'd.

B 4

CHAP.



CHAP. I.

What Crimes the Primitive Christians were accus'd of.

Here was scarce any vice or folly, that human nature under a state of the greatest corruption, is capable of committing, but was imputed to the Primitive Christians. They were represented as Enemies to God, and man (a), to every thing that was Sacred, vertuous, and commendable. The best of their Actions, which merited the greatest applause; and will meet with a glorious reward, from the righteous Judge of all mankind, expos'd them to infamy, and contempt, to dangers, and cruelty. The greatest innocence was then the greatest crime, and they were suspected to be guilty of the vilest actions, only because they were the best of men.

I. One of the heaviest accusations they labour'd under, was that of promiscuous, and incestuous lusts. They were charg'd with a community of

⁽a) Christianum hominem omnium scelerum reum, Deorum, Imperatorum, Legum, Morum, naturæ totius inimicum existimas. Tertull. Apol.

Wives; and of having a criminal, and unnatural commerce, with their own mothers, and fifters (b). Their feafts of charity, kept with the strictest, and most religious sobriety, were tax'd with abominable lewdness, and debauchery. After supper when they were heated, and grown wanton with a luxurious diet, the candles were put out (as the pagans reported it) and every man enjoy'd the woman, he accidentally met with (c).

(c) — Μεζά Ίὰν ελαπίνην ὑπος Θέρνυν ζες τὰς λύχνες, ἀθέστωις μίζεσην ἐγχωλιόμεδα. Just. Mart. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 227.

Et de convivio notum est. Passim omnes loquuntur. Id etiam cirtensis nostri testatur oratio. Ad epulas solemni die coeunt, cum omnibus liberis, sororibus, matribus, sexus omnis homines, et omnis ætatis. Illic post multas Epulas, ubi convivium caluit, et incestæ libidinis servor exarsit, canis qui candelabro nexus est, jastu offulæ ultra spatium lineæ, qua vinstus est, ad impetum et saltum provocatur. Sic everso & extinsto conscio lumine, impudentibus tenebris nexus infandæ cupiditatis involvunt, per intereum sortis: & si non omnes opera, conscientia tamen pariter incesti; quoniam voto universorum appetitur, quicquid accidere potest in actu singulorum. Min. Fel. vide Tertull. Apol. cap. 1. 2. 7. ad Scapulam. cap. 4. ad Uxorem. L. 2. cap. 4.

⁽b) — Φασκόν ων ώς κοινας άπάν ων έσας τας γυναϊκας πμών, κ) διαφόρω μίζει ξυνόντας, επ μην κ) ταις ιδίαις άδελφαϊς συμμίγνυδς. Theoph at Autolyc. L. 3. Vide Justin. Mars: Apol 1, 2 Athenag. Legat. p. 4. Iren. L. 1. cap. 24. Glem. Alex. L. 3. Strøm. Oxon Edit. p. 511. Origen. contra Cels. L. 6. p. 293, 302. Euseb. Hist. Eccles. L. 4. cap. 7. L. 5. cap. 1.

10 The Crimes charg'd upon

II. They were charg'd with Infanticide, with murdering of Infants, and eating them. A very young sucking infant was brought, cover'd over with paste, lest any one shou'd be shock'd at the intended barbarity. The child was prick'd all over with pins, or bodkins; and as soon as it was dead, they cut the body in pieces, and ate it. They drank the blood, and mutually engag'd not to divulge their facred mysteries. This was said to be the Christians method of initiation (d).

III. They were accus'd of Atheism (e).

Τςία οποημίζεσιν ήμεν εγκλήματα, Αθεότητα, Ουέςεια

Sanra, Oldmodeiss uiges. Athenag, Legat. p. 4.

⁽d) Dicimur sceleratissimi de sacramento infanticidii, & pabulo inde, & post convivium incesto. Tertul. Apol. cap. 7. ad Scapulam. cap. 4. Jam de initiandis tirunculis fabula tam detestanda, quam nota est. Infans farre contectus, ut decipiat incautos, apponitur ei qui sacris imbuitur: is infans a tirunculo farris superficie, quasi ad innoxios ictus provocato, cæcis occultisque vulneribus occiditur: hujus, proh Nefas! Sitienter sanguinem lambunt, hujus certatim membra dispertiunt, hac fæderantur hostia, hac conscientia sceleris ad filentium mutuum pignerantur, hæc facra facrilegiis omnibus tetriora. Min. Felix. Vide Tatian. Affyr. contra Gracos. Theoph. ad Autolyc. L. 3. Athenag. Legat. pro Christianis. p. 4. Justin. Mart. Apol. 1, 6 2. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 227. Origen. contra Cels. L. 6. p. 293. p. 302. Eufeb. Hift. Ecclef. L. 4. cap. 7. L. 5. cap. 1. Hieron. ad Marcellam adv. Monsanum.

⁽e) --- Evbérde nà desse nendiqueda. Just. Mort.

Aige Tes aleus. Eccles. Smyrn. de Polycarp. Mart. cap. 9. vide Euseb. L. 4. cap. 15. Tertull. Apol. cap 10. Min. Fel-Arnob. p. 16, 21, 116.

IV. Of worshipping the head of an Ass (f).

V. Of Sedition, and being enemies to Casar (g).

VI. They were represented as very ignorant, and unlearned: as the scum and refuse of the people (b).

VII. They were charg'd with practifing of

magick.arts (i).

(f) Nam ut quidam somniastis, caput asininum esse Deum nostrum. Tertull. Apol. cap. 16. Sed nova jam Dei nostri in ista civitate proxime editio publicata est, ex quo quidem in frustrandis bestiis mercenarius noxius picturam proposuit cum ejusmodi inscriptione, Deus Christianorum Ononychices. Is erat auribus asininis, altero pede ungulatus, librum gestans, & togatus. cap 16. Audio eos turpissimae pecudis caput asini consecratum inepta nescio qua persuasione venerari. Digna & nata religio talibus moribus. Min. Fel.

(g) Circa Majestatem Imperatoris infamamur. Tertull. ad Scap. cap. 2. Publici hostes Christiani. Apol. cap. 35. vide cap. 29. & sequent. vide Origen. contra Cels. L. 1. Min. Fel.

(b) Qui de ultima fæce collectis imperitioribus, & mulieribus credulis sexus sui facilitate labentibus, plebem profanæ conjurationis instituunt. Min. Fel. p. 71.

maxime indoctis, impolitis, rudibus, agrestibus, quibus non est datum intelligere civilia, multo magis denegatum est dissere edivina. Ibid. p. 112. Mores haldies, κὶ ἀνεινείς, κὶ ἀναιδήτες, κὶ ἀνδιφαποδα, κὶ γυναῖα. κὶ παιδάρια, πίθει εὐελειν τὰς διδασκοντας τὸν θείον λόγον. Origen. contra Cels. L. 3. p. 141. Vide p. 121, 137, 144. Nam interdum isti muliebrem, aut anilem superstitionem vocant. Lastan. L. 5. c. 13. Audeant inquam disputare nobiscum aliquid ejusmodj. Jam profecto ab aniculis quas contemnunt,& a pueris nostratibus error illorum ac stultitia irridebitur. Ibid. c. 19. Vide Arneb. p. 15, 45, 51, 66, 109. varior. Edit.

(i) Mera ταῦτα, ἀκοῖθα πόθεν κινέμενος, ὁ Κέλσός φησι, δαιμόνων πνῶν ὀνόμασι, ἢ γατακλήσεσι δοκῶν ἰχύων Χεισιανές. Origen. contra Cels. L. 1. p. 7, 30. L. 6. p. 302 Eu-

Seb. H. E. L. 3. cap. 26.

12 The Crimes charg'd upon

VIII. The various and clashing opinions amongst the Christians, was made an objection against them, and their Religion (k).

IX. They were charg'd with corrupting, and

interpolating the Sibyllin oracles (1).

X. They were said to worship a cross (m).

XI. To worship the sun (n).

XII. They were faid to be the cause of all the fignal calamities that befell mankind (0).

(k) Επειδή δή ἀκόλεθόν ἐςι σερὸς τὰ ὑσο Ελλήνων. ἢ Ιεδείων ἐπιρερέμενα ἡμῖν εγκλήματα ἀπολ γήσαδοι — σρῶτον μὲν ἕν ἀυτο Ἱἔτο σεροσάγεσιν ἡμῖν, λέρντες, μὴ δεῖν πιςεύειν διὰ Ἰὴν διαραγίαν Ἰῶν Αιρέσεων Clem Alex. Strom. 7. p. 886. Oxon. Edit. vide Origen. con. Cels. L. 3. p. 117. 118. L. 5. p. 273. Cantab. Edit.

(1) Origen. con. Cels. L. 7. p. 368, 9. Lactan. L. 4. c. 15. Constantini Orat. ad Sanctorum cat. cap. 19. Augustin de civit.

Dei. L. 18. c. 46. 47.

(m) Et qui hominem summo supplicio pro sacinore punitum, & crucis ligna seralia eorum ceremonias sabulantur, congruentia perditis sceleratisque tribuit altaria, ut id colant quod merentur. Min. Fel. p. 86. ex Officin. Hackiana. Non adorandæ sed subeundæ cruces. p. 105. vide Tersull. Apol. cap. 16. Pamel Edit. Cyrill. con. Julian. L. 6.

(n) Alii plane humanius, & verisimilius, solem credunt

Deum nostrum. Tertull. Apol. c. 16.

(0) —— Existiment omnis publicæ cladis, omnis popularis incommodi, Christianos esse causam. Si Tiberis ascendit in mænia, Si Nilus non ascendit in arva, Si cælum stetit, Si terra movit, Si fames, Si lues, Christianos ad leonem. Tertull. Apol. c. 4c. ad Scap. cap. 3. Dixisti per nos sieri, & quod nobis debeant imputari omnia ista, quibus nunc mundus quatitur & urgetur, quod dii vestri a nobis non colantur. Cyprian. ad Demetrian. Oxon. Edit. vide Oros. con. Pagan. Origen. Træst. 28 in Matt. Euseb. H. E. L. 9. c. 7. Arnob. con. gentes. L. 1. Augustin. de Civit. Dei. L. 2.

XIII.

XIII. They were charg'd with an unfociable refervedness and taciturnity, and with performing their religious acts in privacy, and obscurity (p).

XIV. They were faid to worthip the genitals

of their priests (q).

XV. They were tax'd with obstinacy and defperation, madness and folly (r).

These

(p) Celfus frequently calls the Christian religion, 200000 Soy un. Origen. con. Cels. p. 7. & passim. Latebrosa & Lu-cifugax natio, in publicum muta, in angulis garrula. Min. Fel. p. 75. vide p. 85. 92. 106. Hæcest doctrina sanctorum Prophetarum, quam Christiani sequimur: hac nostra sapientia, quam isti, qui vel fragilia colunt, vel inanem Philosophiam tuentur, tanquam stultitiam, vanitatemque derident: quia nos defendere hanc publice, atque afferere non solemus, Deo jubente, ut quieri, & silentes arcanum ejus in abdito, atque intra nostram conscientiam teneamus; nec adversus istos vere profanos, qui non discendi, sed arguendi, atque illudendi gratia, inclementer Deum, ac religionem ejus impugnant, pertinaci contentione certemus. Abscondi enim, tegique mysterium quam sidelissime oportet : maxime a nobis, qui nomen fidei gerimus. Verum illi hanc taciturnitatem nostram, velut malam conscientiam, criminantur: unde etiam quasdam execrabiles opiniones. de pudicis, & innocentibus fingunt. Lastant. L. 7 cap 27.

(q) Alii eos ferunt ipfius antistitis ac sacerdotis colere genitalia, & quasi parentis sui adorare naturam. Nescio an falsa, certe occultis ac nocturnis sacris apposita suspicio.

Min. Fel. p. 85.

(r) Neque enim dubitabam, qualecunque esset quod saterentur, pervicaciam certe, & inflexibilem obstinationem debere puniri. Fuerunt alii similis amentiæ. Plin ad Trajan. L. 10. Epist. 97. —— Μὰ κατὰ Διλὰν παράταξιν ἀς δι Χρισιανοί. Marc. Antonin. L. 11. cap. 3. Sed quidam dementiam existimant, quod cum possimus & sacrificare in præsenti, & illæsi abire, manente apud animum proposito, obstinationem saluti præseramus. Tertull Apol. c. 27. de spectac. c 1. —— χ ἐπαθὰ ζῶν πολλῶν ζῆ ἀυτῆ ἀπονοία.

14 The Crimes charg'd, &c.

These are all, or at least, the most material objections against the Christians, for the first three hundred years. I shall not take it upon me, to consute those calumnies: this has been already done, by several of the primitive writers, with great judgment, and unanswerable reasoning: but particularly by Tertullian, whose Apology discovers an uncommon, and masterly genius, and is one of the most beautiful pieces, that we meet with in antiquity.

διαμενόντων ἐωςῶμεν. Maxim. Edist. apud Eufeb. H. E. L. 8. cap. 17. vide Min Fel. p. 71. Lastan. L. 4. c. 9. Arrian. in Epistes. L. 4. cap. 7.



CHAP. II.

General reasons assign'd for those calumnies.

Efore I proceed to inquire, into the grounds and occasions of every distinct article of accusation, against the primitive Christians, I shall make some general observations, which will, in some measure, solve the disticulty, and discover the original source of every particular calumny.

I. The Heathens bore an implacable hatred towards the Christians, and some of them carried their prejudices so far, that they wou'd not so much as speak to a Christian (a). The soundation of this universal hatred and abhorrence of the Christian name, seems to have been laid by the Jews; who immediately after our Lord's Ascension, sent chosen men into all parts of the world, on purpose to defame the innocent Christians, and to represent them as monsters. They curs'd them

⁽s) Origen. contra Cels. L. 6. p. 294.

folemnly in their synagogues (b). They accus'd them before the heathen magistrates: and were always the most active, and forward in every perfecution (c).

Another cause of this general hatred was deriv'd from the nature of the Christian religion; which condemn'd at once, the whole system of the pagan Theology; and run counter to the fertled maxims, and notions of a corrupt, superstitious, and idolatrous world. The Gentiles look'd upon the religion of their country, as of a facred original: on the influence of which depended their publick, and private happiness, and security: and to see their Gods treated with contempt, and all their facred rites and ceremonies condemn'd by the Christian religion, made them conceive an irreconcileable aversion to all its professors, and to treat them as the vilest of men, and the worst of creatures. Hence they were willing to hear, and believe the heaviest accusations against the Christians: and ready to forge, or propagate any ridiculous story, that reflected a scandal upon them, or their religion. Hence they

(c) Epist. Ecclesiæ Smyrnens. de Polycarpi Martyrio. cap. 12.

13. 18. vide Justin. Mart. Apol. 1.

censur'd,

⁽b) Καταςώμενοι εν ταῖς συναγωμαῖς ύμῶν Τὰς πεθυοντας ἐπὶ ζὸν Χριςόν. Just. Mart. dial. cum Tr)ph. p. 234. 266. 366. vide Hieron, Comment. in If. 5. 18.

censur'd, what they did not understand, accus'd the Christians without Evidence, and condemn'd them without giving them a hearing. They wou'd not give themselves the trouble of an enquiry, for fear they shou'd discover those to be innocent, whom they hated, and were resolv'd to ruine (d). And this I take to be one principal cause, and the grand foundation of all those horrid, and scandalous accusations against the primitive Christians.

The truth of this observation will be further evinc'd, if we reslect upon the religious disputes amongst Christians; for human nature is still the same; and the same causes, will produce the same Essects. Even now a days, under the glorious light of the Gospel of Jesus Christ, our religious differences generally terminate in the vilest calumnies, and the grossest abuses: and have a fatal tendency to divest men of all common humanity, and pity, and to destroy all those excellent qualities, and vertues, that are the peculiar grace, and ornament of a Christian. To attempt a reformation of the grossest abuses, and corruptions in Religion, when countenanc'd by great names, and great numbers, and recommended by an old immemori-

⁽d) Hinc vulgus in odium nostri nominis cogunt (dæmones) ut nos odisse incipiant homines, antequam nosse: ne cognitos aut imitari possint, aut damnare non possint. Cyprian. de Idolorum vanitate. p. 14. vide Tertull. Apol. c. 1.

al custom; will inevitably bring upon the reformers of them, the same, or as great hardships and miseries, as the primitive Christians met with, from the hands of their barbarous Persecutors. The cruelties of the church of Rome towards the Protestants, are a remarkable proof of this: and 'tis notorious enough, what an infinite number of the most palpable falsities, have been confidently afferted by some, and implicitly believ'd by others, merely with a design, to blacken, and discredit the Protestant Reformation.

" The Huguenots in France (as Mr. Mezeray " inform's us) were charg'd with strange crimes. " It was faid they roafted young Children, and " after they had made very good chear, the lights " were all put out, and fo men and women " mingled together. Mezeray's Hist. of France. p. " 648. Two Informers depos'd, that at those mid-" night assemblies they did eat of the paschal " lamb, and roasted pigg; and afterwards put " out the lights, and mingled in an unclean man-" ner. — This calumny was spread abroad by " the populace, told in the Louvre, and brought " to the ears of the King and Queen: and tho' " the witnesses had been convicted of Falshood " by the Chancellour, that did not hinder it from " making some impression on the Queen. Ibid. ° p. 660.

II. The Heathens were almost as much strangers to the Christians, as if they had liv'd at the remotest distance from them; and therefore 'tis no great wonder, that they so scandalously misrepre-

fented

sented their characters, and behaviour. Tertullian tells them, that they did not understand even the word Christian: which they pronounc'd Chrestianus; and our Lord they call'd Chrestus (e). They were perfectly unacquainted with the manners of the Christians, and the principles of their Religion, and were refolv'd not to learn (f): for they must be conscious, that many of those scandalous accufations were malicious, groundless, and false; and that if they shou'd give the Christians a fair and publick hearing, which was all the favour they desir'd, they cou'd not with any appearance of justice, and reason, oppress them any longer; and shou'd want a specious plea, for further persecutions. Besides, they look'd upon the Christians as the vilest, and most contemptible creatures upon earth: to whom, not the common offices of humanity, and justice, were due: and not to punish them without proof, or evidence of guilt, was indulging them in too great privileges, and putting them upon a level with the rest of mankind.

(e) —— Perperam Chrestianus pronunciatur a vobis, nam nec nominis certa est notitia penes vos. Apol. c. 3. vide Lastant. de vera Sapient. p. 183. Sueton. in vita Claudii.

vide Lastant. de vera Sapient. p. 183. Sueton. in vita Claudii.

(f) — & 3 δ διδεν τὰ καβ΄ ἡμᾶς, ἐδὲ βέλει μαθεῖν τος λή με β συναπάγε η κενᾶ διδ κὴ κείνε η διώκεσι τύγυν ἡμᾶς,
ἐκ ἀδίκες είναι καταλαβόνζες, ἀλλ' κύτω μόνω τω Χεισιανὰς
είναι, τὰ βίον ἀδικεῖν ὑπολαμβάνονζες. Clem. Alen. Strom.
4. p. 598.

The Christians living in so obscure, and retir'd a manner, was another reason, why the heathens were such perfect strangers to the Christians, and their religion. They were call'd latebrofa, & lucifugax natio. A people that liv'd in silence and obscurity, and refus'd to appear in publick. This accusation, I own, was in a great measure true; and yet the Christians were very far from deserving censure, upon that account. For how cou'd they frequent their places of publick refort, their temples, or theatres, without hearing, or feeing fomething, or other, that must give offence to any good Christian (g)? The whole world was overrun with Idolatry; and almost the whole race of mankind, was tainted with most capital vices. How could they converse freely with the heathens, when they were pointed at, and expos'd, treated with the utmost contempt, and the utmost cruelty, and continually in danger of being dragg'd to execution? But when the storms of persecution abated, and the Christians cou'd converse with the infidels, without the hazard of their lives, and the violation of their consciences: when the Apologists undertook the defence of the Christian cause,

⁽g) Tas Θέας τη μονομάχων ημίν απιίκη οράν. — αλλ. εδε τας λοιτας θεωείας δεάν χεή. Theoph. ad Autol. L. 3. vid. Tertullian. de Spectac. de Idololat.

and their writings came into the hands of their enemies: then the prejudices against the Christians gradually wore off, the calumnies ceas'd, and a great number of converts daily crouded into the church. Had the Christians been permitted openly to plead their own cause, and the infidels condescended to hear, what they did not understand; those lying accusations cou'd not have been of long continuance, and the calumnies must have been dropp'd, almost as soon as forg'd.

The continuance of that mortal hatred and averfion, that Christians of different parties, and denominations, at present bear to one another, is chiefly
to be ascrib'd, to the want of a free conversation,
and acquaintance, one with another. Adisference in
religious, or even political matters, generally keeps
the contending parties at a very great distance;
and makes them hate, and censure, whom they
do not know: but a familiar conversation, and a
willingness to hear, what every man has to say in
his own justification, wou'd foon discover the absurdity, and falshood of those mutual censures,
and accusations: wou'd be a means of reconciling
our differences, and of promoting the Glory of God,
peace on earth, and good will towards men.

III. The charge against the primitive Christians was founded upon groundless, and irrational surmises, and supported onely by the Evidence of common same. The insidels had opportunities enough of discovering the truth; but this was not

3

what

what they desir'd. They hated the poor Christians, and therefore every ridiculous tale, tho' equally false, and improbable, gain'd an easy credit with a bigotted heathen. There is but little difficulty in persuading men to believe, what they wish to be true. What if the Christians liv'd in a melancholy retirement, and had their religious asfemblies in the night? they were narrowly watch'd by their enemies: they were frequently furpris'd whilst at their devotions (h). Many of them had unbelieving fervants (i), who neither wanted inclination to accuse their masters, nor opportunity to know what they did at their facred affemblies: but all this while there was not one fingle witness of any tolerable credit produc'd, to justify the truth of any one article of accusation. No one had ever the good fortune, to see that Ass's head, the God of the Christians: nor any one of those infants, they were faid to murder. Cecilius (k) charges the

(i) Καὶ δ΄ δλοί εἰσιν, ἡμῖν πῖς μλὸ ἢ πλείκς, τοῖς ἡ ἐλάπμς ες ἐκ ἔςτ λαθείν ἀλλὰ ἢ τέτων ἐδείς καθ ἡωκό τὰ τηλεκαῦτα ἐδὲ καθε ψένσατο. Αι kenag. Legat. p. 38.

⁽b) Tot hostes ejus, quot extranei; & quidem proprii ex æmulatione Judæi, ex concussione milites, ex natura ipsi etiam domestici nostri. Quotidie obsidemur, Quotidie prodimur, in ipsis plurimum cætibus, & congregationibus nostris opprimimur. Tertull. Apol. cap. 7.

⁽k) Nescio an falsa, certe occultis ac nocturnis sacris apposita suspicio. Vera declarat ipsius pravæ religionis obscuritas. Cur etenim occultare & abscondere quicquid illud colunt magnopere nituntur? Cum honesta semper publico gaudeant, scelera secreta sint. Min. Fel. p. 85. 90.

Christians with monstrous, and unnatural crimes: but pretends to no evidence, but common report: and he grounds a suspicion of guilt upon the no-Aurnal assemblies, and the privacy, and obscurity of the Christian worship.

Sometimes I own, servants, women, and children, were prevail'd upon by menaces and tortures, to accuse the innocent Christians (1): and what wonder is it, that people of wicked dispositions, or of a weak and timorous nature, shou'd be tempted to tell a lye, to fave their lives, or to prevent a shocking and inhumane cruelty? They did not rack them, with an intention to extort the truth: but they expresly commanded them to accuse the Christians of incest, infanticide, and of eating human flesh; and upon their refusal, they tortur'd them, till they made them fay what they pleas'd. In that famous account of the Martyrs of Lyons and Vienne in Gaul, preserv'd by Eusebins, we find (m), that heathen servants were brought before the Roman General; who being terrify'd at those cruelties, they saw the Martyrs suffer, were prevail'd upon by the Roman foldiers, to accuse the Christians of unnatural lusts, of murdering of infants, and eating them: and as if the evidence

⁽¹⁾ Ei, หิลชนิงนร ลีกหะชาวง อีเหยาสุร ซีที ที่นะซะรูดง, ที่ หนีโปลุ, ที่ ywiaia, หู ปี สีเหตุเห็บ จุดยะรูดง ปัฐลงสโทย์ใชก หลีโลสลัง ชามีของสนุบเทืองอาจาร์เป็นล. โมหิ. Mart. Apol. 1. (m) Euseb. Hist. Ettles. L. 5. cap. 1.

had been convincing, and demonstrative, the Perfecution was renew'd with greater fury. Long after this, in the reign of Maximin, certain infamous women at Damaseus, being threatned with tortures, own'd that they had formerly been Christians, and had been eye witnesses of all those scandalous, and tragical villainies, that were faid to be transacted by the Christians, in private. The testimony of those lewd women was inserted into the spurious acts of Pilate, a fable stuff'd with ridiculous blasphemies, and dress'd up purely with an intention, to expose the Christian name. These Acts were, by Maximin's authority, dispers'd over the Roman Empire; and commanded to be read at the publick Schools (n). To supply the defect of all this sham evidence against the Christians. the heathers sometimes made their Gods accuse them: and pretended they had some divine, oracular commission, to persecute the Christians (o).

But tho' the generality of the heathens censur'd and condemn'd the Christians, upon no better evidence, than that I have mention'd: yet several of the wifer and better fort amongst them, gave no credit to those vulgar calumnies. Even Trypho the lew, who can never be suspected of partiality in favour of the Christians, fairly owns, that those

⁽n) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. L. 9. cap. 5, 7. (o) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. L. 9. cap. 3.

flanderous reports were a groundless fiction (p), Pliny, tho' a bigotted, and superstitious pagan, after a strict and diligent enquiry, had so much justice and humanity in him, to pronounce the Christians innocent of the popular charge (9). Serenius Granianus, Proconsul of Asia, complains of the unreasonable hardships the Christians laboured under (r). The Emperour Adrian's letter to Minucius Fundanus, and the Edicts of Antoninus Pius, and of Marcus Antoninus, are all in favour of the Christians (f): and in a word, those heathens who treated the Christians with most justice and mercy, were generally reputed, some of the best and wifest of men: 'and the bitterest enemies of Christianity were men, of the most infamous characters, even according to the representation of the gentile historians (t).

IV. Because most of the first converts to Christianity were Jews, and the Christian and Jewish Religion agreed in many particulars; several of the heathens call'd the first Christians Jews, and

⁽p) Πεεὶ ή ὧν ὁι πολλοὶ λέγκσιν, ἐ πιτεῦσαι ἄξιον πόρρω δ κεχάρηκε της ἀνθρωπίνης φύστως. Just. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 227.

⁽q) Epist. 97. ad Trajan.

⁽r) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. L. 4. C. 8. (f) Just. Mart. Apol. 1. Euseb. L. 4. C. 9, 13, 26.

⁽¹⁾ Tales semper nobis insecutores, injusti, impii, turpes; quos & ipsi damnare consuestis, & a quibus damnatos restituere soliti estis. Tertul. Apol. C. 5.

their religion a Jewish superstition (u): and whilst they were under this mistake, and foolishly imagin'd, that Judaism, and Christianity was pretty near the same thing; they fastned the same reflections upon the Christians, that had been formerly thrown upon the Jewish nation. This seems to have been partly the soundation, of some of those original Calumnies, against the Primitive Christians.

The Fable of the Ass's head, the pretended God of the Christians, seems to have been deriv'd (as I shall shew hereafter more at large) from that stale and senseless restection upon the Jewish worship, mention'd by Apion, Tacitus, and some others (w). The charge of infanticide, and of eating human sless, is pretty much a-kin to Apion's tale of a Greek, that was annually murder'd, and eaten by the Jews, and pretended to be found in their temple, by Antiochus Epiphanes (x). The charge of promiscuous, and incestuous lusts, suits very well with the character, that Tacitus

ment. 4. c. 13.
(w) Joseph. contro Apion. l. 2. Tacit. l. 5. Hist. Damocrit.
spud Suid. voc. Indus.

⁽u) Judwos, impulsore Chresto, assidue tumultuantes, Roma expulit. Sueton. in vita Claud. Vide Arrian. in Epistet. 1. 2. c. 9. Recognit. S. Clementis. 1. 10. c. 64. Homil. Glement. 4. c. 13.

gives of the Jews (y). The Christians were accus'd of an unsociable reservedness, and of treating all men with difrespect, excepting those of their own persuasion: and the same objection was made against the Jews (2). The Christians were faid to be movers of sedition, and enemies to Casar: and the same accusation was laid against the Jewish Nation (a).

V. The infidels would make no distinction between real and nominal Christians, and condemn'd the whole body of Christians, for the vices, or errors, of a few wicked men. There always was, and always will be, amongst those who profess the name of Christ, some men of debauch'd, profligate lives, and impious principles: and there were hereticks in primitive Christianity, that were infamous for many blasphemous tenets, and for all manner of abominable lewdness, and debauchery. From these was deriv'd a great load of infamy, upon the whole Christian name: and the religion of the Holy Jesus was blasphem'd amongst the gentiles, for their sakes. The true and

⁽y) Projectissima ad libidinem gens, alienarum concubitu abstinent, inter se nihil illicitum. - Profana illic omnia, quæ apud nos facra. Rursum concessa apud

illos, quæ nobis incesta. Hist. l. 5.
(2) Adversus omnes alios hostile odium. Ibid. monstrare vias, eadem nisi sacra colenti. Juvenal, 1. 5. Satyr. 14.

⁽a) Joseph. de bello Jud. l. 2. c. 8. vide 1. 7. c. 34. c. 37.

orthodox Christians carefully declin'd all manner of commerce, and conversation with the hereticks: openly difavow'd their principles: folemnly pronounc'd Anathemas against them: and the Apologifts gave (b) a publick, and fair representation, of the wide difference, between a true, and heretical Christian: yet notwithstanding all this, the gentiles charg'd all the villainies, and abfurdities of the hereticks, upon the whole Christian Society. And their partiality and injustice in this particular was highly remarkable: For, at the fame time, that they cenfur'd alike, all those who call'd themselves Christians: they shew'd particular favour to the hereticks, and suffer'd them to escape with impunity (c): but never had any justice or mercy, for a sincere and innocent Christian.

 ⁽b) Jufin Apol. 1. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 253. 306.
 (c) Mn διώκονται, μηθέ φονέυονται ὑρ' ὑωβ, κ'αν' διά τὰ κ' ματα, ἐπικάμεδα. Jufin. Mart. Apol. 1.



CHAP. III.

The charge of Incest accounted for.

Aving assign'd some general reasons, for those original calumnies against the primitive Christians, I proceed to inquire into the Grounds, and occasions of every distinct article of accusation.

The first that I shall mention, is that of promiscuous, and incessuous lusts. The Christians were charg'd with a community of wives, and of having a criminal and unnatural commerce, with their own mothers, and sisters. Their feasts of charity, kept with the strictest, and most religious sobriety, were tax'd with the most abominable lewdness, and debauchery. After Supper, when they were heated, and grown wanton with a luxurious diet, the candles were put out (as the pagans reported it) and every man enjoy'd the woman he accidentally met with.

Several of the ancient writers inform us, that it was the loofe and scandalous behaviour of the hereticks, that occasion'd this foul reslection upon the Christians. Irenaus, speaking of the absurd,

and

and impious tenets, the luxury, and lewdness of Carpocrates, and his followers, tells us (a); that the gentiles, observing the immoralities of those hereticks, who call'd themselves Christians, took occasion from thence, to asperse the whole body of Christians. Clement of Alexandria derives this calumny from the same cause, saving; that the followers of Carpocrates, and Epiphanes maintain, that all wives ought to be in common. It is in merism x1 78 ovoual O effoin Brasonula. From whom was deriv'd the greatest scandal upon the Christian name (b). And he adds further, that it was reported of the Carpocratians, that after supper, they us'd to put out the candles, and play all thole infamous pranks, that were charg'd upon the innocent Christians (c). Eusebius (d), and Epiphanius (e), agree with Clement, and Irenaus; and found the accusation of uncleanness and incest, upon the lewdnels of the Carpocratians, and some others of the Gnostick herefy.

(a) L. 1. cap. 24.

(b) Strom. 3. cap. 2. p. 511.

⁽ε) Τέτες φασί, καί πνας άλλες (ηλωτάς τη διοίων κακών, εἰς τὰ δείπνα ἀθεμζοιθώες.

ανδεας διά η γυα ακας, τὸ τὰ λύχνε τε εἰς επί, μίχουδζ, ὅπως εθέλοιεν, αίς βέλοινο. Strom. 3. p. 514.

⁽d) Hist Eccles. L. 4. cap. 7. (e) De Hares. Carpocrat. p. 104.

Carpocrates, amongst other absurdities, maintain'd, that no man cou'd arrive at the perfection of his mysteries, without most consummate lewdnels, and lust; and could not otherwise avoid those evil angels, whom he call'd the Governours of the world. He afferted that all things ought to be in common, to all men: and that to be deny'd the liberty of enjoying any woman that he lik'd, was an infringement of the common privileges of Mankind, and contrary to the defign of the great creator of 'all things. He denied that any thing was in its own nature bad, or finful; and therefore he gave a general license to every one, to act as he pleas'd. In consequence of this, the female hereticks were shameless, and abandon'd prostitutes, and the men were extremely lewd, and lascivious upon principle, and wrought all manner of uncleanness with greediness.

It must be own'd, that the sirst article of accusation against the Christians, describes exactly enough, the character of the Carpocratians: and 'tis highly probable, that the long continuance of the calumny, was owing to the scandalous immoralities of the hereticks: but yet, I can by no means allow, that the lewdness of Carpocrates, Epiphanes, and their followers, gave the first occasion, for accusing the Christians of promiscuous, and incessuous lusts. For, 'tis generally agreed, that Carpocrates began to be a heretick, in Adrian's reign, about the same time that Justin became

a convert to Christianity. A. D. 130. and therefore the imputation of lewdness and incest, was prior to Carpocrates; for Justin, whose Authority is undeniably good, acquaints us (f), that the Christians were accus'd of abominable uncleanness, before he was a convert: nay, he dates the three original calumnies of Incest, Infanticide, and Atheism, from our Lord's Ascension, or very foon after. If Carpocrates had given the first handle for aspersing the Christians, how could Justin be ignorant of it, who liv'd at the same time? and especially, since he wrote a consutation of all hereticks (g); and therefore must be presum'd, to be tolerably acquainted with their errors, and im-Whether the hereticks wete guilty moralities. of those crimes, that were charg'd upon the Christians, as puting out the candle, promiscuous copulation, and eating of human flesh, he declares (b), he could not tell: from whence one would conjecture, either that Carpocrates, had not begun to propagate his heretical villainies, or at least, had made but an inconsiderable progress, when Justin presented his first Apology, in behalf of the persecuted Christians, A.D. 140. The banquet,

(f) Apol. 2. (g) Apol. 1.

⁽h) દા 🥱 મે માં ઈંડ ૧૫μα નેર લેંગલ μυθολογ દેવી વર્ષ જાર જેનτεσι, λυχνίας αξό ἀναλερπίω, મે મો જો ἀνεθω μίξεις, મે ἀνθεωπείων σας κῶν βοεὰς, ἐγινωπομέρ. Αροί. 1.

or Love feast of the Carpocratians, as describ'd by Clem. Alex. exactly agrees with the first accusation against the Christians: but then it must be observ'd, that Clement mentions it only as a report (The span) or admitting it to be true; who will affirm that the Carpocratians were the same, towards the latter end of the second century, when Clement wrote his Stromata, as they were in the time of Justin? Every body knows, that the hereticks refin'd upon their masters, and varied in their practices, even whilst they retain'd the same common appellation: for they thought it a credit, to be the first broachers of an error; and a solid reputation, to be singularly mistaken.

Since then the calumny prevail'd, before the herefy of Carpocrates, and Epiphanes; and what Irenans, and Clement of Alex. say, in reality, amounts to no more than this: that the lewdness of those hereticks, brought a great scandal upon Christianity (not that they were the first who gave a handle to the gentiles to asperse the Christians) it is evident, that the charge of incest, must have some other soundation.

I shall therefore inquire in the next place, whether there were any hereticks, either in, or near the Apostolick age, whose wicked behaviour could give birth to so odious a calumny.

The Nicolaitan herefy, 'tis universally agreed, was so call'd, from Nicolas, one of the seven Deacons, mention'd in the Acts of the Apo-

files (i): and yet 'tis generally plac'd, later (k) than the herefies of Bafilides, and Saturninus. This I cannot account for; unless we suppose, that the herefy was entirely suppress'd, almost as soon as it arose, (which is agreeable to what Eusebius (1) fays of it), and was afterwards reviv'd, about the middle of the fecond Century. But whatever was the reason, why many of the Ecclesiastical writers, plac'd this herefy so late: 'tis undeniably evident, that it belongs to the Apostolick age: for St. John, in the Revelations, written A. D. 96. about the latter end of Domitian's reign, makes mention of the Nicolaitans (m).

Nicolas, the founder of this herefy, has many advocates (n), and many accusers (o). Some maintain his innocence, and throw all the guilt upon the Yeudavujus, Ninonairas, those that were fallly call'd Nicolaitans: and others, make no distinction between the Master, and his disciples. Clement of Alexandria, a man of great learning, and one that

(i) AEts 9 5.

(1) Em าธาพง ธภาน น้ำ กรอยเมื่อ ฟี Nikorair นี้ สังอุธบรร cm σμικε σπατον σωνές η χε ένον. Hift. Eccl. Lib. 3. Cap. 29.

(n) Clem. Alex. Enseb. Hist. Eccles. 1. 3. cap. 29. Theodoret. herat. fab. Conflicut. Apostol. l. 6. cap. I. Ignat. interpolat. Epift. ad Trall.

⁽k) Vid. Iren. Hæreses. Theodoret. Hæret. Fab. Epiphan. Augustin. Philast. Brix.

⁽m) Chap. 2. vers. 6. 15. Clem. Alex. fixeth the origin of this herefy, at this weaknfur this is owlings: after our Lord's Afcention, whill the Apostles were living. Strom. 3. p. 522. vide Iren. 1. 3. c. 11.

⁽o) Irenaus. Tertulliau. Philast. Epiphan. Greg. Nyss. Hieron. Oc.

was admirably well vers'd, in all facred and prophane history, gives the best account of Nicolas, and of the origin of the Nicolaitan herefy. He tells us (p), that Nicolas had a beautiful wife, of whom he was faid to be jealous. And to get rid of the imputation, and to show the unreasonableness and injustice of the charge, he gave leave to any one to marry his wife, that had a mind to her. This impradent action was drawn into a precedent; and many wicked men, who were much addicted to sensual pleasures, appeal'd to it, in justification of their lewd and filthy conversation. Besides, he had one very common and familiar expression, which was grofly misinterpreted, by those who call'd themselves Nicolaitans. He us'd to say Sav maeaxphox The ought to abuse the flesh. That is to contradict and oppose all its inordinate desires; to keep under the body, and bring it into subjection. But the Nicolaitans found out a quite different meaning of the phrase, and gave it an interpretation, that favour'd, and encourag'd all manner of lewdness and debauchery. Nicolas himself, as Clement informs us (q), was strictly just to the marriage bed: and his children, who liv'd

⁽p) Strom. 3. p. 522.

to a good old age, died virgins. But his pretended followers and Inv intogrituar?, were shameless and abominable fornicators (r). They had a criminal conversation with all women without distinction (f), and were as infamous for lust, and luxury, as the sollowers of Carpocrates, and Epiphanes.

There were other hereticks in the Apostolick age, that were equally scandalous, for their obscene, and filthy practices, and those were the followers of Simon, the parent, and inventer of all heretical errors, and impurities. This vile impostor said, that he was I via unit Oes the year of God: and that he appear'd to the Samaritans as the Father, to the Jews as the Son, and to the Gentiles as the Holy Spirit. He had one Helen, an infamous strumpet, for his companion; whom he call'd the first conception of his mind, and the mother of all things (t). This impious, and blasphemous monster afferted, that men were not sav'd by good works, but by his grace. That no action was in its own nature

(r) Ibid.

(1) Iren. l. I. c. 20.

righteous, or finful; but only by accident (u). Being himself a man of wicked dispositions, and naturally prone to venery, he maintain'd a community of wives (w): and instituted mysteries, at the celebration of which, was committed such monstrous, and unparallell'd lewdness, as almost exceeds belief (x). Salvation he promises to those, who obey his directions, and carefully observe his facred, and mysterious rites. In conformity to their masters commands, and example, the Simonians contended, who shou'd exceed one another in lewdness: they gave a loose to their brutal appetite, and abus'd every woman that came in their way.

The rise of the Simonian, and Nicolaitan herefies, suits very well with the Chronology of the first antichristian calumny, as fix'd by Justin Martyr. For the Nicolaitan heresy, the later of the two, was, according to Irenaus (y), a long time before that

⁽u) Ibid. vide Theodoret. Hæret. fab. l. 1. c. 1.

⁽w) Έδιδαξε ή διχεςποίταν, μίξιν μολυσμέ, γυμαικών δδιαφοείαν. Εριρhan. l. 1. p. 52.

Docebat detestandam turpitudinem, indifferenter utendi fæminis. Augustin. de Hares. Vide Theodor. Haret. Fab. L. 1. cap. 1.

^{.(}x) Μυς ήρια ή τωτεθετο αισχείτη , εύστως τε σωμάπων, όπως στινόστερον ύφηγήσο μαι 'ανδιών μιλ δια της δπορροίας, γυμαικών δε δια της καί 'εθσιμον τη εμμηνίων. — Και ταυπα είναι μυς ήρια ζωής, γνώστως τε της τελειστάτης. Ερίρβαπ. de Simone p. 58. vid. Eufeb. Hifs. Ecclef. L. 2. cap. 13.

⁽y) L. 3. cap. 11.

of Cerinthus; and therefore not many years after our Lord's Ascension. But the heresy of Simon, feems to have commenc'd with Christianity it self. For Philip baptiz'd Simon at Samaria, A. D. 35 (2). The same, or at lowest, in the following year, St. Peter sharply rebuk'd Simon, and rejected his impious proposal, with a resentment, and indignation that it deferv'd: and Simon, by way of revenge, deferted the Christian society, and broach'd his blasphemous, and heretical tenets (a).

And as there is an exact agreement, between the rife of those heresies, and the date of the first article of accusation, so the lasciviousness, and uncleanness of those hereticks, is aprly enough deferib'd, by the first calumny against the primitive Christians. And fince in the infancy of Christianity, and before it was well known, real and heretical Christians, by an easy and natural mistake, might be confounded; might pass under the same common appellation, and be thought in reality the. fame; it must be exceeding probable, that the Simonian, and Nicolaitan hereticks, gave the first occasion, to Jews and Gentiles, of aspersing the innocent Christians, and entail'd an infamy upon the

⁽z) AEIs 8. vid. Pearlon. Annal. Paulin.
(a) There seem to be frequent allusions to the heresees. of Simon and Nicolas, in the writings of the Apostles. See Ephel. 5. 12. 2 Tim. 3. 6. 2 Pet. 2. Jud. Epift. 1. Corineh. 6.

whole Christian name, that descended down to

fucceeding generations.

As to that branch of the first article of accusation, that taxes the Christians with a carnal knowledge, even of their own mothers and sisters, it may also be accounted for another way. The primitive Christians, saluted one another, by the title of brethren, and fifters: the old men they call'd fathers, and the aged matrons they call'd mothers (b). St. Paul gives it in charge to Timothy, not to rebuke an elder, but intreat him as a father, and the younger men as brethren. The elder women as mothers, the younger as fifters, with all purity (c). In the language of a primitive Christian, the married women were call'd fisters by their husbands, and treated as fuch, after the time of child-bearing was over (d). Now the infidels observing the Christians conversing with freedom and familiarity, and cohabiting with those, whom they call'd mothers, and sisters, entertain'd a suspicion of unnatural guilt, and charg'd the Christians with incestu-

⁽b) Ο νομοθέτης ὁ πρώτΟ έπειτεν αυτές, ώς Αδελφοί πάν]ες ει εν άλληλων. Lucion de morte Peregrini.

⁽c) 1 Tim. 5. 1, 2. 1 Cor. 5. 11. 7. 15. Rom. 16. 1.

⁽d) Conjugi tuæ quæ futura est Soror tua. Hermas Vis. 2. cap. 2. Sed nec sororem tuam negligas. Ibid. cap. 3. Vid. Clem. Alex. Strom. 3. 1 Cor. 9. 5. A Paran de termas yound en the muddination as no incompleta rejustat. Clem. Alex. Strom. 6. p. 790. Nobiscum dormies ut frater non ut maritus. Herm. Simil. 9. cap. 11. Athenag. Legat. p. 36.

ous copulation. They had no notion of that spiritual relation, on which the Christian brotherhood is founded, especially whilst Christianity was in its infancy: and tho' afterwards, they cou'd not be ignorant what was meant by brother and sister in the Christian sense, yet right or wrong, they propagated the calumny, to throw an odium upon the Christians, and to irritate the rage of their mercyless enemies.

The heathens, 'tis not improbable, were the more ready to believe the Christians guilty of incest, because some illiterate, unciviliz'd, and barbarous people (such as the Christians were suppos'd to be) were tax'd with that vice. Amongst the Persian Magi, incestuous copulation, and even with their own mothers, was look'd upon as a laudable practice (e). The ancient Britons, according to Julius Casar's account of them, were guilty of promiscuous, and incestuous lusts (f). The Medes,

Indians,

⁽e) Νομίζεστ γεν Ελληνες φευκτον εναι το συζίνεδος μηθεί, κάκλιστν δε τοιετον όξιν επίδιδωμα ωξά τοις Περσών Μάγρις. Tatian. Affyr. contra Græc. p. 164. vide Philo. Jud. de Decalogo. Clem. Alex. Pædagog. L. 1. cap. 7. p. 131. Strom. 32 p. 515. Origèn. contra Celf. L. 5. Euseb. de laud. Constant. p. 648. Alex. ab Alex. L. 1. cap. 24.

⁽f) Uxores habent deni duodeniq; inter se communes, & maxime fratres cum fratribus, & parentes cum liberis. Comment. L. 5. Scottorum natio uxores proprias non habet, & quasi Platonis politiam legerit, & Catonis sectur exemplum, nulla apud eos conjunx propria est, sed ut euiq; libitum suerit, pecudum more lasciviunt. Hieron.

Indians, and Æthiopians, are faid to have had a criminal, and unnatural commerce, with mothers and grand mothers, with daughters and granddaughters (g). Nero, that monster of lust, and cruelty, is faid to have been too familiar with his own mother (h). Caligula debauch'd all his fifters, and cohabited with one of them, as if she had been his legal wife (i): and in a word, there are numberless instances of incestuous mixtures, to be met with in civiliz'd nations, as well as in those, whom the Greeks and Romans call'd rude, and barbarous. From hence perhaps was deriv'd the charge of incest against the primitive Christians, whom the heathens look'd upon as the vilest of creatures, and capable of committing even the worst of crimes, that the worst of men were guilty of.

What the infidels objected against the nocturnal assemblies and the Christian Agapa, or Love feasts,

adv. Fovinian. L. 2. Recognit. Clement. cap. 24. Strabo, fpeaking of the old Inhabitants of Ireland, tells us, it was reported of them, that they are human flesh, and lay with their Mothers and Sisters. Geograph. l. 4. p. 139. vide Dion. Cast. l. 76. p. 866.

⁽g) Heron. ibid. vide Quint. Curt. l. 8. p. 204.

⁽b) Suecon in vit Neronis. cap. 28.

(i) Cum omnibus fororibus suis stupri consuetudinem fecit.——Ex his Drusslam vitiasse virginem pretextatus adhuc creditur.——In modum justa uxoris propalam habuit. Suecon. in vit. Calig. cap. 24. vide cap. 36. cap. 26. in vit. Claud. cap. 22. in vit. Domit. Dion. Cass. 1. 67. p. 760.

is an exact description of their own impurities, and of that abominable lewdness, that was committed in all the pagan temples, almost in all parts of the world.

Nero, who made a judgment of other mens vicious dispositions by his own, was of opinion, that no man was chast: but that some had got the art of concealing their vices better than others (k). and I don't in the least doubt, but it was a consciousness of their own uncleanness, and immoralities, that made the heathens cast so vile a reflection upon the sacred assemblies of Christians. They observ'd the Christians privately assembling in the night, men and women together. They confider'd that temptations would arise, and that darkness and retirement would give favourable opportunities. They knew that those private meetings were prohibited by the laws of the twelve tables, and were not able to affign the reason, why the Christians shou'd assemble together with that privacy, upon any honourable design. They had heard, it may be, of the kiss of charity amongst Christian (1); which they con-

(1) ANNINES OINIUM : dwal our a man out who it in all further. Mort. Apol. 1. vide Clem. Alex. Padagog. 1. 3. p. 301.

⁽k) Ex nonnullis comperi, persuasissimum habuisse eum, neminem hominem pudicum, aut ulla corporis parte purum: verum plerosq: dissimulare vitium, & calliditate obtegere. Sueton. de Nerone. cap. 29.

strued a sign of lasciviousness, and an introduction to lewdness. They were sensible of the corruptions of human nature, and ignorant, how nature is to be improv'd by grace, and the most head-strong passions subdued by religion. They knew what lewdness was committed in their temples, under the specious covert of religion; and therefore they cast a vile restection upon the religious assemblies of Christians, and taxed them with uncleanness, only because they were guilty themselves.

The Tyrant Licinius, an implacable enemy to the Christians, by a publick Edict, sorbad the Christian men and women to meet together, at their places of publick-worship. To preserve inviolable the chastity of the Christian women, he order'd that no Bishop should instruct the women, but that they should be taught by those of their own sex. He commanded the Christians to have their assemblies without the gates, in the open shelds; under a presence, that the air was more wholsome there, than in crowded cities. Now he produc'd no evidence of any guilty commerce amongst Christians, at their facred assemblies: he onely intimated a suspicion of guilt, and the reason and soundation of this suspicion is mention'd

by the historian (m), viz. that he was infamous for adultery, and all manner of lewdness and debauchery, and like Nero, judg'd of other men's vicious inclinations by his own. I mention this Edict of Licinius, not as being any ways the occasion of the first antichristian calumny, but as it describes, the sentiments of the pagans, and affords a remarkable proof, of what I am contending for, that the restection upon the Christian affemblies had its original rise, from the impure and lascivious practices of the Heathens.

Cecilius, in Minucius Felix, charge's the Chriftians with lewdness, because they saluted one another by the name of brother, and sister (n). Now the reason of this accusation is manifestly deriv'd, from a common practice amongst the heathens, who, whilst they were carrying on a lustful intrigue, and had a mind to conceal their wickedness, under an honourable name, call'd one another brother, and sister. There are many instances of this kind to be met with, in the hea-

⁽m) Euseb. de vita Constantini. L. 1. cap. 52, 53.

(n) Passim etiam inter eos quædam libidinum religio miscetur: ac se promiscue appellant fratres, & sorores, ut etiam non insolens stuprum intercessione sacri nominis sat incessum. p. 81.

then Poets (0). Brother and fifter was the Language of luft, amongst the heathens; and therefore it pass'd for such amongst the Christians, who were censur'd for crimes, that their enemies onely were guilty of.

'Tis almost incredible, what filthy lewdness was committed by the pagans, and especially in their Temples; which were no better than publick stews, and receptacles for common prositutes. It was not without good reason, the Satyrist ask'd the following question,

Quo non prostat famina Templo (p)?

What Temple is there where there are not profitutes? In the Temple at Corinth, dedicated to Venus, there liv'd no less than a thousand harlots. The mysteries of Cybele were nothing but a scene of matchless uncleanness, and monstrous lusts. The sacred rites of Venus were of the same nature: and almost the whole gentile world (q), under a pretence

⁽a) Fratrem te vocat, & foror vocatur. Cur vos nomina nequiora tangunt? Martial. L. 2. Epig. 4. Quare define me vocare fratrem, ne te carmenion vocem fororem. Ibid. L. 10. Ep. 65.——Concilio tibi O Juvenis, Sororem. Habes & tu quidem fratrem, neq; me piguit quærere, sed quid prohibet & sororem adoptare? Petron. Sas. p. 458. Vid. Ovid. Metamorph. L. 9. Vers. 557.

 ⁽p) Juwenal. Sat. 9. v. 23.
 (q) Οι μων βαλλοι 94θον πάντες ανθεωποι, πλω 'Αιγυπ- Τίων κ' Εκλήνων, μίσμονται εν Ιερίσι. Herodot. L. 2. cap. 64.

pretence of religion, was guilty of uncleanness in the temples. And for this very reason, the heathens tax'd the private meetings of Christians with lewdness, and gave an odious character of their nocturual assemblies.

I have now done with the first article of accusation against the primitive Christians, and have attempted, as well as I am able, to account for the imputation of promiscuous, and incessuous lusts. But before I proceed to the second calumny, it may not be improper, to inquire a little further, into the character of the primitive Christians; to see whether they gave any just grounds for censure, or any ways occasion'd that severe charge of unnatural lewdness, by their own vicious conduct, or imprudent behaviour.

I am far from vindicating every thing, that was done by the primitive Christians: as they were men, they cou'd no more be entirely exempt from error, than they were from mortality: but it must be allow'd me, that the corruptions in faith and in practice, were nothing near so numerous, and great, then, as they were, in succeed-

Tantaq: animorum infipientia fuit, & tam præceps libido imperiti vulgi, ut omnes fere mortales in templis coire, & nefandis libidinibus immitceri, ac pudendis genitalibus facrum facere, quod procreationis feminarium forent, nefas non putarent. Alex. ab Alex. Genial. dier. L. 6. cap. ult. Tertul. Apol. cap. 15.

ing ages: for their vicinity to the Days of a God incarnate, gave them a more lively sense of the divine mercies, and inflam'd their zeal: this zeal reform'd their manners, and made them conscientiously discharge the duties of a Christian: this innocence of Life entitled them to God's holy Spirit, and 'tis that blessed Spirit that leads men into all truth, and holiness.

As to the charge of uncleanness, there is nothing in nature could ever have been more malicious, barbarous, and unjust. For there was scarce any one vertue, by which the first Christians were more eminently distinguish'd, than by that of chastity. A vertue more peculiarly cultivated by the Christians, and guarded with the utmost circumspection, and care. When they were tempted to lust, by many artful and moving persuasions; and terrify'd by a prospect of inhuman tortures; they generally preferr'd a painful, and ignominious death; and dreaded more the follicitations of fenfual Pleasures, than the inveterate rage of their cruellest enemies. If those early Christians were any ways culpable, it was for having too rigid notions of continency, and for carrying it further, than perhaps they had any lawful warrant, either from sacred Scripture, or Apostolical practice. They were so far from having a criminal commerce with all forts of women, without distination; that they either liv'd under a state of perpetual virginity, or married but once, and knew

knew no other woman all their lives (r). Secondand third marriages were generally reputed unlawful, and were call'd by no better a name, than a plaulible Adultery (f). A Digamist was a name of reproach, and those that had been twice married after baptism, were unqualify'd for holy orders, by the canons of the primitive church (t).

I cannot fay that the reasons, upon which second and third marriages were condemn'd, seem to have much weight in them; or that the texts of Scripture, appeal'd to upon this occasion, are pertinently cited (u). However it was a very pardonable mistake, and especially as it proceeded, from an honest Zeal, and a laudable design of promoting Christian purity, and piety. A repetition of marriage was supposed to proceed from a criminal in-

(f) O A Schree (μάμφ ευπεεπίς εξη μοιχεία. Athenag. Legat. p. 37. — Samaritanæ illi prævaricatrici,
quæ in uno viro non mansit, sed fornicata est in multis
nuptiis. Iren. l. 3. cap. 17. vid. Constitut. Apostol. l. 3.
cap. 2.

(u) Vide Athenag. p. 37. Constitut. Apostol. l. 3. cap. 2. dulgence

⁽r) Evesis δ' αν πελλες της πας' ήμιν, τὸ ανδεας τὸ γωαίκας, κα] αγηράσκον ας αλάμες. — η διος πις ετέχθη,
καίς, η ερ' ενὶ γάμω. Athenag. legat. p. 37. At nos
pudorem non facie, sed mente præstamus, Unius Matrimonii vinculo libenter inhæremus. Cupiditatem procreandi
aut unam scimus, aut nullam. Min. Fel. p. 307. vid. p. 310.
Just. Mart. Apol. 1. Theoph. ad Autol. l. 3. Origen contra Cels.
l. 7. p. 365.

⁽t) Canon. Apostol. 17. vid. Origen. Homil. 17. in Luc. Tertul. de exhort. castit. cap. 7. ad uxor. l. 1. cap. 7. Hieron. adv. Jovinian. l. 1.

dulgence of a carnal appetite, and for this reason, it was more feverely cenfur'd by a primitive Christian.

The fole end of marriage, in their opinion, was procreation of children; and therefore during the time of gestation, and after the time of child-bearing was over, they abstain'd from all conversation with their wives (w). The use of the marriagebed was confin'd, under strict regulations, and all excesses and abuses there, were condemn'd by a primitive Christian (x). Adultery, and fornication was very rare, in those Days; and the guilty criminal never escap'd the censure of ecclesiastical authority: he was folemnly turn'd out of the Christian society, and not re-admitted, till he had gone through a long course of pennance, and had given evident proof, of a true humiliation, and forrow, and of a fincere resolution to reform (v). They practis'd the duties of mortification, and self-denial, in an eminent, and wonderful degree. It was no hard matter for them, to keep within the bounds of fobriety, and chaftity, who kept

⁽w) Our દેરવાદથીએ, કો μη દેતા મર્વાડિય લેપવી eggn. Just. Mart.

Apol. 1. vide Athenog. Legat. p. 37.
(x) Nos ab isto eventu diligentissima & sidelissima castitas sepsit, quantumq; ab stupris, & ab omni post matrimonium excessu, tantum & ab incesti casu, tuti sumus. quidam multo securiores, totam vim hujus erroris virgine continentia depellunt, senes, pueri. Tertul. Apol. cap. 9.

under all inordinate defires of the slesh, by an amazing temperance, and abstinence. Like St. Paul, they were in hunger, and thirst, in fastings often, in cold, and nakedness. Their meat was very often the product of uncultivated nature; and the beast and his owner, generally drank at the same fountain. They are and drank, as if they suspected a poyson; and laid themselves down to rest, as if they were to awake in another world.

The reason why the grand adversary of mankind, so successfully prevails, is, the flesh takes part with the Tempter, and betrays us, under the disguise of friendship, and pleasure. Weak human nature enters into the conspiracy, and the man is divided against himself. When the passions have been humour'd a long time, reason submits to sense; is under the dominion of the will, and the flavery of every inferiour faculty: and you may as well talk a tempest into silence, as make a darling, habituated passion, hear the voice of reason, or religion. Those worthy Christians were very sensible of this: fo that they guarded against all the follicitations of sense, and us'd the good creatures of God to support nature, and not to emprove its corruptions. They were strangers to delicacies, fortness, and ease; and lead a life of forrows, in hopes of obtaining a joyful resurrection. Their crucify'd Lord was in Heaven, and therefore their affections, their conversation was there.



CHAP. IV.

The charge of Infanticide accounted for.

HEY were charg'd with Infanticide, with murdering of infants, and eating them. A very young sucking infant was brought to the priest, cover'd over with paste, lest any one shou'd be shock'd at the intended barbarity. The child was prick'd all over with pins, or bodkins; and as soon as it was dead, they cut the body in pieces, and ate it. They drank the blood, and mutually engag'd not to divulge their sacred mysteries. This was said to be the Christians method of Initiation.

This calumny, which the primitive Christians complain'd of, as the cruellest of all (a), is very hard to be accounted for: since the Apologists have lest us no hints, whereby we can discover, its true cause, and original soundation. The first Christians were many times censur'd, for vices and sollies that were not their own; and the villanies,

⁽a) Theoph. ad Autol. p. 119.

and abfurdities of a few spurious, and heretical Christians, were frequently put to the account of the whole Christian society: but to deal ingenuously, I cannot find any sufficient reason, or authority, to tax the primitive hereticks, with giving the insidels a handle to accuse the Christians, of infanticide, and of eating human sless.

The practices of the Montanists, as describ'd by the fathers of the fourth century, are pretty much of a piece, with the second antichristian calumny. St. Austin (b), Epiphanius (c), and Philastrius (d) tell us, that the Montanists, at one of their sestivals, us'd to take a child of a year old, and prick him all over his body with needles. The extracted blood they mix'd with slower of wheat, and of this they made their sacramental bread. If the child surviv'd this cruelty, he was look'd upon as an high priest: and if he died he was reputed a martyr. Cyril of Jerusalem carries the charge against the Montanists a great deal surther, and in exact conformity to the second article of accusation

⁽b) Nam de infantis anniculi sanguine, quem de toto ejus corpore minutis punctionum vulneribus extorquent, quasi Eucharistiam suam conficere perhibentur, miscentes eum farinæ, panemq; inde sacientes: qui puer si mortuus suerit, habetur apud eos pro martyre: si autem vixerit, pro magno sacerdote. De Hares. 26. Vide de Hares. 27.

⁽c) Hæref. 48. p. 416, 417.

⁽d) Haref. 49.

against the primitive Christians, tells us, that they cut the child in pieces and ate him (e). Ifidore Pelusiota too taxes the Montanists with magick, and murdering of infants (f).

This whole story, I must confess, appears to be a fiction, and a mere calumny: for Epiphanius, Austin, and Philastrins speak of it doubtfully, and only as a common report. And on the other hand, the Montanists themselves stoutly deny'd the charge, and vindicated their innocence in this matter (g). Ferome gives little or no credit to this report (b); and the author of that catalogue of herefies, that goes under the title of Predestinatus, rejects it as a fable (i): and for this weighty, and substantial reason, viz. the first writers against Montanism take no notice of it: he means, I suppose, Asterius Urbanus, and Apollonius, that are mention'd by Eusebins (k).

But allowing the charge against the Montanists to be true, and founded upon undeniable facts: vet the fecond calumny against the primitive Chri-

⁽e) Catech. 16. p. 178.

⁽f) L. I. Epiß. 242. (g) Theodoret. Hæret. Fab. L. 3. cap. 2. (h) Epiß. ad Marcellam adverfus Montanum.

⁽i) Cætera quæ dicuntur quasi incerta prætereo: de infantis sanguine eos accipere. Quod ideo dicimus, ne videamur ignorare omnia, quæ de iis dicuntur. Hi enim qui contra eos scripserunt, nihil hine penitus memorarunt. Cap. 26. L. I.

⁽k) Euseb. Hift. Eccles. L. 5. cap. 16, 18.

54 The charge of Infanticide

stians, cou'd not be occasion'd by those hereticks: for, murdering of infants, and eating of human flesh, seems to have been (as I have already shown) one of those original objections, that are as old as Christianity it self, or very near it. When as the Montanist herefy did not spring up, till about the middle of the second century. Eusebius dates the rise of it, from the year 170 (1). The Alexandrian Chronicle fixes it ten years later. Blondel suppofes (m), that it began about the year 140: and Epiphanius several years sooner (n). And indeed there can hardly be any thing more intricate (to use the words of the learned author of the history of Montanism) than to find out the true date of the rise of Montanism, amidst the variety of conjectures, and critical remarks upon it (0). However it is apparent enough, that it had its rife some time in the second century; and therefore is of a much later date than the second antichristian calumny.

Epiphanins tells a story of the Gnosticks, which is parallel to that, which is related of the Montanists: but neither does this give any further light to our inquiry, nor discover the original source of the calumny. The Gnosticks, he says, by some violent method or other, us'd to draw a child out

⁽¹⁾ Hift. Eccles. L. 5. cap. 3.

⁽m) Sibyll. L. 2. cap. 2.

⁽n) Haref. 48. p. 404, 455. (o) Hist, of Montanism. p. 77.

of its mothers womb, and beat it to pieces in a mortar: and lest this odd fort of food shou'd be naufeous to a squeamish stomach, they mix'd with it honey, pepper, and some other spices. When this was done they all tasted of it, and this they call'd téres maga, a perfect passover (p).

Upon this monstrous and incredible story, I observe. first. That it rests upon the single authority of Epiphanius, which, to speak impartially, is none of the best. For tho' we have from him, the fullest, and most particular account that is extant, of the herefies for the first four hundred years: and he was justly celebrated for his great learning, and piety; yet he has intermix'd fo many strange, and improbable relations, as leave but too just room to suspect that he was a man of great credulity, and eafily impos'd upon. Whilst he follows Justin, Irenaus, and Hippolytus, great and venerable names, his labours are highly useful, and commendable: but in delcribing the herefies of his own time, where one might have expected the greatest exactness. there are too many things, that feem to border upon fable, and fiction.

2. Irenaus has left us a very exact account of the Gnostick hereticks, in the Apostolick age, and several years after. We learn from him that the Simonians were call'd Gnosticks (9): and that several

⁽p) Hares. 26. p. 87.
(q) Simoniani, a quibus falsi nominis scientia accepit initia. Iren. l. 1. cap. 23.

of the succeeding hereticks were fond of that name; fince they pretended to a knowledge, superior to that of the rest of mankind. He particularly describes their errors, and abominable practises, but says not one syllable of that horrid crime, that is mention'd by Epiphanius. Hippolytus's book against the hereticks is lost; and Justin's has met with the same sate: however in one of his Apologies, Justin makes an open declaration; which clear's (as far as his own knowledge went) all hereticks in general, from the imputation of Insanticide, and eating of human sless (r).

3. But to put the matter beyond dispute. What Epiphanius says of the Gnosticks, evidently relates, not to the primitive Gnosticks, the Simonians, Menandrians, Basilidians, Carpocratians, &c. but to those of his own time (s). Nay he expressly says, that those Gnosticks endeavour'd to seduce him, in his youth. So far then were those Gnostick hereticks, from giving birth to the second calumny against the Christians; that 'tis very probable, the calumny was dropp'd, and almost forgotten, before Epiphanius's time; and before the rise of that heresy, which he describes, and confintes.

⁽r) Apol. 2.
(s) Kai i the πας εληλύθει ή ποισύτη ἄις εσις, κὶ ἐκέπ ἦν ἐν τω βίω, ἀραθον ἦν τούτίω θάψαι, κὴ μήτε ὅλως τῶς ἀὐθής ἐπάθν, ἐπαθὰν θὲ κὴ ἔςπ, κὴ πρήπεται. κ. τ. λ. p. 97.
Vide p. 99, 100.

It

It is said of Simon the heretick, the vilest wretch that the Christian world was ever acquainted with, that he kill'd a little boy, and hung up his picture in his bed-chamber; and by fome unaccountable charms, oblig'd the foul of the murder'd child, to affift him in his diabolical operations, and magical delusions (t). St. Luke's account of him is, that for a long time he had bewitch'd the people of Samaria with forceries, to whom they all gave heed, from the least to the greatest, saying, this man is the great power of God (u). The antients represent him as a wonderful magician, and he is faid to have done many surprizing things, by his infernal art; and fince murdering of Infants was a common, and prevailing practice, amongst the pagan forcerers, and necromancers, as I shall shew by and by, the above mention'd story of Simon, carries the greater appearance of probability.

But still it is liable to as great exceptions, as that of the Gnosticks, related by Epiphanius: for there is not any one author of credit, that mentions it, even whilst we have many, and particular accounts of Simon's blasphemies, his errors, and impurities. The story, as far as I remember, is no where to be met with, but in the Recognitions of Clement, the Clementine Homilies, and the

(u) Atts 8. 9, 10,

⁽t) Recognit. Clem. L. 2. cap. 13. Epit. de Gestis Petri cap. 27. Homil. Clement. L. 2. cap. 26.

58 The charge of Infanticide

Epitome of the Asts of Peter: all of which, seem to be the forgery, of one, and the same Impostor. They contain so many things, that are unworthy of Clement, the samous Bishop of Rome, that the learned have unanimously pronounc'd them spurious: and whoever was the author of them, he seems to have been of a class, even below the compiler of the eight books of Apostolical Constitutions, and cou'd neither be a companion of the Aposteles, nor one that liv'd in the Apostolick age (w).

Since then we have no good authority, for deriving the occasion of the second antichristian calumny, from the hereticks: let us see in the next place, whether the inhuman cruelties, practis'd by the heathens themselves, might not be the true, and original foundation, of that unjust charge against the primitive Christians, contain'd in the second article of accusation.

To kill Infants, and to drink human blood, was the practice in feveral parts of the heathen world: and this was done upon feveral accounts, in making of publick, or private leagues of friendship in forming of conspiracies. in facrificing to the pagan deities. in necromancy, and other magical operations. It was a custom amongst the Scythians, a people famous for friendship, when they mutually engaged to live in peace, and amity

⁽w) Vide Cotelerii prafat. ad Recognit. &c. Grab. Spicileg.
with

with one another; to drink one anothers blood: and this was look'd upon as a most sure, and sacred pledge of an inviolable friendship (x). Tacitus, speaking of a league that was made, between Rhadamistus, and his uncle, the king of Armenia, acquaints us, that it was an usual ceremony, when kings entred into a treaty, or league, to joyn their right hands, and tie their thumbs close together; and then to let out the blood, consin'd in the ends of their thumbs; which they afterwards drank (y). The same things are reported of the Medes, and Lydians, by Herodotus, and of the Scythians too; with this additional ceremony; that before they drank the blood, they dipp'd the points of their swords in it (z).

In conspiracies too the persons concern'd us'd to drink human blood; and with horrid oaths, and imprecations promise secrecy, and their utmost assistance, in carrying on the grand design. Thus when Sariaster conspir'd against his father, the king of Armenia, he oblig'd his friends, and accomplices in the Treason, to drink one anothers blood (a). Sallust tells a remarkable story of Catiline, who was at the head of a conspiracy,

⁽x) ἐΕν]εμόντες, ἄπαξ τὲς δακ] ὐλες, ἐνςαλάξωμθ τὸ αξμα εἰς κύλικα, κὴ τὰ ξίφη ἄκες βάψαν]ες, ἄμα εἰμφότεςς ἐπσχόμθοι, πίωμθ, ἐκ ἔςιν ὅ τι κὴ τῷδὰ ἡμᾶς διαλύσειεν αν. Lucian. Toxaris vel amicitia. p. 67.

⁽y) L. 12. Annal.

⁽z) L. 1. cap. 74. L. 4. cap. 70. vide L. 3. cap 8.

⁽a) Valer. Max. L. 9. cap. 11.

that might have prov'd fatal to the Roman liberties, had it not been for the great wisdom, vigilance, and dexterity of the conful Cicero. The historian, in that beautiful description of the Catilinarian war, fays, it was reported of Catiline, that at a meeting of the conspirators, he handed about a cup full of Wine, and human blood mix'd: and when all his friends had tafted of it, he told them, his intention by doing this, was to engage them, to act with the greater heartiness. and fidelity, in the cause they were imbarqu'd in (b). This story is alluded to by Tertullian, and some other Apologists for the Christians (c): and is told by Dion Cassius, with new, and surprifing circumstances. he fays (d), what the conspirators drank, was the blood of a child, kill'd for that very purpose, by Catiline; and that he oblig'd his companions, and partners in the treason, to swear upon the bowels of the murder'd infant. Statious, and some others, speak of conspiracies, that were carried on in the same method (e): which gives a greater appearance of

historian.

probability to the relation of the above mention'd

⁽b) Bell. Catilin. cap. 22. L. Flor. L. 4. cap. 1.

⁽c) Nescio quid & sub Catilina degustatum. Apol. cap. 9. Min. Fel. p. 297.

⁽d) Hist. Rom. l. 37. p. 43. vide Plutarch. in vit. Ciceron. (e) L. 5. Thebaidos. vide Alex. ab Alex. L. 5. cap. 3.

Many of the altars, dedicated to the pagan deities, were polluted with human blood; and great numbers, both of infants, and adult persons, were offer'd up in sacrifice, by the ignorant and superstitious heathens, to Saturn, Jupiter, Mercury, Diana, &c. At the funeral of any considerable person, human sacrifices were very common: and to this barbarous usage, Homer alludes (f), when he makes his Hero, Achilles, flay twelve captives, at the funeral of Patroclus. In imitation of this example, Aneas, sacrific'd eight young men, at the funeral of Pallas (g). The antient Gauls were of opinion, that human Sacrifices, were the most acceptable to the Gods of any; and therefore, when they were visited with fickness, or any other calamity, they vow'd to facrifice, either themselves, or some other men (b). The Tauri, sacrific'd to Diana, all the strangers they could catch (i). Aristomenes the Messenian, at one sacrifice to Jupiter, slew three hundred men: one of whom was Theopompus, king

(i) Lucian. Toxar. Euseb. prap. Evangel. L. 9. Q. Curt. L. 4.

Clem. Alex. Cohortat. ad Grecos. p. 35.

⁽f) Iliad. 21. ver. 27.

Quatuor hic Juvenes; totidem, quos educat Ufens, Viventes rapit, Inferias quos immolet umbris, Captivoq; rogi perfundat fanguine flammas.

Virgil. Aneid. L. 10. verf. 517. (b) Cafar de Bello Gallico. L. 6. Cicero pro Fonteio. Pompon. Mela L. 3. Euseb. Prap. Evangel. L. 3. cap. 17. Tertul. Apol. cap. 9. Plin. Nat. Hist. L. 30. cap. 1.

62 The charge of Infanticide

of the Lacedemonians (k). The antient Britons, Germans, Cretians, with a great many others, are said to have been guilty of this most inhuman, and infamous practice (l). But as for infanticide, the Carthaginians exceeded all the people in the world besides: they sacrific'd their own children to Saturn, and those that had none, were oblig'd to buy some of the poorer fort. When they were overcome by Agathocles, king of Sicily, they imagin'd their God Saturn was very angry with them; and to appease his wrath, they murder'd at one sacrifice, two hundred noblemens sons (m).

This devilish custom of sacrificing the human race, was not peculiar to those people, who were accounted rude, and barbarous; but it was to be met with amongst those, who had made considerable emprovements in all the politer arts. After the fatal battle at Canna, the people of Rome, under the greatest consternation, and expecting the conquering enemy at their gates, buried sour people alive, by way of sacrifice to the infernal Gods (n). Pliny mentions a decree of the Roman senate, made about an hundred years before the birth of our Saviour, which prohibited human sacrifices: and

⁽k) Clem. Alex. Cohortat. ad Gracos. p. 36.

⁽¹⁾ Plin. Nat. Hift. L. 30. cap. 1. Tacit. Annal. L. 14. de Moribus German. Diodor. Sic. L. 5.

⁽m) Pescenius Festus apud Lastant. l. 1. cap. 21. Cyril. adv. Julian l. 4. Augustin. de Civitate Dei. l. 7. cap. 19. Platonis Minos. sive de lege.

⁽n) Livii Hift. l. 22.

tells us, how much the world was beholden to the Romans, for rooting out those monsters, that accounted human facrifices a commendable, and religious action; and the eating of human flesh, a very wholfom thing (0). But this decree, after all those mighty boasts of Pliny, had no very great effect: for 'tis certain, that abominable practice prevail'd very much, even until the reign of the emperor Adrian (p). Nor did it then entirely cease: for, several years afterwards, we find it was a cuftom, even in Rome, the imperial city; to facrifice a man, at one of their annual festivals, to Jupiter Latiaris (q). Nor is there any reason to question the authority, of the Christian Apologists in this matter; or to censure them, for being too severe, in retorting the charge of infanticide upon the pagans: fince the facts they mention, are principally taken from the gentile historians, and attested by those, who were very far from carrying any prejudices, in favour of Christianity (r).

Infants, and little children, were also murder'd by the magicians, and necromancers of old: and as the pagan *Haruspices*, or *Extispices*, pretended to

⁽o) Nat. Hift. 1. 30. cap. 1.

⁽p) Lactant. l. 1. cap. 21. Porphyr. de Abstinentia l. 2. cap. 56. Euseb. de laude Constant. p.659.

⁽q) Hodieq; ab ipsis Latiaris Jupiter homicidio colitur; & quod Saturni filio dignum est, mali & noxii hominis sanguine saginatur. Min. Fel. p. 297. Tertul. adv. Gnostic.

cap. 7.
(r) Vide Porphyr. de Abstinentia. cap. 54, 55, 56, 57. l. 2.

64 The charge of Infanticide

guess at the success of any action; and to discover future events, by looking into the entrails of beafts; so the magicians consulted the Gods, in fibris infantum, by looking into the bowels of infants (s). Dionysius of Alexandria, in one of his epistles (1), speaks of an Ægyptian conjurer, in the reign of Valerian, who in his magical operations, ripp'd up new born infants, and examin'd their bowels. The same things are reported of Maxentius, who was a bigotted observer of all the pagan superstitions (u). In Julian's reign, many children, of both fexes, were murder'd by magicians, at Athens, Alexandria, and several other places (w). And long before that time, that horrid and infernal practice is mention'd, by Justin Martyr (x), Horace (y), and some others.

By these instances that I have given, it evidently appears, that the pagan usages are very well describ'd, in the second antichristian calumny; and that whatever reason there was, for fastening the charge of infanticide upon the primitive Christians,

⁽s) Porphyr. de Abstinentia ab Animal. l. 2, cap. 51. Justin. Apol. 1. D. Cass. l. 73. p. 838.

⁽t) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. 1.7. cap. 10. vide l. 8. cap. 14.

⁽w) Καὶ πελείας πνας συνίςασαν, ώς κỳ σπλαγχνοσκοπέμθροι παιδας καίαπιεν ἀφπίους, αρρένος κỳ θυλείας, κỳ περοσαριών δποχένεδαι. Socrat. H.ft. Eccles. l. 3. cap. 13.

⁽x) Apol. 1. (y) Epod. 5. In Canidiam Veneficam. Vide Juvenal. Sat. 6. vers. 553.

it may with justice enough, be retorted upon the heathens themselves. And since 'tis very natural, for men to form a judgment of other mens behaviour, by their own; and from a parity of circumstances, to conclude a likeness of action; it will be no extravagant, and improbable supposition, if we make the inhuman cruelties of the heathens, the genuine, and original foundation of the second calumny, against the Christians.

This conjecture is supported by two very plaufible reasons. First, The Christians were accounted a pack of infamous conspirators, and sworn enemies to Casar. At their night meetings, they were suspected to be plotting against the empire, and forming of villainous confederacies against the publick peace. They were suppos'd to carry a mortal hatred towards all men, excepting those of their own persuasion; and to promote the interest of the Christian society, by the vilest, clandestine arts. Now if it was a cultomary thing amongst the pagan conspirators, to drink human blood, in order to engage one another, to act with greater fecrecy, and fidelity; why may we not suppose, that the heathens accus'd the Christians of infanticide, and of drinking human blood, only because they look'd upon them as traitors, and conspirators? Nay it can scarce be imagin'd, why they shou'd think, that the Christians were conspirators, without supposing at the same time, that they took

the same precautions, and pursu'd the same me-

thods, that other conspirators did.

Secondly, The Christians were represented as forcerers, and magicians; and even our blessed Lord, and his Aposses did not escape that censure (z): And since we have undeniable proof, that the heathen magicians were guilty of infanticide, there is still the greater probability, that the second calumny was originally deriv'd, from the scandalous practices, amongst the heathens themselves.

But tho' the imputation of infanticide, and of drinking human blood, may thus be accounted for, with a tolerable femblance of reason, and truth: yet it may be question'd, whether the charge of Appentogazia, of eating human flesh, cou'd be derived from the same cause. For, that the magicians us'd to eat of those infants, that they murder'd, is mention'd by none (as far as I remember) excepting Socrates; whose authority, as an historian, may be very good; yet still, what he speaks of, is very little to the purpose, as being done so late, as the reign of the Apostate Julian. Besides, what is reported of the conspirators of old, and particularly of Catiline, and his companions, looks very much like a fable: or admitting that infanticide was the practice amongst them; it is to the last

⁽z) Origen. contra Celf. l. 1. p. 30. Arnob. adv. Gentes. l. 1. p. 25.

degree improbable, that they tasted of the sless of the murder'd infants; and especially, since this circumstance is omitted by some of the gentile historians, and the conspirators are said only to have touch'd (a) the bowels of the infant, and upon them to have sworn mutual sidelity, and assistance.

In answer to this difficulty, let it be observed; that it makes no difference in this matter, whether those accounts of the pagan conspirators were true, or false: any senseless tale, tho' never so ill grounded, was enough to give the gentiles a handle to traduce the Christians. The eating of human flesh was charg'd upon several of the heathens (b); and by some upon the Catilinarian conspirators (c). Now whatever foundation there might be for these reports; 'tis certain, they were common enough, and credited by a great many people: and an ill natur'd, and implacable enemy to Christianity, who look'd upon Christians, as conspirators against all civil societies, might from hence take an occasion, to calumniate the innocent Christians. Whether this was the principal, or sole foundation of the charge, I will not pretend to determine. There's no absurdity in supposing,

⁽a) "Ορκον ομόσαι μέγαν Έθεξε πᾶσι δεινόν, ανθεώπει σεαγέρ] Επισπείσανίας αξιια, η η σπλαγχρών ηγόνίας. Plutarch in vit. Poplic.

⁽b) Herodot. l. 11. cap. 215. l. 3. cap. 99. Sueton. in vita Neron. cap. 37. Plin. Nat. Hist. l. 30. cap. 1, &c.

that feveral things contributed, towards the forming, and propagating of every individual calumny. This however, I think, is evident; that the reports concerning the pagan cannibals, if they were not the original foundation of the calumny against the Christians, gave it at least a greater appearance of truth, and made it pass more currently: for how shou'd it enter into the head of any infidel, to lay so monstrous a charge? or how cou'd any one give credit to such abominable falsities, unless there had been some before, who had been guilty of the same practices (d)?

The Jews were formerly charg'd with a crime, that is pretty much of the fame nature, with that which is contain'd in the fecond calumny against the primitive Christians. The story, as told by Apion of Alexandria, is this. When Antiochus Epiphanes plunder'd the temple at Jerusalem, he found in it a man lying upon a bed, with a table before him, cover'd with a great variety of the choicest meats. At the sight of the king, the man fell down at his feet, and with the bitterest lamentations, implor'd his assistance. The king bad him declare who he was; how he came there, and what was

⁽d) Nemo hoc potest credere, nisi qui possit audere. Min. Fel. p. 289. Hæc quo magis resutaverim, a vobis sieri ostendam, partim in aperto, partim in occulto, per quod forsitan & de nobis credidistis. Insantes penes Africam Saturno immolabantur.—— Tertal. Apol. cap. 9.

the meaning of fo many dishes of meat upon the table. The man told him, he was a Greek, and had the misfortune to be taken by the Jews, and thut up in that place, with an intention to facrifice him when he was grown fat : for he was inform'd, by some of the servants that brought him victuals; that it was a custom amongst the Jews, to sacrifice a Greek every year, to taste of his flesh, and fwear an irreconcileable enmity towards all Greeks. And, the more effectually to move Antiochus's compassion, he told him he had but a few days longer to live (e). Josephus has sufficiently baffl'd, and expos'd the absurdity, and nonsense of this ridiculous tale: and has shown, that it came originally from the Greeks, who had a mind to justify the sacrilege of Antiochus, in plundering the temple; and his base perfidiousness, in invading Judaa in a hostile manner, without the least provocation from the Jews, and contrary to the most solemn treaties, and engagements.

Now this reflection upon the Jewish nation, is pretty near a-kin to that, which was thrown upon the primitive Christians: and since the heathens made little or no distinction between Jews and

⁽e) 7oseph. contra Apion. l. 2. Dion Cassim speaks of an incredible number of Greeks and Romans, that were slain, and eaten by the Jews, in Trajan's time. Hist. Rom. l. 68. p. 786.

Christians, especially whilst Christianity was in its infancy, one would imagin, that the second accusation against the Christians, came from that old calumny upon the Jews, mention'd by Apion. Besides in the same place, Apion censures the Jews, for swearing by the great God of Heaven, and Earth, that they wou'd shew no favour to any foreigner (f): which is agreeable to the character, that the primitive Christians had given them by their Enemies.

But on the other hand; if we consider, that what Apion reports of the Jews, was not their general character: that in this his censure he stands alone: and that tis probable, the calumny was but little credited; nor so much as heard of by many; we shall not have much reason, to derive the original occasion of the second antichristian calumny, from Apion's scandalous restection upon the Jews. Neither indeed, upon a strict comparison, are the calumnies exactly parallel. For the Jews were accus'd of sacrificing a man, after they had satted him with dainty meats. The Christians were said to murder one of their own little infants. In Apion's story, there is no mention of drinking human blood; which is a part of the

⁽f) Κατα Γευθεται ή τὸ όρκον ἡμήθ τος ὁμνυ όνθων τ΄ Ατον, τό ποινσαντα τ΄ τε ερνόν, τὸ τίμο γίμο, τὸ τίμο Ακλασταν, μπθενε ευνοήσειν ἀλλοφύλω, μάλεςα δε Ελλησιν. Το ερβο. contra Apion. 1. 2.

calumny upon the Christians. The intention of the Jews, by that sacrifice, was to perpetuate their enmity towards the Greeks: but infanticide amongst Christians, was said to be, by way of initiating members into the Christian society. So that upon the whole, there seems to be very little, or no authority, for sounding the charge of infanticide, and eating of human slesh, upon Apiou's silly, and ridiculous story.

There is a valuable fragment of Irenaus, preferv'd by Oecumenius (g), that gives the best solution of the distinctly; and leads us towards a discovery of the true, and genuine source of that barbarous charge against the Christians, contain'd in the second article. Irenaus informs us (h), that the heathens apprehended the servants of some Christians, and compell'd them to declare, what evil they knew of their masters. The servants, willing to make a discovery, that might please the inquirers, declar'd, that they had heard their

(g) Comment. ad 1 Epist. Pet. cap. 3.

⁽h) Χεισιανών γας κα η χεμθών δ'ελες Ελληνες συλλαδόντες, επα μαθείν τι Φρά τέπον διθεν επόρρηπον σει Χεισιανών ανα κάζον ες, οι δελοι έποι, μι έρρν ες πώς το ποίς ανα κάζον καθ ή εδυλώ έρειν, παρόπον ή κεον ποί δεσού που, τιο θείαν μετάλε ψιν αίμα κ) σώμα είναι Χεισε, αὐτοὶ νομόσαν ες τω διι αίμα κ) σώμα είναι, πεπο θείπον ποίς εληπεσι δι δε λαδόν ες ως πόχοιμα πεπο πελείθι Χεισιανοίς, κ) δι τέπο ποίς άλλοις Ελλησιν θεπόμπευον, κ) τες μάξτυρας Σάγκου κ) Βλανθίναν διολογήσαι διά βασαίναν η κάγκοζον. Vide Fragment. Iron. in Edit. Beneditt. p. 343.

masters speak of eating the slesh of Christ, and drinking his blood, in the holy facrament. The heathens, who knew nothing of the nature of that facrament, imagin'd, that the Christians did in reality eat human flesh, and drink human blood: and upon this information, they founded the calumny; which they industriously propagated, and endeavour'd by tortures, to make the Martyrs, SanEfus, and Blandina, own the charge to be true.

It must be own'd that this relation comes from very good authority, and gives a plaufible, and natural account of the occasion, and origin of the fecond calumny: and as the Christians were accufed of worshipping Ceres, and Bacchus (i), because they are, and drank confecrated bread, and wine, at the holy communion; fo 'tis exceeding probable, that the charge of Anthropophagia, was deriv'd from a grofly mistaken notion of the sacrament of the body, and blood of Christ. But there are two difficulties that want to be clear'd up. The first is this. The perfecution in Gaul, in which Sanctus and Blandina, and a great many others fuffer'd martyrdom, was in the reign of M. Antoninus: about the year of our Lord, 176: but the second calumny prevail'd long before that time. The fragment seems to have been taken from that famous letter, fent by the churches of Lyons, and

⁽i) Augustin. l. 20. centra Faustum Manichaum. c. 13.

Vienne, to the Christians in Asia, and Phrygia: and fince the fragment is expresly ascrib'd to Irenaus, 'tis probable, that he was the author of that celebrated epiftle (k); being at the same time an eminent Presbyter of the church of Lyons, and not long after Bishop of the same church. Now that information, given to the perfecutors in Gaul, was not the first occasion of that odious charge upon the Christians; nor indeed does Irenaus say any thing like it. The heathens long before, no doubt of it, had heard fomething of the holy facrament; and from thence deriv'd an occasion to abuse the Christians. The servants were put to the rack, not for the discovery of Truth; but purely with an intention to accuse the Christians: and they made fuch a declaration, as they were directed to make; and fuch, as they knew wou'd please the inquirers: nay, in that famous account of the Martyrs in Gaul, we find, that the foldiers prompted the fervants, and directed them what discoveries to make (1): by which it appears, that a gross, and imperfect notion of the holy sacrament, had given occasion to censure the Christians, before the persecution in Gaul, which was in the year of our Lord 176.

(1) των દરલી બીઅં હતા τέτο παζοςμών ων αυθές. Ευβείο. Hist. Eccles. L. 5. c. 1.

⁽k) Vide Massuet. Editor. Iren. dissertat. 2. c. 60. p. 106. Vales. annotat. in L. 5. c. 1. Euseb. Hist. Eccles.

74 The charge of Infanticide

But tho' the charge of eating human flesh, and drinking of human blood, may reasonably be deriv'd from the aforemention'd cause; yet there remains a second difficulty, how to account for the charge of infanticide: for how eating the slesh of Christ, and drinking his blood, taken in a litteral sense, shou'd create a suspicion, that the Christians murder'd infants, cannot easily be conceiv'd; and 'tis therefore probable, that the charge of Infanticide, must have some other foundation.

There are some passages in the holy scriptures, that might, when grossy misinterpreted by the heathens, give birth to that detestable calumny. There were many remarkable sayings of our bleffed Lord, handed about the world; and the sacred books of the New Testament, by some accident or other, sell into the hands of many an insides (m). But what egregious blunders they committed, in citing the holy scriptures, Celsus is a remarkable instance; whose mistakes, and misconstructions are so numerous, and great, that one would almost suspect, that he had never seen the Apostolical writings (n). The passage, that seems most likely, to have occasion'd the calumny, is this.

(n) Origen. consra Celf. 1. 1. p. 47, 56.

⁽m) Inspice Dei voces, literas nostras, quas neg; ipsi supprimimus, & pleriq; casus ad extraneos transferunt.

Terrul. Apol. cap. 31.

Then Jesus said unto them, verily, verily, 1 say unto you, except ye eat the flesh of the son of man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you (0).

Now suppose an ignorant heathen had accidentally feen, or heard of this passage: the observations that he would naturally make upon it, would have been these. 1. Whoever was meant by the fon of man, he would take him to be a person difline from the holy Jesus. 2. By son of man, he would not have understood a man, or an adult person: for, as every man is the son of some other man, he must have look'd upon this interpretation. as downright nonsense, or at least a foolish circumlocution: by filius hominis then, he would have understood a man's male child. 3. This command of eating and drinking flesh, and blood, he could not take in any other than a litteral sense. And lastly, he would observe, that this eating, and drinking, is made a necessary condition, of enjoying life, or happiness; and would therefore conclude; that it was a sacred, and initiatory rite, or facrifice, amongst Christians. The result of these observations is this. The Lord Jesus Christ, under pain of his highest displeasure, commanded his followers (who religiously obey'd all his commands) to kill a male child, or infant; and to eat of his flesh, and drink of his blood: and this was

⁽o) St. John 6. 53.

76 The charge of Infanticide

to be done by way of Initiation; or to qualify men, for the enjoyment of that happiness, which the Lord Jesus promised to all those, that were his true disciples. This is exactly the substance of the second calumny upon the primitive Christians.

I cannot tell whether the baptizing of infants, which, 'tis generally suppos'd, was the practice in the first ages of Christianity, might not occasion, or at least confirm the suspicion of infanticide. 'Tis true, there is nothing in nature more different, than the initiatory facrament of baptism, by which infants, as well as others, were made members of the Christian society, and the pretended crime contain'd in the second calumny: however it must be observ'd; that as infants by baptism were admitted into the Christian covenant, so the infantieide charg'd upon the Christians was said to be their method of Initiation. Cecilius says, de initiandis tirunculis, fabula tam detestanda, quam nota est. Concerning the initiating of novices, there is a flory equally detestable, and notorious (p). Now since the infidels judg'd by appearances, and founded their accusations upon trifling circumstances, and very imperfect relations; might not the fuspicion of infanticide proceed, from the primitive practice of baptizing of infants? For, if the infidels had heard, that the Christians ate human slesh, and

⁽p) Min. Felin.

drank human blood; and had also heard, that infants were carried to the Christian priest: they must naturally conclude, that those very infants were facrific'd. And hence perhaps came that monstrous sable of infanticide. To an Antipadobaptist, who fixeth the origin of Infant Baptism much later than the Apostolick age, this conjecture must appear groundless, and trisling; and perhaps others will entertain the same sentiments about it: but I think my self oblig'd, whilst I am inquiring into the original grounds, and causes of those abominable resections thrown upon the primitive Christians, to set down every thing (being in want of substantial Evidence and authority) that carries any appearance of probability.

I have sometimes thought, that the decree made by the Apostles at Jerusalem (q), might contribute something towards the propagating of the first, and second antichristian calumny. The Apostolical decree enjoyns an abstinence from blood, from things strangled, and from fornication. Upon which a heathen would naturally put the following construction; if he had receiv'd any previous hints, that the Christians were tax'd with eating human

⁽q) Atts 15. 29.

78 The charge of Infanticide

flesh, with drinking human blood, and with promiscuous, and incestuous copulation. and incestuous copulation. and incests, that is from drinking of human blood. Barrels, from eating of human slesh, or strangled infants. The word workers, and from incestuous lusts. The word workers, tho' in its primary, and more obvious acceptation, it signifies fornication; yet does it also denote, every species, and degree of lust, and impurity. In this sense, it is us'd several times by St. Paul (r): and speaking of the incessuous Corinthian, he expressly calls his crime workers (s). It is reported commonly (t) that there is fornication among you (wogreia), and such fornication (wogreia) as is not so much as named amongst the Gentiles, that one should have his father's wife.

⁽r) Theff. 4. 3. Rom. 1. 29. กลังสง สำหัติรู ฟนา สิงสงสุดาสง หอง ทัศร พอรูงค่อง องค์แลง เชียเล่นสริง. Theophylatt. Comment. ad Rom. 1. 29.

⁽s) I Corinth 5. 1.

(t) Dr. Whithy, in his notes upon this place, observes; that the crime of the incessuous Corinthian, censur'd by St. Paul, probably gave occasion, to that vile reslection of unnatural Lusts, thrown upon the primitive Christians. The learned Dostor builds his conjecture upon these words, one answere, it is every where heard of, or it is a common report. But allowing that the report was got amongst the Insidels as well as Christians; which yet there is no necessity to suppose: I can think it by no means probable, that the crime of one single Christian, shou'd occasion so universal, and so lasting a calumny; and especially, when the offender was justly animadverted upon, by the seasonable interposition of St. Paul; and thereby an effectual stop put, to that infamous practice.

If it shou'd be objected, that the Christians paid the strictest regard to all the Apostolical directions; and that therefore it must be unreasonable, to sufpect the Christians guilty of such crimes, as are expresly condemn'd by the Apostles. The answer is, that a prohibition implies a preceding practice; and that an Apostolical decree won'd have been unnecessary, and impertinent, if none had been guilty of such things, as are thereby prohibited. A candid and impartial man, wou'd no more have founded a charge of incest, and infanticide upon this Apostolical decree; than of treason, perjury, or any other great, and capital offence: but nothing but the foulest, and most disingenuous praclices, were to be expected from bigotted, and implacable enemies to Christianity. They embraced every opportunity: emprov'd every hint, to the disadvantage, and discredit of the Christian cause. Boldly, and impudently censur'd, what they did not understand: and without evidence. or probability; and contrary to justice, or reason. tax'd the Christians with the grossest enormities. And whilst they were under the influence of such prejudices, and wicked dispositions, we may I think, reasonably suppose, that as there is some seeming resemblance, between those practices prohibited by the Apostolical decree, and the pretended crimes objected to the Christians; so the heathens might from hence take a handle to calumniate the innocent Christians, and derive that heavy

load of infamy, contain'd in the first, and second article of accusation.

To sum up what has been said upon the imputation of infanticide, and of eating human sless. There is little or no reason to imagin, that the second calumny was occasion'd by the hereticks, in the first ages of the Church. For tho' the Gnosticks, Montanists, and Simonians, are by some tax'd with infanticide, &c. yet in the most early, and authentick accounts of them, no such charge appears. As several things were formerly branded with the odious title of heresy, which, in my opinion, deserv'd a more favourable, and softer appellation: so the hereticks themselves (tho' justly charg'd with many errors, and impieties) have in several particulars been misrepresented, and abus'd.

Nor is there any better reason for deriving this calumny, from Apion's idle tale of a Greek, that was annually murder'd, and eaten by the Jews. For that reflection upon the Jews, seems to have been stifled in its infancy: to have been but little credited; nor so much as heard of, by many: and besides, this calumny upon the Jews, differs in many remarkable particulars, from that vile imputation cast upon the primitive Christians.

The fragment of *Irenaus*, feems to discover the true and genuine foundation, of the charge of *Anthropophagia*, of eating human flesh, and drinking human blood: and 'tis not impossible, that the practices of the pagan conspirators, and magicians

fuch

(such as the Christians were slanderously reported to be) might also contribute, towards forming of the calumny. As to the charge of infanticide: whether it came from the necromancers, and magicians: or from a misinterpretation, of the abovemention'd passage, in St. Jehn's Gospel: or from the practice of baptizing of infants: or from all of them together, I leave to the learned reader to determine.

If we look into the character, and behaviour of a primitive Christian, we shall readily discover, the foul injustice, and unreasonableness of the charge of infanticide, and eating of human sless. Whilst the heathens made no scruple to drown, or strangle their infants: to expose them to birds, and beasts of prey: or to suffer them to perish by hunger, and cold (u): the Christians brought up their children with the utmost tenderness, and care. They were so far from complying with the cruel, but sashionable custom, of murdering their issue; that they look'd upon all attempts to procure abortion, whether they succeeded or no, as intentional homicide (w). Notice homicidium nec

⁽u) Min. Fel. p. 289. vide Achenag. Legat. Justin. Apol. 1. Dionys. Hal. l. 2. Plin. l. 10. Epist. 71, 72. Terene. Hecyr. Seneca de Ira. l. 1. c. 15. Plus. in Lycurgo.

⁽w) Nobis vero homicidio semel interdicto, etiam conceptum utero, dum adhuc sanguis in hominem delibatur, dissolvere non licet. Tertul. April. cap. 9. vide Athenag. Legat. p. 38.

videre fas est (x). They accounted it a crime even to see a man kill'd; and though it was done, by way of punishment for capital crimes, and after a legal conviction (y). Barely to look on, whilst a man was flain, they took for a fort of approbation of the fact; and the spectator was in some meafure involv'd, in the guilt of homicide (z). For this reason they never went to see the gladiators, nor any of those bloody, and inhuman diversions, that the heathens were much delighted with (a).

As for drinking of human blood, 'tis a most aftonishing charge, and the grossest lye that could have been invented. In obedience to the Apostolical decree, the first Christians carefully abstain'd from the blood of all animals: and from eating the flesh of strangled animals, and of those that dy'd by any accidental hurt, or distemper. Hence the Martyr Biblis cry'd out, whilst under tortures, how is it possible, that Christians shou'd eat little children, who are not permitted so much as to taste the blood of any irrational animal (b)? This the heathens were very well acquainted with; and therefore

(x) Min. Fel. p. 299.

(a) Vide Theoph. ad Autolyc. l. 3. Tertul. de Spettac. de Idololatr. Min. Fel. p. 343.

(b) Eufeb. Hift. Ecclef. l. 5. c. I.

^{() &}quot;Ous Minare is l'és sir nou dingles pordéalus vivo-soliveles, rémor ris "au naleinos n avolesportar n avogeme-coelar. Achenag. p. 38.

⁽z) Quod si interesse homicidio sceleris conscientia est, & eodem facinore spectator obstrictus est, quo & admissor, &c. Lastant. Epitome. cap. 6. vide l. 6. de vero cultu. cap. 20.

amongst other experiments for the discovery of Christians, this was one. They fill'd a bottle, or bladder with blood, and put it to a man's mouth, to see whether he wou'd taste it, or no (c).

We learn from the Apologists, and others, that the first Christians consider'd the prohibition of blood, and things strangled, as of a standing, perpetual, and unchangeable obligation (d): and that this opinion, almost universally prevail'd, for a great many Years. Which by the way is something surprizing; when the great Apostle of the Gentiles, had given a different determination of this matter; and had declar'd for the legality, even of cating things offer'd to idols, provided it was done without giving offence to a weak brother (e).

One of those Canons stil'd Apostolical, inflicts a severe penalty upon those, that presume to eat blood (f): and the Greek church, which always had those ancient rules in great veneration, even to this day, prohibits the eating of blood, and things strangled; and condemns the contrary prac-

⁽c) Denique inter Tentamenta Christianorum, botulos etiam cruore distentos admovetis. Tertul. Apol. c. 9.

⁽d). Tertul. Apol. c. 9. Min. Fel. p. 300. Clem. Alex. Pædagog. L. 3. c. 3. Origen. contra Celf. L. 8. p. 396, 397. Lucian. de morte Peregr. p. 597.

⁽e) 1. Corinch. 8.

⁽f) Can. 63. vide Can. 2. Concil. Gangr. Can. 67. Synod. Trub.

tice of the western churches (g). Nay in the Latin church, even so late as the beginning of the eighth century; we find Gregory the third, bishop of Rome, prohibiting Morticina, or the eating of animals, whose blood was not extracted (h). Indeed St. Austin (i), and some others about his time, take the Apostolical decree in a different sense: but 'tis certain, that for a great many years; blood, and things strangled, were reputed unlawful food, and Clement of Alexandria speaks the sentiments, of almost all the Christians in the world, at that time, when he says, & Siyen ana to touch blood (k).

By what reasons those worthy Christians conducted their practice, I shall not now enquire. All that I would infer from hence is, the monstrous injustice, and unreasonableness of the charge. For, that the Christians shou'd drink human blood, and at the same time religiously abstain, from the blood of any irrational animal; when no imaginable reason cou'd be assign'd, but what would hold infinitely stronger, against the former, than the later: that the best of men shou'd eat the sless of

(k) Padugog. L. 3. 6. 3.

⁽g) Vide Balsamon. Scholia in Canon. Apostol.63. Leon. Allat. de consens. Eccles. Orient. & Occident. L. 3. c. 14.

⁽b) Canon. Pænitent. Greg. tertti. c. 30. (i) Contra Faust. Manichæum. L. 32. c. 13. Tom. 6.

murder'd infants; which perhaps was never done by any people in the world, or at least, only by fuch favage monsters, as were perfect strangers to all religion, and the common duties of humanity: that Christians, I say, shou'd be guilty of this, is shocking to common understanding. The heathens, 'tis said, fometimes drank human blood, for the cure of the Epileply (1): but it is not so much as pretended, that the Christians drank it by way of medicine. In a word, the calumny carries its confutation along with it: 'tis a fable big with abfurdities, and contradiction; and whoever can give it any credit, may upon more probable grounds believe, that the Jews formerly here in England crucify'd infants: nay must be prepar'd for the belief of the most false, and romantick tale, that can possibly be invented by man.

⁽¹⁾ Quidam jugulati Gladiatoris calido sanguine poto tali morbo se liberarunt. Colsus de morbo Comitiali. L. 3. c. 23. Vide Plin. Nat. Hist. L. 28. c. 1. Min. Fel. p. 299. Tertul. Apol. c. 9.



CHAP. V.

The charge of Atheism accounted for.

Come now to the last of those three original calumnics, which I suppose, to be as old as Christianity it self, or very near it. The charge of Atheism undoubtedly commenc'd, as soon as there were any Christians in the world. For, whilst it was the practice, as well as the Duty of the primitive Christians, to maintain the unity of the Godhead: to explode the idolatrous worship, and condemn the Polytheism of the heathen world: they must unavoidably subject themselves to the imputation of Atheism, and impiety. If the pagans were sincere in their belief, they cou'd not forbear censuring those, as Atheistical and prophane, who vilify'd, and treated with contempt, their fabulous Deities.

Whether we suppose that the calumny came originally from the Jews, or from the Gentiles, there is little, or no difficulty-in accounting for it. To an obstinate Jew, corrupted, and blinded with vices, and prejudices (as we find they were in our blessed

blessed Saviours time) a Christian must appear to be a prophane, and blasphemous wretch. The Christians indeed profess'd to believe in, and worship the one true God, the God of Israel: but how wou'd this be credited by the Jews; whilst they observ'd them openly, and avowedly declaring, against circumcision, and other legal observances; and running directly counter, to many of the Mofaical precepts? They thought it a crime, of a heinous nature in St. Paul, who perfuaded men to worship God contrary to the law (a). And St. Stephen was charg'd with blasphemy, against Moses, and against God; for faying, that this Jesus of Nazareth shall destroy this place, and shall change the customs which Moses deliver'd us (b). The generality of the Jews paid the same regard to the ceremonial law, as they did to the precepts of morality; and the eternal rules of right reason: and when they found, that the Christians were for abolishing all those legal observances, and carnal ordinances, they treated them as impious blasphemers; as disputing the authority of the great God of Israel, and in effect denying his existence. In consequence of this, immediately after our Lord's Ascension, the Iews fent messengers into all parts of the world, to give notice, that there was lately fprung up a-

⁽a) Acts 18. 13. (b) Acts 6. 14.

mongst them, « "aige on "aleer Kgistera", an athensical sect of Christians (c).

How the heathens came to charge the Christians with Atheism is obvious enough. Justin Martyr assigns the reason. He says, the Christians deny'd those to be Gods, that were generally accounted fo; and maintain'd that the pagan worship was idolatrous, and not the worship of God, but of devils: 20 fev de no "A Deos nend nue ou and from bence we are called Athersts (d). 'Tis amazing to consider, to what a pitch of stupidity and infatuation, the heathens were arriv'd, at the coming of the Messiah. They fell down to stocks and stones, and gave a snam divinity, to the worst of men, and the vilest of creatures. There was scarce an Altar in the world, dedicated to the true God; tho' in him they liv'd, and mov'd, and had their being. Their corruption in manners, was equal to their ignorance; and whilst they knew not God, they were even strangers, to the common duties of morality: · so that the world never stood more in need of a Saviour; and never deserv'd one less; than it did at that time, when the fon of God was pleas'd to descend from Heaven; that he might destroy the works of the devil.

The Apostles, and their successors, had incredible difficulties to engage with, whilst they were

⁽c) Justin. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 235. 335.

endeavouring to root out idolatry, and superstition, that were grown venerable by their great antiquity, and recommended by almost an universal practice. To turn men from the power of Satan unto God, who were influenc'd by the most obstinate and rooted prejudices, was a work, that cou'd never have been effected, without the affistance. and interpolition of the omnipotent arm of God. The first planters of our holy religion, with surprizing constancy and courage, afferted, that what the heathens worshipp'd, were false, and fictitious deities. This mightily exasperated the pagans, who, to vindicate the honour of their Gods, purfu'd the Christians with infernal malice; and loaded them with the vilest reproaches. They were treated, as most impious innovators in religion. As enemies to gods, and men. And upon this, the charge of Atheism became a popular, and general calumny. Crescens the Cynick gives this character of the Christians (e). Lucian supposeth 2 Christian and an Atheist to be the same thing (f). A great multitude of Jews and heathens, at Polycarp's Martyrdom, cry'd out with one voice, dige The Abess, away with the Atheists, meaning the Christians (g).

OF 100 1. 100

⁽e) Justin. Apolo 2000

⁽f) Pseudomant. p. 624. Tom. I.

⁽g) Epift. Ecclef. Smyrn, de Polycurp, Martyr. c. 3. 9. 12.

The heathens urg'd the charge of Atheism with the greater vehemence, because they observ'd, that the Christians ridicul'd their superstitious fooleries, and all their rites and ceremonies, that had been for a long time reputed facred. The Christians had so great an abhorrence of an idol, that they omitted no opportunity of expressing it. By way of derision and contempt, they us'd to spit at the altars, and the images of the pagan Gods. This custom is alluded to by Tertullian (h); and 'tis mention'd by Cecilius, as an instance of the greatest impiety (i). Celfus charges the Christians with abufing, and striking at the statues of Jupiter, Apollo, and the rest (k).

The irreverence and contempt that the Christians shew'd to the idol temples, was also thought to be no little aggravation of the guilt of prophanenels, and impiety. The Christians look'd upon the heathen temples, as the habitation of devils; as publick stews, and receptacles for the most abandon'd prostitutes. But the pagans were extremely offended, to hear their temples call'd fepulchres: and when George, bishop of Alexandria, passing by a heathen temple, cry'd out, Quamdiu

(k) Origen. contra Celf. 1. 8, p. 402.

⁽b) Ipsius fidei conscientiam perrogemus, quo ore Christianus thurarius si per templa transibit, quo ore fu-mantes aras despuet, & exsussibit quibus ipse prospexit? De Idololat. c. II.

⁽i) Deos despuunt. Rident sacra. Min. Fel. p. 77.

sepulchrum hoc stabit? How long shall this sepulchre stand? The enrag'd multitude fell upon him, and tore him to pieces; burnt his body, and cast the ashes into the sea (1). Several of the Christian writers indisputably prove (m), that the heathen temples, were originally funeral monuments: and that the pagan deities were in reality dead men, whose bones and ashes were deposited in the temples: this made the heathens exclaim, Templa ut busta despiciunt. They look upon our temples, as monuments for the dead (n). And when churches came to be erected upon the Martyrs graves, the heathens fmartly enough retorted the charge, and cail'd the Christian temples ragoi, sepulchres; and the Christians themselves, & weel Tagues, those that frequented the commeteries, or graves of the martyrs (0).

When the Christians became numerous, and powerful, and were under the protection of the civil magistrate, many of the pagan temples were utterly demolish'd. Then the charge of Atheism run high: the heathens were quite out of patience; and cou'd not mention a Christian without rage,

⁽¹⁾ Ammian. Marcellin. l. 22. cap. 11. p. 326. vid. Socrat. Hist. Eccles. l. 3. cap. 2.

⁽m) Clem. Alex. Cohortat. ad Gent. cap. 3. p. 39. cap. 10. 7. 74. Arnob. l. 6. p. 193, 194. Tertull. de spectac. cap. 13. (n) Min. Fel. p. 77.

⁽⁰⁾ Vide Julian. Misopog. Libanii Orat. funeb. Eunap. vita

and invective. Libanius, Julian, and others (p), display their rhetorick upon this head: and Eunapius can neither keep within the bounds of truth, nor decency, when he mentions the demolition, of the famous temple of Serapis at Alexandria (q).

The great miseries and hardships the Christians underwent, help'd forward the calumny of Atheism; being esteem'd the just consequence, of some egregious impiety. The heathens ascrib'd all their happiness, and success to their piety towards their gods: and all their misfortunes, and disappointments to prophaneness, and negligence in their superstitious worship. The prodigious extent, and the flourishing state of the Roman empire, they imputed to this: that whilft other nations had their particular deities, the Romans worthipp'd all (r). 'Twas this that brought (as they foolishly imagin'd) the whole world in subjection to the Roman laws. 'Twas this that kept Hannibal from their gates, and the Gauls from the Capitol (s). Hence they blasphem'd the great God of Israel, when the Jews became a conquer'd people: when their power was gone into captivity, and their beauty into the enemies hand (t). And for the same reason, and

(p) Vide Julian. & Liban. opera.

⁽q) Eunap. vit. Edes. p. 77, 78. (r) Miu. Fel. p. 49. Valer. max. l. 1. cap. 1. Tertull. Apol. cap. 25.

⁽s) Symmach. Epist. l. 10. Epist. 54. p. 539.
(t) Min. Fel. p. 94. Cic. pro Flacco. Joseph. contra Apion. l. 2. p. 1067. Cyril. contra Julian. l. 6. Julian. Fragment. p. 541.
with

with equal justice, they tax'd the Christians with Atheism. They saw them involv'd in troubles, and difficulties: seemingly in a starving, and forlorn condition: and tortur'd with the most shocking cruelties, and they drew this wicked, and blasphermous conclusion, that the God the Christians woishipp'd, was not able to deliver them (u): and that their own Gods wou'd give them no assistance; since the Christians had affronted them in the grosfess manner, by denying their existence: by exploding their worship: insulting their images; and by prophaning their temples, and altars.

This calumny continu'd inuch longer, than any of the rest: nor is this at ask to be wondred ar. since it can scarce be imagin'd; how the Christians shou'd at any time escape the censure of Atheism. whilst there were any idolaters in the world. The charge of incest, and infanticide, must be dropp'd in time: fince the infidels cou'd not but meet with repeated opportunities, of discovering the falfity of it: but fo long as there were any people, that worshipp'd a plurality of Gods, the charge of Atheism wou'd fall upon the Christians. Hence we find in the fourth century the Emperor Julian (who attempted to re-establish idolatry, and superstition upon their old foundation) calling the Christian religion emphatically, The Adeornta, the Atheism: and the Christians, sometimes Atheists,

⁽u) Min. Fel. p. 102, 103.

94 The charge of Atheism, &c.

and lometimes Galilaans (w). It must be own'd, that the pagans were fincere in profecuting the charge of Atheism; and that they really believ'd those to be the wickedest of monsters, who derided their gods: and this was the fatal fource, of all those barbarous cruelties, that the primitive Christians met with. Long before the coming of our Saviour, it was reputed a capital crime to speak irreverently of the gods. Socrates was sentenc'd to die as an Atheist, by a decree of the Athenian senate. Diagoras, and Protagoras were severely punish'd, for deriding the sacred mysteries; and speaking difrespectfully of the gods: and Anaxagoras the Clazomenian suffer'd death, for afferting, that the Sun (which was generally worshipp'd as a god of the first class) was nothing but a red hot stone (x).

1. I. Theoph. ad Autol. 1. 3.

⁽w) Julian. Epist. 59. p. 203. Fragment. p. 528. Misopog. p. 90, 95, 98.
(x) Joseph. convra Apion. l. 2. p. 1079. Tull. de nat. Deor.



CHAP. VI.

The charge of Kepahoronalgeia, or of worshipping the Head of an Ass accounted
for.

HEN this Calumny took it's first rise, I cannot precisely determine: but-'tis evident enough, that it was of a much later date, than any of those that I have already attempted to account for: fince neither Justin, Theophilus, nor Athenagoras, take any notice of it. Tertullian is the first that mentions it; and Minucius Felix (who wrote (a) about the same time) is the last. From whence one wou'd conjecture, that this filly and abfurd calumny, was but of a very short continuance: and indeed who can imagine, that so stupid a fiction shou'd be kept up, and propagated for any confiderable time? and especially, when it cannot be suppos'd, that any pagan could believe this charge to be true, without offering the greatest violence to his own understanding.

Most

⁽a) Vide Cav. Hift. Lit. D. Allix. differtat. de vit. & foript. Tertul.

Most of the calumnies were deriv'd from remote hints, and occasional appearances: from foul misconstructions put upon the actions, and behaviour of a Christian: but this one would take, to be purely the invention of some base, and malicious heathen. Tertullian speaks of an infamous Gladiator, who expos'd a picture with this inscription; Onochoetes, the God of the Christians (b). The picture represented a monster, that had Ass's ears. a hoof on one foot, a book in one hand, and was cloath'd with a gown. Whether this occasion'd the calumny, I will not fay: but it is not improbable, that it had some such foundation. An Ass was generally accounted a vile and contemptible Quadruped. Cecilius calls it a filthy Beast (c). The Ægyptians treated it as an impure, and prophane fort of an animal (d): and when they had a mind to describe a wicked, and execrable wretch, they call'd him an Ass (e). For this rea-Ion perhaps, the enemies of Christianity invented the slupid fable of the Ass's head; thinking they cou'd not better describe the wickedness, stupidi-

(d) Tor ovor & radaegr, and demorrar hygran Coor

⁽b) Apol. cap. 16. (c) Min. Fel. p. 83.

⁽e) Τῶν περτικῶν βασιλέων ἐχθεμίνον]ες μάλισα τὸν ἄχον ὡς ἐκαγῶ κὰ μιαερν, ὁνον ἐπωνόμασαν. Ibid. Apud Ægyptios, afini nomen objectare, magnum est ingerere probum and Typhonis hostis Oscielas colorem ferret.

ty, and folly of the Christians; nor more effectually ridicule their religion, than by making the most contemptible of animals, the object of their worship.

Some are of opinion (f), that the first occasion for this vile reflection upon the Christian worship, was given by the hereticks; fome of whom worshipp'd a fictitious Angel, or dæmon, under the shape of an Ass. The Valentinians, Basilidians, and others of the Gnostick herefy, had a strange mysterious Cabala amongst them; which they maintain'd, was unintelligible to every body but themselves (g). From a Mystical interpretation of strange words; and a conjunction of certain numerical letters, they invented a great many heavens, and angels, or governours of them; to whom they gave different names, and different offices (h): and the generation, or production of those several imaginary powers, is just such a stupid tale, as the poetical genealogy of the heathen gods. The hereticks call'd Ophiani (from their worshipping of a serpent) in conformity to the Gnostick scheme, had their seven heavens, and so many angels, or presidents over them. In the first Heaven they plac'd Michael, in the shape of a Lyon. In the second Suricles, in the shape of a Bull. In the third Raphael presided

Н

⁽f) Vide Ouzelii Not. in Octav. Min. Fel. p. 83. (g) Iren. contra haref. L. 1. c. 4.

⁽h) Iren. L. 1. 6. 24. c. 30.

under the form of a Serpent. In the fourth Gabriel, who was like an Eagle. In the fifth Thauthabaoth, who had the shape of a Bear. In the fixth Erataoth, in the shape of a Dog. And in the seventh Oncel, or Thartharaoth, under the similitude of an Ass (i). There were other hereticks, who call'd the Governour of the seventh heaven Sabaoth (k). Epiphanisms, speaking of the Gnosticks, acquaints us, that some of them gave to Sabaoth the shape of an Ass: and others the shape of an hog: and for this reason imagin'd, that the Jews were prohibited the eating of hogs slesh (l). This Sabaoth, according to the Gnostick scheme, was the creator of heaven, and earth; and of all those angels and powers that were subject to his jurisdiction.

What has been said of the Ophiani, is chiefly taken from Celsus, and confirm'd by the unquestionable authority of Origen; who made it his business to be rightly acquainted with the errors, and absurdities of those hereticks (m): and as to what Epiphanius says of Sabaoth, in the shape of an Ass, Oc. it carries the greater air of probability, as it is supported by the testimony of Theodoret (n). Nay

⁽i) Origen. contra Celf. 1. 6. p. 295. vide Spencer. Annotat.
(k) Epiphan. de Hæref. Archoneic. cap. 2. p. 293. cap. 5.

p. 295. (!) Φασὶ δε τ Σαβαώθ· ὁι μβὸ ὄνε μοςομὸ ἔχειν, ὁι δὲ χοίςει αε Hæref. Gnostic. cap. 10. p. 91.

⁽m) Contra Cell. l. 6. p. 296, 297. (n) Hæret. Fab. l. 1. de Archontic.

Origen too mentions Sabaeth, as one of those sictious gods, or governours (0): and what comes nearest of all to the calumny upon the Christians, we learn from the same author, that some of those governours were represented is directive, as having an Ass's head (p). From whence it appears, that what was charg'd upon the Christians in general, was in a great measure true, of some of the hereticks: and since it was an usual thing with the pagans (and what is loudly complain'd of by all the Apologists) to throw the odium of all heretical nonsense, and blasphemies, upon the whole Christian society (q): 'tis probable enough, that the hereticks gave a handle for the charge of Onelatria.

But the best way to discover the true, and original soundation of the charge, will be to inquire into those calumnies, that were formerly thrown upon the Jewish nation: from whence this upon the Christians was deriv'd. I speak this with the greater assurance, having Tertullian on my side (r); who is the more competent a witness in this case, as living at the time, when the calumny of Onolatria was first broach'd.

(r) Apol. cap. 16.

⁽o) Contra Celf. l. 6. p. 296. Vide Iren. l. 1. cap. 30. (p) L 6. p. 300.

⁽⁹⁾ Celsus blasphemes the Christian Name on account of the Ophiani. Origen. contra Cels. 1. 6. p. 294, 5, 6, 7, 8.

There never was any people under the heavens (excepting the primitive Christians) fo grossy abufed, and so shamefully misrepresented, as the Jews were, even by authors of tolerable credit, and reputation. There is scarce any one heathen writer, that mentions the Jews, without fastening fome egregious falshood upon them: without some romantick and fabulous account of their laws, and religion, their manners and customs, their temple and their facrifices. A Jew is always represented, under the odious character of an Atheist, and a declar'd enemy to mankind (s). One that was oblig'd by solemn Oaths (t), and by the express directions of Moses (u), to shew no pity, nor favour, to any stranger whatsoever. Whenever any prophane author undertakes to give an historical account of the origin, and antiquity of the Jewish nation, or of their deliverance from Ægyptian captivity, we are to expect nothing but some filly, fenfelefs tale, and the foulest of reproaches, and calumnies.

To begin with Justin, the Epitomizer of Trogus Pompeius. He says, the Jews came originally from Damascus, the capital of Syria, where Abraham,

^{(3) &}quot;Aπολλώνι — άς 'A Hes η μισανθεάπες λοιδορά.
Joseph. contra Apion. l. 2. p. 1069. Vide Tacit. Hist. l. 5. Diodor. Sic. l. 34. Eclog. 1. l. 40. Eclog. 1.

⁽t) Joseph. contra Apion l. 2. p. 1067. (u) Joseph. contra Apion. l. 1. p. 1058.

and Ifrael were kings. What he fays of Joseph being in Ægypt, is pretty confishent with sacred history, but the reason he gives for the children of Israel leaving the land of Agypt, is a vile fable. The Agyptians, he says, had a scabby distemper amongst them; and fearing the contagion wou'd make a further progress, they banish'd all that were insected, along with Moses, the son of Foseph, who conducted this distemper'd, and miserable multitude, for seven days together fasting, through the desarts of Arabia, till they came to Mount Sina, where they met with refreshment. There Moses, in remembrance of their deliverance from famine, appointed every seventh day, to be kept as a fast for ever: and because he was afraid, lest the naufeous distemper amongst his people, and the reason of their expulsion from Ægypt, shou'd make them odious and contemptible; he prohibited all commerce, and fociety with strangers: and what he did upon prudential reasons, and to avoid infamy and reproach, became a religious observance (w).

What Lyfimachus fays, is still at a greater diftance from truth, and a severer reflection upon the Jewish nation. The Jews in Ægypt, in the reign of Bocchoris, he says, were forely afflicted with the Leprosy, and other distempers; and sted to the

⁽w) Justin. Hist. 1. 36. cap. 2. Vide Diodor. Sic. 1. 34. Eclog. 1. 1. 40. Eclog. 1.

temples to beg their bread. The contagion spread. and a great mortality, and famine enfued. Whilft Leit was in this calamitous condition; King Bocchoris confulted the Oracle; and was answer'd, that the temples must be clear'd of those impure, and wicked wretches, the Jews: that the Lepers must be drown'd, and the rest of the Jews turn'd out a starving, into the Wilderness. The King religiously purfaced the directions of the Oracle: he order'd the Lepers to be wrapp'd up in sneets of lead, and thrown into the fea; and the uninfected multitude he drove out by an armed force. into the wilderness. It was Moles's advice to those miserable exiles, to march together in one body, till they came to some inhabited country: to shew no kindness, to give no wholsom advice to any mortal living, to throw down the altars, and temples of the Gods, wherever they met with them. The people submitted to Moses's directions; and under his conduct went forward, burning and plundering the temples, till they came to Judaa: where they built the city Hierofula, so call'd from their plundering of the temples: but observing, that this name might occasion reproach, and perpetuate their infamy, they chang'd it to Hierofolyma (x).

Strabo,

⁽x) Joseph. contra Apion. 1. 1. p. 1058. To prevent repetition, I designedly omit what Manetho and Apion say, about the Israelites coming out of Egypt. But I cannot pass by Apion's extravagant sistion, about the Institution of the Tewish

Strabo, an author of great judgment, and candour, makes some mistakes, in giving an account of the Jewish nation. He says it was reported, that the Jews were of an Ægyptian extraction, and that Moses was an Æg yptian priest. He takes abstinence from certain meats; circumcision, and other legal ceremonies, to be a superstitious degeneracy from the Mosaick institutions. He speaks of Moses with reverence and honour; and finely describes, what sentiments he had of the supreme Being. His successors too he mentions with applause, calling them men truly religious (y).

There can scarce be any thing imagin'd more extravagant, and filly, than Plutarch's calumny upon the Jews. He had heard (2), that they religi-

Jewish Sabbath. He says, when the Jews had travell'd six days together, they were feiz'd with Buboes, or Swellings in the Groin: and for this reason they rested on the seventh day when they came into the land of Judea. And they call'd the seventh day Sabbath, because, in the Ægyptian language, Sabbatofis fignifies Belovo anyo, the diftemper of the Groin. Jos. cont. Ap. 1 2.

⁽y) Strab. Geograph. l. 16. p. 523, 4.
(z) This was very well known to the heathens, who made it the subject of prophane mirth, and drollery. Cum audisset (Augustus) inter pueros, quos in Syria Herodes rex Judæorum intra bimatum justit interfici, filium quog; ejus occisum : ait ; melius est Herodis porcum esse, quam filium. Macrob. Saturnal. 1. 2. cap. 4.

Et vetus indulget senibus Clementia Porcis. Juvenal

Sat. 6. verf. 161.

Nec distare putant humana carne suillam. Sat. 14.

oully abstain'd from swines sless, and made it a question, whether this abstinence proceeded from their adoration, or abhorrence of that nasty animal: and since they thought it as great a crime (as he supposeth) to kill that animal, as it was to eat it, he gravely concludes for their adoration of it; and adds, as the Jews worship an ass, that shew'd them the way to a sountain of water, when they were ready to perish with thirst in the wilderness: so they worship an hog, as being the inventer of ploughing, and sowing (a).

Tacitus throws many severe, and satyrical reflections upon the Jews. That celebrated historian, bigotted to pagan Polytheism, and superstition, grosly abuses, and misrepresents both Jews, and Christians; for which one of the Fathers (b) gives him the title of mendaciorum loquacissimus, the greatest of liers. After he has recited several sables, concerning the Origin of the Jewish nation, he proceeds to the reason of their banishment out of Agypt: wherein he copies exactly after Lysimachus. When the exiles were in a desponding, and melancholy condition, Moses, he says, advises them to sollow him as their guide; and to look for no afsistance, either from the Gods, or from Men. The people consented, and went forward, with Moses

⁽a) Sympos. 4. cap. 5. (b) Tersul. Apol. cap. 16. Vide Famian. Strad. Prolus. 2. Lib. 1.

at the head of them. But in their travels, they were fo distress'd for want of water, that the whole multitude was upon the point of expiring. In this diftress, Moses very luckily observ'd some wild asses, that were going to drink: he follow'd 'em, and found out great plenty of water. In gratitude to those beasts, that sav'd the whole multitude from imminent destruction, the statue of an als, was afterwards erected, in the temple at Jerusalem. For fix days together the people journeyed, and on the feventh, happily arriv'd at that place, where they built their city, and their temple: and because the feventh day put a period to a laborious, and miferable peregrination, Moses ordain'd, that every feventh day shou'd be kept as a Sabbath, or day of rest. The Jews won't eat of a hog, because that animal is very liable to the same distemper of the scab, or leprosy, with which the Jews were afflicted in Ægypt. There are several more calumnies in the same author, which it wou'd be too tedious to mention (c).

Dion Cassius very justly observes (d), that the Jews had no statue in their temple at Jerusalem; because it was their opinion, that Almighty God cou'd not be describ'd, or represented by any visible, corporeal appearance whatsoever. He mightily extols the beauty, and magnificence of the ho-

⁽c) Hift. L. 5.

⁽d) Lib. 37. p. 37, Vide Diodor. Sic. l. 40. p. 922.

ly temple; and onely finds fault with it in this particular, that the outer courts had no roof, or covering, and were expos'd to the open air. Hence came that calumny upon the Jews, mention'd by Juvenal (e).

Nil prater nubes, & cali numen adorant.

They worship nothing but the clouds, and the heavens.

When Antiochus prophan'd, and facrilegiously plunder'd the holy temple; he found in it (as Diodorus Siculus (f) has it) a stone statue, representing a man with a long beard, riding upon an Ass, which he took to be Moses. Apion tells us, Antiochus found in the temple, the Ass's head, which the Jews worshipp'd, made of gold, and of a very considerable value (g). The same fabulous author tells a silly story of one Zabidus, an inhabitant of Dora; who by a cunning stratagem, stole this golden head out of the temple (h). Damocritus, an historian, charges the Jews, not only with worshipping the golden head of an Ass, but also with offering up human sacrissices to it: every seventh

(b) Ibid.

⁽e) Sat. 14. Vide Origen. cont. Celf. 1. 5. p. 234. Vide Dioder. Sic. 1. 40. Eclog. p. 922.

⁽f) L. 34. Eclog. 1. p. 901. (g) Joseph. contra Apion. l. 2.

year, he fays, the Jews catch fome stranger, whom they tear in pieces, and kill, and offer up as a sacrifice to the Ass's head (i). I have already taken notice of Apion's tale of a Greek, that was annually sacrific'd, and eaten by the Jews: and to this short, historical account, of the calumnies, formerly thrown upon the Jewish nation, I shall onely add, what Suidas reports, about a Jewish custom, viz. when any one renounces Judaism, he's oblig'd to ride on a white ass about the synagogue, on the sabbath day (k).

The true, and fundamental cause, of all those foul, and heavy aspersions upon the Jews, will in a great measure appear, by making the following observations.

First, It is not at all to be wondred at, that the heathens committed so many mistakes, about the origin of the Jewish nation, and the Israelites coming out of Ægypt; when they had no history, but what was a great deal later than that of Moses. The Greeks, after all their vain boasts of antiquity, were taught the use of letters by Cadmus, the Phanician, who liv'd (according to Clement (1) of Alexandria) eleven generations after Moses. 'Tis certain they had no exact history amongst them,

⁽i) Suidas in voc. Damocritus. Vide Suid. de Juda.

⁽k) Suid. in Zenone.

⁽¹⁾ Strom. 1. p. 383.

before the first Olympiad (m), when the world was above three thousand years old. Nay, if we may credit Fosephus, their first historians, Cadmus Milesius, and Acusilaus (n), wrote but a little before Xerxes's expedition into Greece; which was about three hundred years after the first Olympiad, and a thousand years later than Moses.

Sanchoniathon, the ancient, and celebrated author of the Phanician history, by Porphyrie's own confession (o), was later than Moses. And if we may be allow'd to form a judgment of that historian. from his account of the Phanician Theology, preferv'd by Eusebius (p), he was an author too fabulous, and inconsistent, to be depended on.

Manetho, who feems to have been the forger of several calumnies upon the Jews, wrote his Agyptian history, in the reign of Ptolemy Philadelphus, aster the Greek translation of the Bible (q); and not three hundred years, before the birth of our Saviour. He undertook his history, with an intention, as some imagine (r), to confront the sacred history of Moses, and to depreciate, and vilifie its authority. He takes his fabulous account of the Æg yptian Dynasties, from inscriptions upon pillars,

⁽m) Justin. Mart. Cohortat. ad Gracos.

⁽n) Contra Apion. l. 1. p. 1034. (e) Euseb. Prapar. Evangel. l. 10. cap. 8.

⁽p) Prapar. Evangel. l. 1. cap. 6, 10. (9) Stillingfleet Orig. Sacra. l. 1. c. 3.

and other records in the temples: and to advance the honour, and antiquity of his own nation, he begins the £gyptian annals, a great many thoufands of years, even before the creation of the world. Berofus, the author of the Chaldaan history, wrote about the same time with Manetho: and in a word, there is nor one historian, whether Greek, or Barbarian, that is comparable to Moses for antiquity: and when any of them attempt, to give an account of the Mosaick age, they have recourse to forgery, and siction, to groundless, and romantick sables.

Secondly, The Heathens, even a great many ages after Moses, knew very little of the Jewish affairs: and their ignorance in the Jewish history, is to be imputed to these two causes. First, The Tews had little or no traffick, or commerce with other nations. They inhabited an inland country, and their cities were at a pretty great distance from the sea. Josephus observes (s), that the Phanicians, Agyptians, and others, who carried on a confiderable trade by fea, were very well known in the world: whilft other people, that were not so commodiously situated for trade, were scarce so much as mention'd, and known to none, but their nearest neighbours. The same author further observes; that the Greeks, and Romans, tho' at no great distance, were for a long

⁽s) Contra Apion. l. 1 p. 1038.

time, perfect strangers to one another: and that neither *Herodotus*, nor *Thucydides*, do so much as mention the Romans, tho' at that time, they were a considerable, and slourishing people.

The other reason, why the gentiles were so ignorant of the Jewish affairs; and guilty of such mistakes, and misrepresentations, was this. The Jewish religion enjoyn'd a peculiar way of life; and prohibited an open familiarity, and conversation with the gentiles: and the behaviour of the Jews, which was conducted by a religious principle, the heathens imputed to a hatred, and aversion that they bore to mankind. Upon this they made reprizals, and were resolv'd to hate, and persecute them in their turn. They represented them as declar'd enemies to mankind (t): invented the grossest fables, on purpose to blacken, and expose them; and pursu'd them with the most malicious reproaches, and calumnies.

Thirdly, The Jews were hated, censur'd, and abus'd for the sake of their religion; which was contrary (u) to the fashionable sentiments of the

(u) Moses, quo sibi imposterum gentem sirmaret, novos ritus, contrariosq; cæteris mortalibus indidit. Tacit. Hist.

Lib. 5.

⁽t) Et Parentes malorum odimus, & est conditoribus urbium infamiæ, contraxisse aliquam perniciosam cæteris gentem, qualis est primus Judaicæ superstitionis author, & Graccorum leges invisæ. Quintilian Institut. Orator. 1.
3. cap. 9. Vide Joseph. Antiquitat 1. 13. cap. 16. Diodor. Sic. 1. 34. Eclog. 1. 1. 40. Eclog. 1. Esther. Apoc. cap. 13, 4, 5.

heathen world; and condemn'd that Idolatry, that every where scandalously prevail'd. Religious differences always produce the fiercest contentions, and the most dirty calumnies: but how must the heathens be provok'd, when the Jews, in contradiction to the common sense of mankind, worshipp'd the one great Lord, and Sovereign of the universe: and deny'd those to be gods, whom all Asia and the world worshipp'd? This was look'd upon as a crime of the blackest, and most capital nature; and that call'd for the severest punishment. This entail'd upon the Jews, the odious names of Atheism, and prophaneness; and made Pliny give this character of the Jews, Judaa gens contumelia numinum infignis. The Jewish nation is remarkable for reproaching the gods (w).

Fourthly, The Jews too often gave just grounds for censure, being guilty of several extravagancies, and follies, which were always emprov'd, and magnified by their enemies, the heathens. Josephus gives the vilest character of his own countrymen. Just before Jerusalem was taken by the Romans, the Jews, he says, were the corruptest people under the heavens. They were arriv'd at such an uncommon, and prodigious height of iniquity, that he believes, if the Romans had delay'd their coming against them; the earth wou'd have open-

⁽w) Nat. Hist. 1. 13. cap. 14. Nec quidquam prius imbuuntur, quam contemnere Deos. Tacit. Hist. 1. 5.

ed, and swallow'd them up: or a deluge of water swept them all away: or a fire from heaven would have consum'd them, as it did Sodom and Gomorrha (x). Juvenal represents the Roman Jews, as strolling impostors, and fortune-tellers (y): some of them are accus'd of cheating people of their money, under a pretence of making collections for the facred treasury at Jerusalem (z). And in a word, their conduct in many instances, was far from being innocent, and justifiable; and the Gentiles, who were prejudic'd against them, made the crimes of particular persons, a national reflection: and they were the more ready to credit every calumny upon the Jews, because they observ'd that their conduct, in many things, deserv'd censure.

Lastly, As to the occasion of that particular charge of Onolatria, or Ass-worship, there are various conjectures. The Jews were faid to worship the head of an Als, because Moses, by the affistance of some wild Asses, found out water in the wilderness. Some therefore are of opinion (a), that

(a) Vide Ouzel. Not, in Min. Fel. p. 84. Fuller Miscel. l. 3. cap. 8.

⁽x) Joseph. de Bello Jud. l. 6. sap. 26. p. 930. cap. 27. p. 933. cap. 37. p. 940. l. 7. cap. 30. p. 986.
(y) — ære minuto,

Qualiacunq; voles Judzi fomnia vendunt.

Sat. 6. Verf. 547. (z) Joseph. Antiquit. Jud. l. 18. cap. 5. Vide Sueton. Tiber. cap. 36. Tacit. Annal. 1. 2.

the following passage in Genesis, gave the first occasion for this calumny, upon the Jews. This was that Anah that found the mules in the wilderness as he fed the Asses of Zibeon his father (b). Now the word jamim, which our translators render mules, fignifies also seas, or pools of water (c): and according to this interpretation, the verse runs thus. Ana found waters in the wilderness, as he fed the Asses of Zibeon his father. This latter interpretation feems to have the preference, because in all other places in the old Testament, mules are call'd, not Jamim, but Peradim (d). But this conjecture is of little weight or figuificancy, being built upon a supposition, that the Gentiles mistook Ana for Moses, which cannot, without the greatest difficulty, be conceiv'd.

Another ingenious conjecture, and which is attended with greater probability, is made by the learned, and worthy author of the reasonableness, and certainty of the Christian religion (e).

"He observes, that the highest degree of excommunication, among the Jews, being styl'd

⁽b) Gen. 36. 24.

⁽c) Alii putant Jamim Maria appellata. Iisdem enim litteris scribuntur Maria, quibus & nunc hic sermo descriptus est. Et volunt illum dum pascit asinos patris sui in deserto, aquarum congregationes repperisse: quæ juxta idioma linguæ Hebraicæ Maria nuncupentur. Hieron. Quest. in Genes. Tom. 3. p. 101.

⁽d) 1 Kings 10. 25. 2 Kings 5. 17, &c.

⁽e) Dr. Jenkins Preface to the ad Vol. p. 15, 16.

"Shammatha; which is the same with Maranatha: Sham signifying Lord, as Maran also doth in the Syriac, and other Languages: and Atha signifying cometh: Atha might either ignorantly, or maliciously be mistaken for Athon; which signifies an Ass. And 'tis likely, that this calumny might be first rais'd by some body, who had been excommunicated, and turn'd Apostate, in Heliopolis, or some other part of Ægypt; for Apion was the first that vented it: and the Jewish Temple in Heliopolis, being denominated from its sounder Onias, the High Priest, that might give some countenance to this pretence, as if it had taken its name from övos, an Ass, and had been from thence call'd ovisor.

This conjecture, especially the latter part of it, which derives the calumny from the similitude of the names Onics, or Oneion, and Onos, which signifies an Ass, is exceeding probable. For, First, This calumny did not commence till after the Jewish Temple was built in Ægypt, by Onics; which was about 150 years before the birth of our Saviour. This Onics being disappointed of the high-priesthood, sled into Ægypt; where he got so far into the good graces of Ptolemy Philometor, that he obtain'd a License from him to build a Temple, and a city, which from its sounder was denominated Onion, i. e. the city of Onics (f). The place

⁽f) Joseph. Antiq. l. 13. cap. 6. l. 20. cap. 8. de bello Jud. l. 7. cap. 37.

affign'd him was in the prefecture of Heliopolis, at about two and twenty miles distance from Memphis (g). He had also a large Territory given him by king Ptolemy, which he planted with Jews, and which was afterwards call'd Oreis Xúea, the country of Onias (h). 2. Apion, an eminent Grammarian in the reign of Tiberius (i) the first spreader of this calumny (as far as it appears) was an Agyptian (k). 3. There were many contests and disputes, between the Agyptians, and the Jews that dwelt in Ag ppt; which were carried on, in the most opprobrious, abusive language, and terminated frequently in blows (1). The Jews derided the Agyptians, for worshipping of brute beasts: the Agyptians recriminated, and charg'd them with worshipping an Ass, in their opinion, the most impure, and contemptible of animals (m). When they wanted just matter for censure, invention supply'd them with new topicks of slander. Upon a seeming resemblance between Onion, or Onias,

⁽g) De bello Jud. 1. 7. cap. 37.

⁽b) Ibid. Vide Antig. l. 14. cap. 14.
(i) Vide Suid. in Apion.

⁽k) Ibid. Joseph. contra Apion. l. 2. p. 1063. For Apion's character, as an historian, see Aul. Gell. Nott. Attic. l. 5.

⁽¹⁾ Joseph. de Bell. Jud. l. 2. cap. 36. cont. Apion. l. J. p. 1051. l. 2. p. 1062, 1065.

⁽m) Vide Plutarch. de Isid. & Osir. p. 268. Alex. ab Alex. l. 4. cap. 2. 26.

and Onos, an Ass; 'tis probable, they founded the calumny of Onolatria: and the charge that was originally levell'd at the Ægyptian Jews, or those that inhabited the Territory of Onias, became afterwards a general reflection upon the whole Jewish nation.

But to return. Whatever it was, that occasion'd those spiteful calumnies upon the lews: we may with reason enough suppose, that the Christians were accus'd of Onolatria, purely because the Tews had been charg'd with it before. It is the opinion of Tertullian (whose authority I take to be very good in this case, for the reason mention'd above) that the suppos'd affinity, or relation between the Jewish, and the Christian Religion, involv'd the Christians under this infamous censure(n). It may, I confess, seem something strange, and furprizing; that the Infidels, towards the latter end of the second century, when I suppose, this calumny upon the Christians was first form'd, shou'd take the Jewish, and the Christian worship for the same thing. But in answer to this difficulty let it be consider'd, that both Icws and Christians were fuch contemptible, vile, and infamous wretches, in the eyes of the pagans, that they wou'd not

⁽n) — Inde opinor præsumptum, nos quoq; ut Judaicæ religionis propinquos, eidem simulachro initiari. Apol. cap. 16.

condescend to give themselves the trouble of an enquiry about them. For this reason they continu'd in ignorance a long time; and basely, and impudently condemn'd, what they knew but very little of. Lucian, who wrote in Adrian's time, or as some will have it (0), in the reign of M. Antoninus, A. D. 176, seems to consound Jews and Christians. He says of Feregrinus, the Saumash of lay Tapasash is conserved it suppresses the transfer of the wonderful wisdom of the Christians, by conversing with their Priests, and Scribes in Palestine.

But admitting that the infidels knew exactly the difference between Judaism, and Christianity, which I dare be positive was false in sact; most of those cruel, and severe aspersions thrown upon the Christians, were known to be false, and groundless even by their bitterest enemies. They were as much a contradiction to the real, and sober sentiments of a pagan, as they were distant from truth, and from justice. The Jews had been accus'd of worshipping the head of an As: this the heathens must be very sensible, cou'd be no justifiable reason, for laying the same accusation

⁽⁰⁾ Vide Cav. Hift. Lit. Vol. 1. p. 62. Fabric. Biblioth. Grac. l. 4. cap. 16.

⁽p) De morte Peregrin. Vol. 2. p. 593. n αροφήτης, n Sta-

against the Christians: but this silly tale had render'd the Jews, as was found by experience, odious, and contemptible; and therefore the pagans loaded the Christians with the same charge, hoping that it would equally expose the Christian name.

CHAP.



CHAP. VII.

The charge of Sedition, and being enemies to Cæsar accounted for.

HIS charge was the most artfully laid, and the most vigorously profecuted of any, being the chief Engine, by which the heathens executed their inhuman cruelties. While they cou'd engage Cafar in the quarrel against the Christians, they were secure of being affished by the military power; and cou'd strip a poor innocent Christian of his substance, or murder him at pleasure. A heathen Emperour cou'd hear the Christians accus'd of many things with patience, and indifferency; but when they were tax'd with disloyalty, and disaffection towards Casar, this awaken'd his rage, and arm'd him with refentment. There happen'd a quarrel between the Jews, and the Greeks that dwelt at Alexandria: and both sides appeal'd to the Emperour Caligula. Philo was at the head of the Embassy for the Jews, and learnedly, and elegantly pleaded their cause. But when Apion on the other fide objected, that the Jews refus'd to pay divine honours to the Emperour, and to swear by his I 4 name

120 The charge of Sedition, &c.

name (a), Caligula in a rage drove Philo from his presence: gave peremptory orders to have his own Statue set up in the holy Temple at Jerusalem: meditated revenge upon the Jews, which wou'd have been executed in a terrible manner, but that it pleas'd Almighty God to take this savage monster out of the world (b). Pontius Pilate, though a man of an infamous character (c); and ready enough to perpetrate any villainy, was unwilling to condemn the Lord Jesus Christ, that most perfect pattern of innocence and goodness, till the Jews represented him as an Enemy to Casar (d). And under the same representation, his disciples and followers met with unheard of cruelties, and exquisite Torments. Whenever the calumny was reviv'd, the persecution was renew'd, and thoufands of Christians were facrific'd to the mad rage of a barbarous Tyrant.

To support the charge of disaffection towards Casar, the heathens had recourse to several popular arguments; and put the vilest construction even upon the most innocent, and inosfensive conduct of the Christians. Their sacred assemblies being held in the night, gave their enemies a specious handle

(d) John 19. 12.

⁽a) Vide Philon. Legat. ad Caium. Joseph. Antiquit. 1.18.

⁽b) Joseph. Antiq. l. 18. cap. 11. (c) Philo legat. ad Caium. Enseb. H. Eccles. l. 2. cap. 7.

to represent them as nurseries of faction, and sedition, and a confederacy against the publick peace. By the laws of the twelve tables, it was forbidden to hold any nocturnal meeting within the city (e); and there are feveral imperial edicts to the same purpose. We learn from Pliny(f), that Trajan prohibited the Hetaria, that is all private focieties or assemblies. Cyprian was told at his martyrdom, that the Emperours had commanded that there shou'd be no Conciliabula, or meetings in any place; and that none shou'd frequent the Cœmeteries, or burying places of the martyrs (g). was objected against the Christians in Ægipt, in the reign of Valerian, that they held unlawful assemblies (b): and afterwards we find the Emperour Maximin forbidding the Christians to assemble at the Cameteries (i).

But tho' there were so many imperial decrees of this nature, the observation of which was enforc'd with menaces, and the utmost severities; yet the Christians were not to be terrify'd into a neglect of their duty, and cou'd not be prevail'd upon, to forsake the assembling of themselves together. Upon

⁽e) Vide Stewech. Not. in Arnob. p. 110.

⁽f) Epist. 97. l. 10.

⁽g) Vita Sti. Cypriani. Edit. Oxon. p. 12.

⁽b) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. l. 7. cap. 11. p. 257, 258.

⁽i) Eufeb. H. E. l. 9. cap 25

122 The charge of Sedition, &c.

this the heathens grew very loud, and outrageous in their clamours: they call'd the Christians a law-less faction, an impious conspiracy (k), a publick pest, declar'd enemies to Casar, and the Roman laws (l). It was the first calumny that Celsus threw upon the Christians, that they kept their private feasts of charity, in contempt of the laws (m). Their conventicles were frequently pull'd down(n), and sometimes burnt, together with all the people there assembled (o): and in a word, no punishment was thought too severe, for such capital offenders, and such execrable Treason.

Pliny, after a strict enquiry, owns the Christian assemblies to be harmless, and innocent (p): and what was done there is related by the Apologists, without any disguise. They tell the heathens, that they were so far from being enemies to Casar, or the publick peace, that they pray'd for all mankind

(k) Min. Fel. p. 70, 81.

⁽¹⁾ Vita Sti. Cypriani p. 13. Tertul. Apol. cap. 2.

⁽m) Origen. contra Celf. l. 1. p. 4.
(n) Arnob. advers. Gentes, l. 4. p. 152.

⁽o) Lastant. de Justicia, l. 5. cap. 11. p. 258.

(p) Affirmabant autem hanc fuisse summam vel culpæsuæ, vel erroris, quod essent soliti stato die ante lucem convenire, Carmenq; Christo, quasi Deo, dicere secum invicem: seq; sacramento non in scelus aliquod obstringere; sed ne surta, ne latrocinia, ne adulteria committerent; ne sidem sallerent, ne depositum appellati abnegarent. Quibus perastis, morem sibi discedendi suisse: rursusq; coeundi ad capiendum cibum, promiscuum tamen, & innoxium. Epist. 97. l. 10.

in general; and more particularly for the happinels, and prosperity of the emperours (q). But all this avail'd nothing, and cou'd neither clear the Christians from the imputation of disloyalty, nor screen them from persecution. To dispute any of Casar's commands, let them be what they wou'd, was an unpardonable contempt of his authority, and a Treason that merited his hottest indignation.

The Christians kept their assemblies privately in the night, because they were afraid of being infulted by their enemies, and interrupted at their devotions. Besides many of the poorer fort had no leisure in the day time, to attend upon religious exercises, being oblig'd to follow some servile, and laborious occupation, for the maintenance of themselves, or families. But the heathens assign'd a quite different reason for those nocturnal assemblies; and drew an argument of guilt, from the privacy of the Christian worship. This is one of the strongest arguments brought by Cacilins to sup. port the charge against the Christians (r). Why so much caution, and secrefy, if they do nothing they are asham'd of? if men love darkness rather than light, it is because their deeds are evil.

(r) Min. Fel. p. 85, 90.

⁽⁹⁾ Tertul. Apol. cap. 30, 31. Cyprian. ad Demetrian. Athenag. Legat. Arnob. 1.4.

124 The charge of Sedition, &c.

2. They were tax'd with difloyalty, because they refus'd to pay divine honours to Cafar, and to give him fuch lofty titles, as belong only to the Supreme Being. Such was the degeneracy and infatuation of the heathens, that they treated the Emperours as Gods, and even some of the worst of them, who were strangers to every commendable quality, and feem'd to be funk below the dignity of rational creatures. Most of them had divine worship paid them, either when living, or dead: and those who refus'd it, were sure to have their modesty rewarded with an Apotheosis afterwards (s). Not long after our Lord's Ascension, the worship of Casar was esteem'd a necessary, and essential part of religion. This engag'd the utmost attention of a heathen, and commanded his profoundest respect, and veneration. The rest of their fabulous deities grew in some measure neglected; and the old superstitious rites gave way to more fashionable fooleries. They were more afraid of Casar, than of Jupiter himself; and accounted it a greater crime, to swear fallly by the genius of Cafar, than by all their gods besides (t).

(t) Tertul. Apol. cap. 28. Min. Fel. p. 283.

⁽s) Dicavit Cælo Tiberius Augustum, sed ut Majestatis numen induceret: Claudium Nero, sed ut irrideret: Vespasianum Titus, Domitianus Titum: sed ille, ut Dei silius, hic, ut frater videretur: Tu sideribus patrem intulisti. Plin. Panegyr.

The Emperour Augustus, no doubt of it, had observ'd, how odious, and unpopular Julius Cafar had made himself, by suffering divine honours to be paid him (n): and this perhaps might occasion that edict of his, whereby he forbad any one to call him Dominum, Lord; a title at that time appropriated to their Gods (w). Tiberius, a master in dissimulation, and well studied in the arts of popularity, wou'd not admit of this title (x): nay he gave orders, that none shou'd swear by his name; or build him any temples, or altars (y), which Augustus cou'd connive at (z), notwithstanding his precended modesty, and humility. But afterwards the Roman emperours elated by flattery, and intoxicated with power, without any scruple, receiv'd divine honours of all kinds. Caligula was call'd Dominus & Deus (a), and Domitian gave orders (b), that no one shou'd presume to mention him, but under those titles.

⁽u) Sueton. Jul. cap. 76. Dion. Caff. l. 44.

⁽w) Sueton. Aug. cap. 53. Vide Orof. adv. Pagan. 1. S. c. 21.

⁽x) Sueton. Tib. cap. 27. Tacit. Annal. l. 2.

⁽y) Ibid. cap. 26.

⁽z) Aurel. Viët. in Augusto. Sueton. Aug. cap. 52.

Jurandasq; tuum per nomen ponimus Aras.

Horat. Epift. L. 2. Epift. I.
(a) Aurel, Viet. in Caio. Vide Senec. de Tranquil. Anim.

cap. 14.
(b) Sueton. Domit. cap. 13. Vide Martial. L. 5. Ep. 8. l. 8.

Epigr. 2.

126 The charge of Sedition, &c.

The primitive Christians refus'd to call Casar Lord; which gave great offence, and render'd their Loyalty suspected. They knew no difference (c) between xupies Lord, and Seds God; and believ'd, that the former in its original acceptation denoted (d) universal sovereignty, and absolute dominion, which cou'd belong to none but Almighty God himself. Dominus, in Tertullian's opinion, is the sirname of God. He shou'd not scruple, he says, to call Casar Lord (e); but he wou'd do it in a common fense, and without intrenching upon the Prerogative of God. If Polycarp con'd have been prevail'd upon, to call Casar Lord: to facrifice (f), and reproach Jesus Christ, he might have escap'd with impunity. But the good old man with a decent warmth, and invincible courage, rejected the blasphemous proposal, and cry'd out, Eighty and fix years have I ferv'd the Lord Fefus, and be has never done me any wrong: how then can I blaspheme my King, and my Saviour (g)?

For several ages afterwards, the Christians had a scruple upon their minds, whether it was law-

ονόμασίν όξι διαφορά. Chrysoft. Homil. 14 in Gen.
(d) Κυει Φ δε όξι, δια το κυειέυειν αυτόν τη όλων. Theoph.

ad Autol. l. I.

⁽c) Κάν τε Κύριον ακάτοιθη, κάν τε Θεόν, άδεμία ἐν τοῖς ἐνοιματίν δὰ διαφορά. Chryloft. Homil. 14. in Gen.

⁽e) Apol. cap. 34.

(f) Τί δι ημκόν όξιν είπειν, Κθειε Καΐσυς, κλ επιδύσυι, κλ διασώζεδζ; Ερίβ. Eccles. Smyrn. de Polycarp. Mars. cap. 8.

(g) Ibid. cap. 9.

ful to give any man the title of Lord. For this reason the Greeks call'd their Emperours, and great men, not Kuesos, but Kuesos: and the Latins Dompni, or Domni, instead of Domini. And hence 'tis thought came the titles of Dom, and Don, amongst the Italians, and Spaniards: and Sire, and Sir, or Cyr (which are suppos'd to be corrupt abbreviations of Curios Lord) among the French, and English (b). The Jews were as nice, and scrupulous in this matter as the Christians; and accounted it an abominable crime to call the greatest man living by the title of Lord. We are inform'd by Fosephus (i), that a great number of Jews were most cruelly tormented, onely because they refus'd to call Casar Lord; and maintain'd to the last, with wonderful courage, and resolution, Sedv ubvor Segmonny, that God alone was their Lord or master.

2. To swear by the genius, the fortune, or the safety of the Emperour, was reckon'd a most sacred oath, and a test of loyalty, and affection to Casar. An oath by Julius, was a decision of all controversies (k). It was an usual thing to swear by Augustus (1), even whilst he was living: and there was a design to build a Temple to his Genius (m) at the publick charge. There was not any

⁽h) Spelman. Gloffar. in voc. Dompnus.

⁽i) De Bello Jud. l. 7. cap. 37. (k) Sueton. Jul. cap. 85. Vide Dion. Caff. l. 44.

⁽¹⁾ Horst. Epift. 1. 2. Ep. 1.

128 The charge of Sedition, &c.

oath more common, or more facred with the Emperour Claudius, than that by the Genius of Augustus (n): and it was esteem'd a crime of a high nature, to prophane the name of Augustus by perjury (o). Caligula put some to death, only because they had never sworn by his Genius (p). After the death of his sister Diusilla, of whom he was passionately fond, he made her a goddess, and swore by her name (q). Nay he had a favourite horse, that he invited to a splendid supper; design'd to make him Consul, and swore by the safety, and the fortune of his horse (r).

This impious custom, of swearing by the Genius of Casar, prevail'd a long time, as we learn from Tertullian, and Origen: and was not, 'tis probable, entirely laid aside, till the Emperours themselves embrac'd the Christian religion. The Christians under almost every persecution were call'd upon (s), to swear by Casar: to sacrifice, and call him Lord: and their resusal pass'd for a certain sign of disloyalty, and entail'd upon them all those tortures and miseries, that bigottry, and madness cou'd invent. Some of the Fathers mention the reasons, why the

⁽n) Sueton. Claud. cap. 11.

⁽o) Tacit. Annal. l. 1. (p) Sueton. Calig. cap. 27.

⁽q) Ibid. cap. 24. Vide Dion. Caff. 1. 59.

⁽r) Dion. Caff. 1, 59. Sueson. Calig. eap. 55. Alex. ab Alex. 1. 5. vol. 2. p. 95.

⁽s) Vide Polycarp. Mart. Plin. Epist. 1. 10. Epist. 97.

primitive Christians refus'd to swear by the fortune, or Genius of Casar. By fortune they understood nothing, but the uncertain viciffitudes of human affairs: so that to swear by Casar's Fortune, was in effect to swear by nothing, which must be an oath of a vain, trifling, and finful nature. They cou'd not swear by Casar's Genius, because, if a Genius was any thing in reality, it was an evil spirit, or Damon; and they were ready to die ten thousand deaths, rather than give the honour due to Almighty God, to the powers of darkness, which they had fo folemnly renounc'd at their Baptism (t). To swear by the Sasety of Casar, Tertullian speaks of (u), as a justifiable practice, amongst the Christians of his time: but he goes no further, and takes a Genius, and a devil to be the same thing.

4. They were faid to be enemies to Cafar, because they wou'd not facrifice (w), nor pay any adoration to his Image. The heathens thought they cou'd not do honour enough to Cafar, by sacrificing for his safety; but they grew mad with

⁽t) Origen. contra Celf. l. 8. p. 421. Tertul. Apol. cap. 31.

Min. Fel. p. 283.

(u) Sed & juramus, ficut non per Genios Cæsarum, ita per salutem eorum, quæ est augustior omnibus Geniis. Ibid.

⁽w) Deos, inquitis, non colitis, & pro Imperatoribus sacrificia non impenditis,—— itaq; sacrilegii, & majestatis rei convenimur. Tertul. Apol. cap. 10.

130 The charge of Sedition, &c.

loyalty, offer'd up sacrifices to Casar himself, as God; and treated his facred flatue, with the fame superstitious ceremony, and religious reverence, as they did the statues, of the rest of their fabulous Deities. The purple robe, the crown and the diadem, universal empire, and unlimited power and authority, was not enough for Cafar (x): he must also be worshipp'd as a God; and the lowest adoration paid to his statue. Caligula, we are told (y), gave orders for removing the famous statue of the Olympian Jupiter, made by that celebrated artist Phidias, from Greece to Rome: defigning to strike off the head, and to have his own fet on. He was faluted by the name of Jupiter Latialis. The Italian Jupiter. He had his temple, and his priefts, and the most costly sacrifices were offer'd up, to that abandon'd wretch every day (2). Divine honours were also paid to the statues of Nero (a), Heliogabalus (b), and others (c). Nay some of the wisest, and best of the Roman empe-

(x) Gregor. Naz. Orat. 3. contra Julian. p. 83.

(y) Sueton. Calig. cap. 22.

(z) Ibid. Nec jam procul erat tumulus, in quo Cæsari, Deo nostro, fiebat quotidianum sacrum. Sen. de Tranquillit.

Animi, cap. 14.

(b) Herodian. l. 5. cap. 12. 13.

⁽a) Medio Tribunal Sedem Curulem, & sedes effigiem Neronis sustinebat. Ad quam progressus Tiridates, cæsis ex more victimis, sublatum capiti Diadema Imagini subject. Tacit. Annal. 1. 15.

⁽c) Vide Dion. Caff. 1. 58. Sueton. Tiber. cap. 65.

rours, without any scruple admitted of them: as Trajan, for instance, whose vertues have been so mightily extoll'd in a fullom panegyrick (d). Thura adolere, & vinum libare, to burn incense, and make a libation of wine to Casar's picture, or statue, was a custom, that probably prevail'd, even as long as the emperours were heathens.

5. The Christians were charg'd with disloyalty, because they did not keep Casar's birth-day (e); and other annual festivals, that were observ'd by the heathens, with great folemnity. With what frantick mirth, what irregularity and debauchery, those holy days were celebrated, we learn from Tertulian. The houses were illuminated. The doors dress'd up with laurel. The wine ran in the streets. Tables were spread in every place; and the whole city look'd like one common Tavern. Luxury, impudence, and lewdness was visible in every quarter. Their mirth degenerated into madness, and their feasting terminated in most brutal excesses. To get drunk on Casar's birth-day was meritorious. Sobriety pass'd for a mark of disloyalty, and to be loud in their wishes for Casar's health, and prosperity, aton'd for much wicked-

⁽d) Imagini tuæ --- Thure & Vino supplicarent. omnes & Imaginem tuam, deorumq; simulacra venerati funt. Plin. Trajano. Epift. 97. 1. 10. Vide Fragment. Orat. Julian. p. 537, 539.

⁽e) Tertul. Apol. cap. 35.

132 The charge of Sedition, &c.

nefs, and many diforders. The Christians cou'd not bear a part in those publick rejoycings: such luxury, and licentiousness was no ways suitable to their discreet, and regular behaviour: nay even the green boughs, and illuminations they cou'd not approve of; because they resembled the stews (f): and we find an excommunication, denounc'd by one of the Apostolical Canons, against those who lighted candles at a heathen festival (g).

6. The Christians talk'd much of the kingdom of God, and the kingdom of Christ; and comforted one another with the hopes and expectations of it: and this created a jealousie amongst their enemies, as if they were fetting up a power, in opposition to Casar's authority. When ye hear us talk of a kingdom, fays Justin (h), ye rashly conclude, that we mean a kingdom upon earth; when ye might be convinc'd even to a demonstration, that we place all our hopes, and all our comforts in the kingdom of Heaven: for when we are examin'd, whether we are Christians, we frankly own that we are; tho' we know that death, is the unavoidable consequence of such a confession: whereas if it was an earthly kingdom that we aim'd at; we shou'd certainly endeavour to preserve our lives,

⁽f) Ibid. Vide 1. 2. ad Uxorem. cap. 6.

⁽g) Can. 63. (h) Apol. 1.

by all possible ways, that we might enjoy the protection, the privileges, and advantages of that temporal kingdom. The Jews very early charg'd the Christians with disaffection to Casar, because they had another King, the Lord Jesus.

When Paul and Silos preach'd to the Jews at Thessalonica, those that believ'd not drew Jason, and certain brethren unto the rulers of the city; crying, these that have turn'd the world upside down, are come hither also: whom Jason hath receiv'd, and these all do contrary to the decrees of Casar, Saying, that there is another King, one Jesus (i).

7. As the Christians were generally suppos'd to be the offspring of the Jews, and so nearly related to them, that they were frequently consounded together, and undistinguish'd: so it is not unlikely, that the Jews did, in some measure at least, occasion this calumny upon the Christians, being notorious for their disaffection, and opposition to Cafar, and the Roman governours. They were represented as a seditious, and stubborn people, and naturally prone to rebellion (k). Titus, at the siege of Jerusalem, tells 'em, that ever since Pompey's time, they had been making disturbances,

 ⁽i) Αξτε 17. 6, 7.
 (k) Σπασιώδεις διαβακλόμθροι. καθηρόξει το Έθνας τότε Νσακπον κ) δυσπειθές φύσει περς του βασιλέας. Τοξέρο. de Βείο Jud. l. 2. cap. 8.

134 The charge of Sedition, &c.

and waging war with the Romans (1). They were perpetually struggling to recover their liberties, and to free themselves from the servitude of the Roman yoke. This they look'd upon as an indispensible duty, and they thought no punishment great enough for those, who tamely submitted to the Roman tyranny (m). They own'd no sovereign but God (n); and wou'd not submit to any governours, but such as were of their own nation, and set over them by the express appointment of Almighty God.

Some of the Jewish converts seem to have been tainted with these notions; and con'd not forbear expecting a temporal Kingdom of the Messiah; and for this reason perhaps it has been observed by Justin (0), that the Gentile converts were better Christians, than those who came from the Jews, and Samaritans: and for the same reason we find the Apostles giving repeated directions to the Jewish converts. To be subject to the higher powers. To pay tribute, to whom tribute is due. To submit themselves to every ordinance of man: whether it be unto the King, or unto governours, that are sent by him. Not to use their liberty for a cloak of maliciousness, &c (p).

⁽¹⁾ Joseph. de Bello Jud. l. 7. cap. 34.

⁽m) Ibid. l. 2. cap. 23. Vide l. 2. c. 28. l. 3. c. 25: l. 4. c. 13. Vide Deuteron. 17. 15.

⁽n) Mire Poucalois, un and nie denever, in Deg. Ibid-

⁽o) Apol. I.

⁽p) Rom. 13. 1, 7. 1 Pet. 2. 13, 14, 16.

Having discover'd the foundations of this calumny, it wou'd be needless and impertinent to expose the baseness, and absurdity of it: but it may not be amiss to examine a little further, how the primitive Christians behav'd towards Casar, and what they said in their own vindication, to obviate the calumny, and to wipe off the imputation of disloyalty: and with a very little inquiry it will soon appear, that they paid Casar all that respect, that was sit for them to give, and for Casar to receive; and did every thing that cou'd reasonably be expected by the best of princes, from the best of men.

r. At their daily devotions they never omitted praying for Casar's health, and prosperity (q): and they were the more servent, and importunate in these petitions; because they thought that a great deal depended upon Casar's success, and the security of the Roman government. Whilst the Roman empire stood firm, and was in a stourishing condition, all was safe: but the dissolution of that Empire, whenever it shou'd happen, satally presag'd, as they imagin'd, the coming of Antichrist,

⁽q) Precantes sumus semper pro omnibus Imperatoribus, vitam illis prolixam, Imperium securum, domum tutam, exercitus sortes, senatum sidelem, populum probum, orbem quietum, quæcunq; hominis & Cæsaris vota sunt. Tertul. Apol. cap. 30. Vide cap. 31. ad Scap. cap. 2. Cyprian. ad Demetrian. Arnob. l. 4. &c.

136 The charge of Sedition, &c.

and the diffolution of the world (r). This notion of theirs was deriv'd from a passage in St. Paul's second Epistle to the Thesialonians. c. 2. v. 7, 8. He who now letteth, will let, untill he be taken out of the way. And then shall that wicked one be reveal'd, &c. Most of the Fathers, and antient commentators upon this place (s), understand by inflixon, he that witholdeth, or letteth, the Roman state (t). Whether they were right, or wrong in the application of this passage, is not material at present: fo long as they were of opinion, that the revelation of the wicked one, the man of sin, was retarded by the Roman Empire; they must think it to be their duty, as well as their interest, to be hearty, and sincere in their prayers, and their wishes for the continuance of that Empire, and the success and profperity of all its governours.

2. They paid tribute to Casar more punctually, and readily, than any of his heathen subjects (u): and this they did in conformity to the Example of their great Lord, and master, and the directions

given by his holy Apostles.

(s) Hieron. ad Algas. Quest. 11. Tom. 4. p. 78. Ambros. Chrysos. Occumen. Theophylatt. in loc.

(:) Tertul. de Resurrectione Carnis. cap. 24.

⁽r) Tertul. ad Scap. cap. 2. Apol. cap. 32. Lattant. 1. 7. cap. 25.

⁽u) Justin. Mart. Apol. 1. Tatian. Affir. contra Gracos.

3. Many of them follow'd Cafar to the Wars, and did eminent services in the field of Battle. There were some amongst them, I confess, that absolutely refus'd to fight under Casar's banner: but this proceeded not from any difrespect to his person, or contempt of his authority; but from an opinion, that a military way of life was unlawful, and inconsistent with the duties of a Christian (w). Besides let it be observ'd, that this was the opinion but of a few, and not the general sense of the primitive Church. Tertullian, who feems not to approve of a Christian's being a soldier, tells the Romans, that their camps, and their castles were fill'd with Christians (x). Several of the Martyrs in the first ages of the Church, were foldiers (y). There were many Christians that follow'd M. Antoninus, in an expedition into Germany, who by their prayers obtain'd a fignal deliverance, when the whole army was in great distress, and ready to perish for want of water (z). The Thebean Legion, under the Emperour Maximian, confisted of several thousand men, all of them Christians (a).

(a) Vide Grot. de jure Belli & Pacis. l. 1. cap. 4.

⁽w) Origen. contra Celf. l. 8. p. 426, 427. l. 5. p. 253. Tertul. de Idololat. cap. 19. de Corona Mil. cap. 11. Lastan. l. 6. cap. 20.

⁽x) Tertul. Apol. cap. 37, 42. de Corona Mil. cap. 1. (y) Vide Grot. de jure Belli & Pacis. l. 1. cap. 2.

⁽²⁾ Vide Epist. M. Aurel. Antonini. apud Justin Mart. Tertul. Apol. cap. 5. ad Scap. cap. 4. Euseb. Hist Eccles. l. 5. cap. 5.

138 The charge of Sedition, &c.

4. They treated the person of Casar with all becoming reverence, and respect, and mention'd him with honour. They consider'd him as one that was set over them by Almighty God (b), either by way of judgment (c), or mercy: either for the orderly, and peaceable government of the world, or for the chastissement of a wicked, and impenitent people. Upon this divine appointment, they sounded their Allegiance, and not on the humanity, the justice, or elemency of Casar.

Lastly, They never resisted the higher powers, though they were barbarously oppress'd, and perfecuted by them; and had frequent opportunities of revenging themselves upon their enemies. Tho' they labour'd under an infinite variety of such shocking cruelties, as can scarce be mention'd without horrour; and severely smarted under the Tyranny of many a wicked ruler; yet they never made the least opposition. Never attempted to depose a tyrant, nor ever imbarqu'd in any design against the life of Casar. Those renown'd champions for the Christian cause, with inimitable patience, and an entire submission, underwent all those oppressions, which at other times, have made wise men

(c) Tertul. Apol. cap. 32.

⁽b) Christianus nullius est hostis, nedum Imperatoris: quem sciens a Deo suo constitui, necesse est ut & ipsum diligat, & revereatur, & honoret, & salvum velit, cum toto Romano Imperio, quousq; sæculum stabic. Teriull. ad Scap. cap. 2.

mad, and have given human nature an ascendant over the Christian.

There have been some, who have attempted to rob the primitive Christians of that honour, and reputation, they had justly acquir'd, on this account; by imputing their submission, and nonrefistance to human prudence, or policy; to caution, or cowardise; to want of numbers, or want of experience in military affairs. But I wou'd fain know, what evidence or authority they have for this. The primitive Christians always declar'd, that they submitted for the Lord's sake; and because the powers that be, are ordain'd of God. To say therefore that their obedience was under the regulation of worldly, and prudential motives, is making them guilty of an egregious piece of dissimu-Mation, before God and man; and charging them with infincerity, artifice, and difingenuity: which does not agree with the character of a primitive Christian. Besides, their enemies, the heathens. who were always very quick-fighted in discovering, and always very ready to expose, and publish the frailties of a Christian, never imputed their patient submission, under tyrannical oppressions, to prudence, and policy, but to obstinacy, and desperation, madness, or folly. So that this injurious reflection is destitute of all manner of evidence, and has not the least foundation.

It is very probable, that the number of Christians under the first persecutions, was not conside-

rable

140 The charge of Sedition, &c.

rable enough, to engage with the military powers of heathen Rome: but to infer from hence, that their submission proceeded from their want of power, and was under the influence of their fears, is very unfair: since the behaviour of the Christians under the last persecutions, when they were vastly Superior to their enemies, was the very same, as it was in the first persecutions, under Nero, and Domitian. So early as Trajan's reign, when the third persecution rag'd, we find the Christians represented as very numerous by a judicious heathen (d). And shall we take Tertullian's account of their numbers to be Romantick, and incredible, who wrote his Apology about an hundred years afterwards? He tells the Roman governours, that their cities, and their towns, their forts, and their camps, were fill'd with Christians (e): that if they shou'd retire out of the provinces of the Roman empire, without striking one blow, they shou'd occasion a general ruin, and desolation (f). Now with what face cou'd Tertullian say this, if it was false; and when it must be known to be so, by

(e) Apol. cap. 37. Tanta hominum multitudo, pars pene major civitatis cujusq;. ad Scap. cap. 2.

(f) Apol. cap. 37.

⁽d) Visa est enim mihi res digna consultatione, maxime propter periclitantium numerum. Multi enim omnis ætatis, omnis ordinis, utriusq; sexus etiam vocantur in periculum, & vocabuntur. Neq; enim Civitates tantum, sed Vicos etiam atq; agros superstitionis issius contagio pervagata est. Plin. Epist. 97. l. 10.

those very people to whom he directed his Apology? To suppose this is to call in question the understanding, as well as the veracity, of that great, and learned man (g).

After Tertullian's time the Christians still multiply'd apace: and under the tenth perfecution, the imperial armies, and most of the principal towns in all the provinces of the Roman empire, were crowded with Christians: and what dutiful submission they paid to cruel, and tyrannical governours, the famous Thebean Legion affords us a remarkable, and glorious instance. That Legion confisted of near seven thousand Christians, who were commanded by Maximian to offer up facrifices to the heathen Gods: and upon their refusal, were many of them cruelly flain. But not one of those gallant men, made the least resistance, nor ever attempted to obstruct the execution of Casar's commands (h). In Julian's army the major part was Christian; but all that Apostate cou'd do against the Christians, cou'd not make them swerve from their Allegiance (i).

⁽g) Tertullian's account of the great number of Christians, agrees with what St. Cyprian says.——Nemo nostrum quando apprehenditur, reluctatur: nec se adversus injustam violentiam vestram, quamvis nimius & copiosus noster sit populus, ulciscitur. ad Demetrian. p. 192.

⁽b) Vide Gret. de jure Belli & Pacis. l. 1. cap. 4. (i) Vide Greg. Naz. Orat. 1. contra Julian.

142 The charge of Sedition, &c.

Admitting the Christians were not able to meet Casar's victorious Legions in the field: had they no opportunity of gratifying their revenge, in a private way? Cou'd they never take off a tyrant, and an oppressor, by poyson, or a dagger? Were there no divisions in the Roman empire? No competitions for the imperial Diadem? None of the Casars odious, and unpopular? No malecontents under the worst administration? Yes, a great many: yet those excellent Christians, to their immortal honour, never combin'd with a potent saction; never listed with conspirators, nor had any hand in an assassing the constitution.

But further. Why shou'd the Christians be a-fraid to encounter the Roman armies, if they were once persuaded, that resisting of a lawless tyrant, and a persecutor of the Faith, was a laudable action, and agreeable to the will of the Almighty? Upon this principle, what glorious conquests must they have made, even under an inequality of numbers? What cou'd have stood against men, animated with a truly religious zeal, searless of dangers, prodigal of life, and ready to meet death in the most frightful, and deformed shapes, with amazing intrepidity? How shou'd they turn themselves back in the day of battle, who were engag'd in the cause of God, and by dying in it, merited a crown of Martyrdom (k)?

⁽k) Cui bello non idonei, non prompti fuissemus, etiam impares copiis, qui tam libenter trucidamur? Tertul. Apol. cap. 37.

The truth of it is: had the primitive Christians thought themselves absolv'd from their Allegiance, and had refisted Casar, whenever he oppos'd, and persecuted them; they wou'd have acted contrary to the interest of the Christian religion, and effectually hindred its propagation. For, what an outery wou'd this have rais'd? This wou'd have arm'd all the princes and potentates of the earth against them. The Church cou'd never have got kings to be her nursing Fathers, nor queens her nursing mothers. Christianity cou'd never have got footing, or never made any confiderable progress. The new Kingdom of the Son of God might have been crush'd to pieces in its infancy, and all fucceeding ages of the world depriv'd of the benefits purchas'd by our holy Redeemer. But to conclude. To fay that the primitive Christians fubmitted to wicked, and tyrannical princes merely out of fear, or upon fecular, and prudential views; is a notion false, and absurd, and has been advanc'd by some men, upon no other foundation than this, a defire of making the principles, and the practices of a primitive Christian, Tally with their own.



CHAP. VIII.

The charge of Ignorance and Poverty accounted for.

Here was scarce any one Accusation laid against the the primitive Christians, that so little affected them as this. They cou'd without much concern, hear themselves represented, as a half starv'd, and illiterate rabble; as the offscouring of the world, and the dreggs of the people; whilft they were rich in good works, and wife unto Salvation. Non magna loquimur, sed vivinus, was the genuine character of a primitive Christian. To live well, and as became the true Disciples, and followers of the Lord Jesus, was the utmost of their wishes, as well as endeavours. This engross'd their time, and their thoughts, and commanded their attention. They were fo intent upon their duty to their great Creator, and so ravish'd with the expectation of that Glory, which shall one day be reveal'd; that they contemn'd all temporal pleasures, and sometimes forgot the ordinary conveniencies of life.

First, They were charg'd with the grossest ignorance and stupidity, because the infidels suppos'd

that

The charge of Ignorance, &c. 145

that no one cou'd desert their old establish'd superstitions, without first giving up his reason, and disclaiming all manner of pretensions to common understanding. To refuse to worship the pagan deities, and, what was still worse, statly to deny their existence, betray'd (as they thought) a total absence, and dereliction of all the rational Powers, and had in it an equal mixture of nonfense, and impiety. Their religion was the religion of their Ancestors: and for that reason no consideration cou'd prevail with them to part with it (a). It had continued for a great many hundreds of years (b). Had been embrac'd by an infinite number of People, and by many that had been renown'd for learning, and probity. On the other hand, the Christians were look'd upon as a novel fect, that sprung up but yesterday (c), and was every where spoken against (d): and whoever cou'd

(a) Non me ex ea opinione, quam a majoribus accepi de cultu Deorum immortalium, ullius unquam Oratio, aut docti, aut indocti, movebit. Cotta apud Tull. de Nat.

Door. 1. 2.

(c) Sed novellum nomen est nostrum, & ante dies paucos religio est nata, quam sequimur. Arnob. adv. Gentes.

1. 2 p. 92. Vide p. 94. Theoph. ad Autol. 1. 3.

(d) Afts 28, 22.

⁽b) Hæ sunt religiones, quas sibi a majoribus suis traditas, pertinacissime tueri, ac defendere perseverant: nec considerant quales sint, sed ex hoc probatas, atq; veras esse considerant, quod eas veteres tradiderunt: tantaq; est authoritas vetustatis, ut inquirere in eam, scelus esse ducatur: itaq; creditur ei passim, tanquam cognitæ veritati. Lastan. l. 2. cap. 6.

prefer these modern opinions, to those old, and venerable pagan rites, and ceremonies, was thought to be seiz'd with a desperate phrenzy, or at least, to have had an uncommon weakness, and desect in his understanding.

Secondly. They were tax'd with ignorance, because there were somethings in the Christian religion (as the heathens impiously, and falsly suggested) that were calculated only for idiots, and fools; and which no one cou'd give his affent to, without proclaiming his own ignorance, and flupidity. How were the poor Christians ridicul'd. and charg'd with a fenfeless credulity, for afferting the resurrection of the body? The heathens foolishly attempted to baffle their hopes, and expectations of it, by burning the bodies of the Martyrs, and casting their ashes upon the Waters (e). When the Athenians heard St. Paul speak of the resurrection of the dead, some mocked (f). Lucian with a prophane fneer, derides the Christians, for expecting a resurrection to eternal life (g): and Pliny the elder declares it to be an impossibility, even with God himself, to call the dead to life again (b).

(h) Nat. Hift. l. 2. cap. 7:

⁽e) Euseb. Eccles. Hist. l. 5. cap. 1. Ammian. Marcellin. l. 22. cap. 11.

⁽f) Alls 17. 32.
(g) Πεπείχασι β αὐτὰς δι χακοθαίμονες, τὸ μψὸ ὅλὸν ἀβάναθοι ἔσεδαι, κὰ βιώσεδαι τὸνἀεὶ χεένον. De Morse Peregrini.

2. To believe in, and to worship a crucified Tesus, to a blind and bigotted heathen gave great offence; and was suppos'd to be the effect of a most barbarous ignorance. Had our blessed Lord appear'd in dazling Pomp, and splendour, deck'd with all the ornaments of majesty, and power: had he beat down all opposition, and triumph'd over all his enemies, they then perhaps might have paid him homage, and adoration : but they conceiv'd a strange prejudice against the blessed Jesus, when they saw him a man of forrows, and acquainted with grief: and at last suffering death upon the Cross. A death most cruel and ignominious, and inflicted only upon flaves, and the most capital offenders (i). This was one of the greatest difficulties the Apossles had to encounter. To preach Christ crucify'd, was to the Jews a flumbling block, and to the Greeks foolishness(k). To give divine honours to a crucify'd man, merited the title of madness (1), in the opinion of the heathens. This Celsus calls (m) a base, and a wicked thing: and Lucian ridicules the Christians.

T. 2

⁽i) Piratas, a quibus captus est, quum in deditionem redegisset, quoniam suffixurum se cruci ante juraverat, jugulari prius jussic, deinde suffigi. Sueton. de Jul. Casar. cap. 74.

⁽k) I Corinth. 1. 23.

⁽¹⁾ Justin Mart. Apol. I. Vide Lastan. l. 4. c. 16.

⁽m) Origen. con:ra Celf. 1. 7. p. 340.

for worshipping a man that was crucify'd in Palestine (n).

3. Many of the heathens, and particularly the Stoicks, and Epicureans, absolutely deny'd a Providence, and maintain'd that the Gods had little or no concern for human affairs (o). They fancy'd that all the good, and the evil things of life, were distributed by blind chance, since they observ'd wickedness sometimes triumphant, thriving in reputation, and power: and vertue, and innocence frequently attended with infamy, poverty, and misery. Since a Phalaris, or a Dionysius had a Kingdom, and Socrates, one of the best of Men, a cup of poylon (p). They thought the Christians, a filly set of People, for imagining, that all the creatures in the world were under the immediate care, and direction of an allwife, and mercyful Creator. And when they faw the poor Christians pinch'd with hardships and miseries, with an impious, and infulting air, they cry'd out, where is now their God?

4. Again. Their patience, and courage under perfecutions, and afflictions, was imputed to weakness, and folly. How silly, and ridiculous

(o) Theoph. ad Autolic. l. 2. vid. Tull. de nat. Deor. l. 3.

⁽n) Τὸν μέγαν γεν εκείνον έπ σέεσον ἀνθεωπον, τὸν ες τῆ παλαιτίνη ἀνασκολοποφέν]α.——Τὸν ή ἀνεσκολοποφέρος ἐκείνον σοφιειω αὐτης σερπωμοσ. de Mort. Peregrini.

⁽p) Min. Fel. p. 41.

were the Christians thought to be, when they suffer'd torments and death, and at the same time had it in their own power to escape with impunity, and to live at ease and in plenty (q)? What harm is there faid the heathens in facrificing to the Gods, in calling Cafar Lord, and swearing by his Genius (r)? and yet those filly and deluded wretches the Christians, absolutely refus'd to pay divine honours to Cafar, or any of the pagan deities: tho' they were fensible, the loss of life, and fortunes, was the unavoidable consequence of their noncompliance. What an egregious instance of folly was it reckon'd in the Christians, to despise present torments, and yet to be afraid of those that are future, and uncertain (s)? To undervalue, and part with a present Good, in hopes of a future recompence. How were they laugh'd at, for despising of riches, whilst they were oppress'd with a miserable poverty? For slighting of temporal honours, and preferments, even when they were half naked, and starving (t): and for forgiving of their enemies, when they had it in their power to do themselves justice, and to make re-

⁽⁹⁾ Et si hominibus videtur ineptum, ac fultum, torqueri, & mori velle, cum libere liceat abire fine noxa:

Lactant. Epit. cap. 1.

⁽r) Polycarp. Mart. cap. 8.

⁽¹⁾ Proh mira stultitia, & incredibilis audacia! Spernunt tormenta præsentia, dum incerta metuunt & futura: & dum mori post mortem timent, interim mori non timent. Min. Fel. p. 79.

⁽t) Ibid.

prizals? This was such a behaviour, as discover'd, according to the Language of the heathens, a downright phrenzy, or at least an unaccountable ignorance, and stupidity (u).

Thirdly. A misrepresentation of some passages in the holy Scriptures, made by the heathens with a base, and malicious design, help'd forward the charge of ignorance. Te fee your calling, brethren, favs St. Paul (w), how that not many wife men after the flesh, not many mighty, not many noble are called: but God hath chosen the foolish things of the world, to confound the wife: and God hath chosen the weak things of the world, to confound the things that are mighty: and base things of the world, and things which are despis'd, hath God chosen. And again in the same chapter. Christ sent me - to preach the Gospel: not with wisdom of words, lest the Cross of Christ thou'd be made of none effect. - For it is written I will destroy the wisdom of the wife, &c. Upon these passages, Celsus grounds a severe, and most spiteful calumny; which is confuted at large by Origen (x). Because the Apostle says, not many wife men after the flesh, not many mighty were called:

⁽u) Cum enim caperit Deo quisquam vivere, mundum contemnere, injurias suas nolle ulcisci, nolle hic divitias, non hic quarere selicitatem terrenam, contemnere omnia, Dominum solum cogitare, viam Christi non deserre, non solum a paganis dicitur insanus: sed——Augustin. in Psalm. 48. Concio. 2.

⁽w) 1 Corinth. 1..26.

⁽x) Contra Celj. l. 3. p. 140.

but God chose the weak things of the World, &c. Celsus draws this base conclusion, that all the Christians were profoundly ignorant, and illiterate; men of low fortunes and mean parentage. Nay his malice carries him a strain surther; and he tells us (y), that the preachers of the Gospel gave it in charge, that no one should be admitted as a convert to Christianity that was learned, or wise, or prudent; for these things amongst the Christians are reputed Evils. But wheever is unlearned, or foolish, or imprudent, may boldly come, and be admitted.

A little further Celsus gives us another specimen of his virulent malice, and ignorance. It is said of our Saviour (z), that he convers'd with Publicans and Sinners; and declar'd, that he came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance. Upon this Celsus considently afferts, that none were call'd to be Christians, but men of an infamous character, and flagitious behaviour. Such as were guilty of thest, sacrilege, and other capital, and enormous offences (a). These reslections are the more base, and villainous, as they must be contrary to his knowledge, and the convictions of his own mind. He cou'd not be ignorant, that the generality of the primitive Christians, were

⁽y) Origen. contra Celf. l. 3. p. 137. (z) Mat. 9. 10.

⁽a) Origen contra Gels. l. 3. p. 147. vid. l. 1. p. 49. Barnab. Epist. cap. 5.

men of excellent morals, and the strictest vertues and that several of them were as eminent for their knowledge, as they were for their piety.

Fourthly. They were faid to be ignorant, because they were unacquainted with those politer parts of human literature, that were then in fashionable repute. The heathens were strangely elated with an imaginary conceit of their own wifdom, and entertain'd with contempt the most important truths, when deliver'd with plainness, and an artless simplicity. To be able to speak, or write with propriety, and method; or to reafon with judgment, and perspicuity, was not sufficient, to screen a man from the character of a rude, and illiterate barbarian: unless there was also a purity, and Elegancy of style; artful, and elaborate periods; and a tolerable acquaintance with the various maxims, and rules, laid down by the Philosophers. Hence Amelius the Philosopher calls St. John the Evangelist barbarian (b). and the same title is given to all Christians in general by Porphyry, and others (c).

(b) Euseb. prapar. l. 11. cap. 19. vide Cyrill. contra Julian. l. 8.

⁽c) Oerfins — webs to Base alove Eunent thuman Euseb. Hist. Eccles. l. 6. cap. 19. vide Tatian. Orat. ad Graco Fustin. Apol. Isidor. Pelus. l. 4. Epist. 27. 28. Theodoret. ad Grac. Serm. 5. Lucian. de mort. Pereg.

Beauty and fublimity of language wonderfully captivated the heathens, and gave a fecret biass to their understandings. Without those embellishments, the best and most judicious pieces were thrown aside with scorn; and with those, the weakest performances were read with pleasure. I have very often thought, that if some of those authors that are faid to be matchless, and inimitable, were stripp'd of the ornaments of language, and their thoughts cloath'd in a common, and ordinary dress, they wou'd make a mean, and contemptible figure. Had the Roman Empire continued much longer in a flourishing condition, and due encouragement been given to men of fine parts, and Genius, the age of Augustus wou'd never have been the standard, for polite learning, and language; and some of those celebrated pieces wou'd long since have been laid aside, whose authors have vainly promis'd themselves an immortality. For, every living language is constantly liable to changes, and alterations; words grow obsolete, and then unintelligible: are first old fashion'd, and then are laid aside. And wherever care is taken to refine and beautifie a language, the writings, as well as the language, of every fucceeding age, will be preferr'd to the former. 'Tis with learning and language, as it is with our cloaths: the present mode is commonly the best approv'd of: and however we may value our selves upon our present refinements, elegancy and

and exactness, posterity will treat us with the same distregard and contempt, as we bestow upon those, who liv'd an age or two ago. So that all that an author can hope for, who writes in a language, that continues a long time after to be a living one, is (as Mr. Pope somewhere observes) to be read in one country, and to be thrown aside at the end of one age.

The reasons why the Gospel was not at first preach'd with excellency of speech, nor with enticing words of man's wisdom, are very obvious. First, There was no occasion to make use of such a method, nor wou'd it have been of any real advantage to the Christian cause. For, elegant and rhetorical expressions, however they may tickle and please the senses, never reach the heart, nor make any deep, and lasting impressions. The world was converted by miracles, that carried an irrefiftible force, and conviction along with them. By the innocence, and holyness of those, that preached the Gospel. By their patience, and magnanimity under all their sufferings: and by the incomparable excellency of the precepts they deliver'd: which were admirably calculated, for the peace, and happiness of the world, and had a natural tendency to make men wifer, and better, happy here, and happy for ever. Without the affistance of heathen learning, the knowledge of the bleffed Jesus was soon propagated in all parts. His sound went out unto the ends of the world, and his dominion reach'd

reach'd from one sea to the other. Secondly, The Gospel was not preach'd with worldly wisdom, because, if this method had been pursu'd, it wou'd have produc'd infinite mischief, and rendred the Cross of Christ of none effect. More perhaps of the learned Gentiles wou'd have come over to Christianity: but then that worldly wisdom wou'd have been more attended to, than the word of God. The truth of God wou'd have been blended, and adulterated with learned speculations, and philosophical subtleties. My speech and my preaching, says St. Paul, I Cor. 2. 4. was not with enticing words of mans wisdom, but in demonstration of the spirit, and of power: that your faith shou'd not stand in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God.

Fifthly. The Christians were said to be unlearned, and consequently ignorant, because several of them absolutely resus'd to read the writings of the heathens. We learn from Clement of Alexandria, that there were some Christians who condemned the reading of any prophane author, as a fruitless, and impertinent labour, and mispending of time. And that there were others, who look'd upon philosophy, as a dangerous, and destructive thing (d): that had for its author, and inventer the devil, and his Apostate Angels (e). Clement,

⁽d) Strom. 1. c. 1. p. 326.

⁽e) Strom. l. 1. c. 17. Tertul. de cultu fam. l. 1. c. 2. Herm, irris. Philos.

as he was master of a vast compass of gentile literature, and was learned in all the wisdom of the Greeks; thought himself oblig'd in his own vindication, to expose and consute this silly, and extravagant opinion: which he has effectually done, in his sirst book of his Stromata. Origen, we find, was censur'd by some weak and injudicious Christians, for his studying the sciences: but he shews (f), that they are not only innocent, but useful: and he justifies his own conduct in this particular, by the example of the samous Pantances, and of Heracles; who had such a value for the Gracian philosophy, that tho' he was a Presbyter of the Church at Alexandria, he wore the habit of a Philosopher (g).

The pretended Apostolical Constitutions prohibit the reading of all heathen books (b); which affords us (as I have shew'd (i) on another occasion) a most excellent argument, to prove them spurious. For, this Apostolical Decree is never once mention'd, by those who contended either for, or against the lawfulness of reading prophane authors; and besides 'tis a contradiction to the practice of St. Paul, who had read several of the heathen Po-

⁽f) Philocal. c. 13.

⁽g) Origen. Epift. apud Euseb. Eccles. Hift. l. 6. c. 19.

⁽h) Των εθνικών Ειδλίων σάντων ἀπέχε. l. 1.c. 6.
(i) Discourse of the pretended Apostolical Constitutions.
shap. 10.

ets (k). There is a canon in Gratian's collection (l), which is of the same nature, and of the same authority, with this Apostolical Constitution.

In Julian's reign, and a considerable time before, the Christians had conquer'd their scruples, about the lawfulness of reading heathen authors. They were then become great proficients in all the polite, as well as more useful parts of heathen literature. They were a match for the pagans, even at their own weapons: which gave Julian some jealousy and uneasiness, and put him upon the publication of an Edict; by which he forbad the Christians to be instructed, in the learning of the Greeks (m).

But tho' the weakness or indiscretion of a few Christians, who condemn'd all heathen learning, might in some measure justify, and support the charge of ignorance, and want of learning; yet the Church of Christ, in all the ages of it, has produc'd many eminent and learned worthies. Whoever will be at the pains of perusing Photius's Bibliotheca, Jerom's Catalogue of Ecclesiastical Writers, and Eusebius's History, will soon perceive,

⁽k) AEF. 17. 28. 1 Cor. 15. 33. Tit. 1. 12. vide Socrat. Hift. Ecclef. l. 3. c. 16.

⁽¹⁾ Episcopus Gentilium libros non legat. dist. 37.

⁽m) Inter quæ erat illud inclemens, quod docere vetuit magistros Rhetoricos & Grammaticos, Christianos. Anm. Marcellin. 1. 25. p. 427. Vide Socrat. Hist. Eccles. 1. 3. cap. 12, 16.

how little reason Celfus, Porphyry, and Julian had, to represent the whole body of Christians, as a pack of rude, unciviliz'd, and illiterate Barbarians (n). Many of the Græcian Philosophers came over to Christianity; and liv'd, and died glorious defenders of the faith. Of this fort was Ariflides, Justin, Theophilus, Athenagoras, Hermicus, Pantanus; besides a great many more in the second Century, whose names, as well as writings are lost, and forgotten (o). There were many other learned Christians in the same century, and the beginning of the third: as Agrippa Castor, Melito, Apollinaris, Dionysius of Corinth, Pinytus, Miltiades, Clement of Alexandria, Tertullian, Minucius Felix, Africanus, Origen, Heraclas, &c. The third and fourth centuries were bless'd with an infinite number of great men, who were strenuous advocates for the Christian cause, and eternally silenc'd the cavils of its enemies: and if there are some things to be

Gentes. l. 2. p. 44.

⁽n) Discant ergo Celsus, Porphyrius, Julianus, rabidi adversus Christum canes, discant eorum sectatores, qui putant Ecclesiam, nullos Philosophos & Eloquentes, nullos habuisse Doctores, quanti & quales viri eam fundaverint, extruxerint, & ornaverint; & desinant sidem nostram, rustica tantum simplicitatis arguere, suamque potius imperitiam agnoscant. Epist. Hieron. Destro.

met with in the writings of the primitive Christians, that we cannot rellish or approve of; it ought to be confider'd, that learning, like all other things, has its fashions; and that every age and country has its peculiar ways of writing, and managing of an argument. Many things that difplease now, were much admir'd fifteen, or sixteen hundred years ago; and what is now highly applauded, may be condemn'd by succeeding generations.

There were many learned men, both among the Jews and heathens, that entertain'd a fecret value, and esteem for the Christian religion; but wou'd not openly declare in its favour; being under the influence of some carnal considerations. It was reported of Philo, the learned Alexandrian Iew, that he was once a Christian (p): and we are told by Eusebius, and Ferom (q), that he convers'd familiarly with St. Peter at Rome. Yet he does not once mention the Christians, by any name whatfoever (r). Had he made an honourable mention of them, he must have drawn the malice of his brethren upon himself, and injur'd both his interest, and his reputation: and he cou'd not per-

(p) Phot. Biblioth. cod. 105.

⁽⁹⁾ Hift. Eccles. l. 2. c. 17. Catal. Script. Eccles c 11. (r) The Therapeutæ, of whom Philo gives a very great character, were certainly no Christians, as has been substantially prov'd, by many learned men.

haps fall in with the popular clamour, and publickly expose the Christian name, without offering violence to the truth, and contradicting his own sentiments: so that his silence in this case, seems to be an approbation of that, which he had not the courage openly to commend.

The same thing may be said of Josephus, another learned Jew; who never throws the least resection upon the Christians; tho' if he had done it, he wou'd thereby have oblig'd his brethren the Jews, as well as the Roman Emperours, that he courted with too fervile condescensions. There is a famous passage in his history, relating to our blessed Saviour (s); but it is of a controverted authority; and indeed as it stands in the present copies of Fosephus, carries visible marks of corruption, and interpolation. He mentions James (t) the brother Inow To reyou's Xgist, of Jesus that was call'd Christ: and tells us (as he is cited by Origen (u), Eusebius, Ferom, and some others) that Fames was thrown from off the top of the temple, and kill'd by the Tews, because he maintain'd, that Jesus was the son of God. And notwithstanding this, he gives him a very high character, and adds, that those dreadful calamities that afterwards befell Jerusa-

⁽s) Antig. l. 18. cap. 4.

⁽t) Antiq. l 20. cap. 8. (u) Contra Celf. l. 1. p. 35. l. 2. p. 69. In Matt. 13. Euseb. H. E. l. 2. cap. 23. Hieron. Catal. cap. 2. 13.

lem, were generally look'd upon as a divine judgment upon the Jews, for their killing that righteous and holy man, James, sirnam'd the Just.

It has been long ago observ'd of Seneca, the Philosopher, that in a book which is now lost (w), he fmartly ridicul'd both the Religion of the Iews. and the pagan superstitions, and idolatry: but faid not one word, either good or bad, of the Christians: being unwilling to censure them, and afraid to commend them (x). Whether this Phi-Josopher held a correspondence with St. Paul, is uncertain at least, if not improbable. Those eight Epistles of Seneca to St. Paul, and those six from Paul to Seneca (y), being indisputably spurious. However they are of a very old date; and thus much may be collected from them, that the forger of them proceeded upon a common and current report, that Seneca had a favourable opinion of the Christians. 'Tis certain Seneca was at Rome, when that monster Nero butcher'd the poor Christians, in that horrid manner, describ'd by Tacitus (z):

⁽w) Contra Superstitiones apud Augustin. de Civit. Dei. l. 6. cap. 10, 11.

⁽x) Christianos tamen, jam tunc Judæis inimicissimos. in neutram partem commemorare ausus est; ne vel lauda. ret, contra suæ patriæ veterem consuetudinem, vel reprehenderet, contra propriam forsitan voluntatem. Ibid.

⁽y) Edit. Paris. 1515. Cum, Pauli Epist. & Ob Fabri Stap.

⁽z) L, 15. Annal, p. 279.

and if we may believe the conjecture of a learned man (a), he was so much offended at those unparallell'd cruelties, that he ask'd leave of the Emperour to retire into the country: and when this favour was resus'd him, he shut himself up in his own house, under the pretence of an indisposition (b).

It is the opinion of Theodoret (c), that Plutarch, who frequently speaks in the language of a Christian, had read the Apostolical writings: and yet he never mentions the Christians, tho' he had many times a handle given him for doing it, and his subject naturally lead him that way. He died at an advanc'd age, about the end of Trajan's reign, when the Christians, by Pliny's confession, were grown very numerous: so that his silence cannot possibly be attributed to his ignorance of, or unacquaintance with the Christian sect; but rather to the reason mention'd by St. Austin, concerning Seneca.

The first century produc'd several more great men, who never reproach'd the Christians, but cou'd not forbear giving a sling at the Jews, whenever they came in their way (d). Nay, what is

(b) Vide Tacit. Annal. 1. 15. p. 280.

(c) Serm. 2. de Princip.

⁽a) Lud. vives. Comment. in cap. 11. Augustin. de Civitat. Dei. 1. 6.

⁽d) Vide Quintilian. Inft. Orator. 1. 3. cap. 9. Plin. Nat. Hift. 1. 13. cap. 14.

highly remarkable, there is not one of the wits, or fatyrists of that age, that lampoons the Christians. Neither Petronius, nor Perfius, neither Juvenal, nor Martial, to say nothing of Lucan, or Sili-113 Italians. It is agreed by some of the best commentators upon Juvenal, that in one place he alludes to Nero's cruelty upon the Christians (e): and why did he not take that opportunity of abufing them? He liv'd, as 'tis faid, to the age of fourscore years, till the beginning of Adrian's reign; and consequently had seen three persecutions of the Christians. He lash'd with the keenest fatyr, the vices and follies, the superstitions, luxury, and lewdness of his own times; but has not one reflection upon that Atheistical sect of Christians: that cursed, and destructive Superstition, that prevail'd then at Rome (f): not so much as one single line, that is applicable to the Christians (g). What imaginable reason can be affign'd for it, but this, he cou'd find nothing blameable in the con-

M 2

⁽e) Pone Tigillinum: Tæda lucebis in illa, Qua stantes ardent, qui fixo gutture fumant. Sat. 1. (f) Repressaq; in præsens exitiabilis superstitio rursus erumpebat, non modo per Judæam originem ejus mali, sed per urbem etiam. Tacit. Annal. 1. 15.

⁽g) Some have imagin'd, that Juvenal describes the poverty of the Christians, under the name of Jews, in these lines:

Nunc sacri fontis nemus & Delubra locantur

Judæis: quorum Cophinus, fænumq; supellex. Sat. 3. But whoever compares these, with Verse 543. Sat. 6. must allow this to be a mistake.

duct of a Christian? This conjecture will appear more probable by observing, First, that Juvenal was a man of vertue and morality, and stood clear of those vices he ridicul'd: and Secondly, that he treated the heathen Gods with but little respect, and like a man, who look'd upon them as sictitious Deities (b).

St. Austin tells us (i) of one Victorinus in the fourth century, a man eminently learned and eloquent, who refused for a long time to make a publick profession of Christianity, for fear of disobliging his heathen friends. There were doubtless, a great many more under the same circum-Stances: who were secretly convinc'd of the truth, and excellency of the Christian religion; but difguis'd their fentiments, being under the influence of some worldly motives, and views. By an open declaration in favour of the Christians, they were apprehensive of incurring the displeasure of those, that they had reason, either to love, or to fear. They were afraid of exposing themselves to difficulties, and dangers: of rifquing their eafe, and fecurity, and hazarding of life, or fortune, or both. But the danger of facrificing a reputation for learning, and worldly wisdom, was one of the strongest prejudices against a publick profession of

⁽h) Sat. 5. vers. 60. Sat. 13. a vers. 40. ad vers. 53. (i) Confess. 1. 8. cap. 2.

the Christian faith. For a learned Philosopher to go over to the Christians, was openly proclaiming his former ignorance and error, which but few were very willing to own. 'Twas bartering of fame, for ignominy: and exchanging of wealth, and honour, for poverty, and contempt.

There were others, that for some time made an open profession of the Christian faith; but when they faw a storm arising, they took shelter among the infidels, and deferted the fociety of Christians. Porphyry, the most learned, and shrewdest adverfary, the Christians ever had, we are told, embrac'd the Christian religion (k): being probably instructed in it by Origen, with whom he was acquainted in his youth (1): but being beaten by some Christians at Casarea in Palastine, he resented this ill usage so much, that he not only went off from the Christians, but set himself to write against them, with all imaginable spite and virulence (m). There were probably other reasons. that forwarded this Apostasy: perhaps his covetous, or ambitious views were baulk'd; or he found his reputation in danger of being eclips'd, by herding with illiterate barbarians. In a word,

⁽k) Euseb. apud Socrat. Hist. Eccl. l. 3. cap. 23. Augustin. de Civit. Dei, l. 10. cap. 28. Niceph. l. 10. c. 36. Vide Luc. Holsten. de vita Porph. c. 5.

⁽¹⁾ Euseb. l. 6. cap. 19. Vincent, Lirinens. cap. 23.

⁽m) Socrat. Hift. Eccles. l. 3. cap. 23.

fo great, and so general were the corruptions in the heathen world; so strong were those prejudices, that lay against Christianity; so powerfully did both interest, and reputation plead, in behalf of gentile idolatry and superstition, that it must be a most wonderful thing (and what nothing cou'd possibly have essected, but that Being, with whom nothing is impossible) that so many Philosophers, and so many learned men, shou'd renounce not only their inveterate errors, but also their temporal happiness, and ease, and believe in a crucify'd Jesus. But Great is truth, and will prevail! and especially when it is assisted by Heaven, and supported by the arm of Almighty God.

I now proceed to the fecond branch of the article, which charges the Christians with poverty, with lowness of circumstances, and baseness of extraction. It must be own'd that most of the first converts to Christianity, were of the lower part of mankind: and that still generally speaking, 'tis fuch as those who now pay the greatest regard to the duties of religion. By far the greater part of that small stock of vertue and goodness, that is to be met with in the world, is found amongst men, that are situated in an inferiour rank. They were men of a mean and servile condition, that came to the wedding supper, and as such as those were the first, so 'tis probable, they'll be the last Christians in the world. Those that are plac'd in a superiour and more honourable class, have generally speaking, nothing so little at heart as their duty to their Creator: and leave the drudgery of religion to men of narrow fortunes, of grief, and mifery. It frequently happens, that the higher a man is plac'd in the world, the greater in proportion is his neglect of his duty: the more scandalous his contempt of religion, and the more egregious his impieties.

The reasons why the first converts were men of a middle, or inferiour station, were as follows. Some of those reasons are mention'd in the Parable, Luke 14. 16. and particularly these two, worldly advantages, and worldly pleasures: intimated by buying a piece of ground, and marrying a wife. One condition of admittance into the kingdom of Jesus Christ, was renouncing all temporal profits and pleasures, when they stood in competition with their duty. Whoever hates not, fays our Lord, father and mother, and life it self for my sake, he cannot be my Disciple. Now since self-denyal is enjoyn'd every disciple of the Lord Jesus, 'tis plain that those who enjoy'd the least of the good things of life, wou'd be the most ready to embrace the Christian religion: and those wou'd naturally have the greatest aversion and dislike to it, who were in possession of the largest fortunes. Agreeably to this, we may observe that the young man in the Gospel, who had kept the commandments; and on all other accounts feem'd qualify'd for a disciple of the Lord Jesus, when our Saviour bad him sell

168 The charge of Ignorance and

what he had, and give to the poor, went away forrowful, for he had great possessions. The duties enjoyn'd a Christian, will seem more or less difficult, according to a man's circumstances. Self-denyal will be no hardship to him, that is accustom'd to want, and poverty: nor will mortification be a difficult task to one, that has been a long time acquainted with misery. But for a man to renounce all temporal advantages, when he has got a very great share in them: and to retire from the world, when he might live happily in it, is what human nature will not so readily comply with: and this I take to be one principal reason, why the first that came over to Christianity, were generally speaking, the inferior part of mankind.

2. But we may be able to account for it another way, why men of a prosperous condition, and of plentiful fortunes, shou'd not so readily embrace the Christian religion; nor when they have embrac'd it, so strictly observe the duties it enjoyns. Those earthly treasures too often have the supreme command of our affections, and engross our time, and our thoughts. They give a fatal interruption to our duty, and divert us from the care of the one thing needful. Do not we fee now a-days, many a one possess'd with the devil of avarice, whose desires increase with their posfessions; who have not the least regard for any thing, but what promotes their gain, and advanceth their interest? Like Judas, they'll betray even their

their Saviour for money; and for temporal enjoyments which are but for a moment, they'll pawn an inheritance eternal in the heavens. An immoderate care and regard for the good things of this life, is inconfistent with a true sense of our duty, or at least, with a strict and religious observation of it: 'tis therefore no wonder, the poor, the halt, and the blind, that is men of an inferiour station, came to the wedding supper, when the rich and the mighty rejected the invitation, and alledg'd by way of excuse, that they had bought a piece of ground, or sive yoke of oxen, and must needs go and see them.

3. The lower part of mankind were the first converts to Christianity, because they were exercis'd with more afflictions, and were strangers to delicacies and pleasures, which are scarcely to be reconcil'd with the duties of Christianity; have a fatal tendency to debauch men both in principle and practice, and to alienate their affections towards God, and their duty. Worldly pleasures when they infinuate themselves into our good graces, entirely take us off from our duty; or at least, those parts of it, which are contrary to those pleasing allurements, and gratifications of sense. The strictest virtue, and the greatest prosperity, seldom, or never meet together. He that abounds in plenty and pleasures, and meets with all the satisfaction this world can afford him, very often forgets to make those returns of gratitude, and obedience.

170 The charge of Ignorance and

obedience, that are due to his infinitely kind benefactor. What occasion has he to mind the duties of religion, when he's happy without it? Let miserable creatures petition heaven for relief, and take care to observe the laws of their Creator. He's in possession of all that his heart can desire; and thinks all acts of religion impertinent, and fuperfluous, under a state of ease, of happiness, and pleasure. Some of the Precepts of Christianity are quite opposite, to that licentiousness and ease, that he indulgeth himself in, and therefore the thoughts of them are rejected, for fear they shou'd damp his pleasures, and spoil the rellish of his temporal enjoyments. But men of an inferior class, are generally more fensible of the wants, and calamities that attend human nature. This brings them to fober reflections. This makes them examine into the fource of their miseries, and apply themselves to God, who alone can relieve them. This makes them form steady resolutions to forfake sin, that is the fountain of all misery; and to discharge those duties, which alone can make men completely happy.

4. The middle or lower part of mankind are not commonly tainted with those capital vices, that some are guilty of, who move in a higher sphere; and therefore are better qualify'd for the reception of sacred truths, and more sincerely dispos'd to obey the divine will. It is God's holy spirit that leads men into all truth; and without

his assistance, we shall commit mistakes, even about the most easy, and obvious matters: but the greatest innocence, and sincerity of heart, has the best title to God's co-operating Grace: on the contrary, the most wicked men banish away the Holy Spirit, and are left to the weak guidance of their own fallible reason: and very often, notwithstanding their natural abilities, reject the plainest truths, and run into such mistakes, as will prove destructive to their eternal interest, and falvation.

5. It pleased Almighty God, to call the first Christians from the lower class of mankind, as being most suitable to the all-wife, and good ends of his Providence. He chose those that were reputed foolish and ignorant, to confound the wife's and the weak things of the world, to pull down those that were mighty, and exalted. He made use of instruments, seemingly contemptible, to bring about a mighty change: that a supernatural power might be more visibly display'd, and the divine excellency of the Christian religion (n).

⁽n) Prædicatio Christiana non indiget pompa & cultu sermonis, ideoque Piscatores, homines imperiti electi sunt, qui Evangelizarent: ut Doctrinæ veritas ipsa se commendaret, teste virtute, ne hominum versutia, & calliditate humanæ sapientiæ acceptabilis videretur, non veritate: ficut Disciplinæ ab hominibus inventæ, in quibus non ratio, non virtus, sed verborum quæritur compositio; ac per hoc gloriam suam quærit, qui sidem Christi verbis exornare vult : obscurat enim illam splendore verborum, ut non illa, fed ipfe laudetur. Comment. ad 1 Cor. cap. 1. inter op. Ambros.

172 The charge of Ignorance and

Had none but the great, and the mighty been employ'd in that important business, of bringing men from the power of darkness unto God: their fuccess might have been imputed to their own wisdom, power, or abilities. Perhaps it would then have been suspected, that they got converts, by bribing mens understandings with their splendour, and greatness; and by terrifying them into compliance, with their power. But that Christianity should be planted, and propagated by fuch ordinary means: that a few illiterate Fishermen shou'd baffle all the learning of Rome, and Athens: and fettle a Church, against which the gates of hell cou'd never prevail, argued their cause, to be the cause of God, and the Christian religion to be of divine Original.

But tho' not many mighty; not many noble were called at first, and there were but sew of the first converts, that cou'd boast either of riches, or an honourable extraction: yet in a little time, there were great numbers of people, of all ranks, and conditions of life, of great eminence, and distinction, that renounc'd their old Idolatry, and became the disciples of Jesus Christ. Celsus with his usual front, roundly afferts it for a truth, that the Christian society consisted of none but poor women, and children, servants, paultry Mechanicks, and such like (0): and his friend Lucian re-

⁽⁰⁾ Origen. contra Celf. l. 3. p. 141, 144.

prefents them as a parcel of old women, widows, and fatherless children (p). But this is a base and malicious calumny: for if there were some that were great and honourable, amongst the very first converts to Christianity, as 'tis certain there were (9), and the words of the Apostle 1 Cor. 1. 26. imply as much: the number of converts of that superior class, must be very much increas'd, about the middle of the fecond century, when Celfus (r), and his cotemporary Lucian wrote. At the latter end of the first century, or the beginning of the fecond, we find by Pliny (s), there were many Christians, Omnis ordinis, & omnis atatis. Of all ranks, and all ages. And can it possibly be imagin'd, that forty, or fifty years afterwards, there shou'd be no Christians, but what were of the dreggs of the people, and the lowest, and most despicable part of mankind? Not many years after Celsus, Tertullian speaks of Clarissimos viros, & Clarissimas faminas, people of note and eminence of both fexes, that were Christians (t). and in order to divert Scapula from perfecuting the

(p) De Mort. Peregrin. p. 594, 5.

⁽q) John. 4. 53. 19. 38. Att. 17. 12. 34. Att. 13. 125 Philip. 4. 22. &c.

⁽r) Origen. contra. Cels. l. 1. p. 8. (s) Epist. ad Trajan. 97. l. 10.

⁽t) Ad Scap. cap. 4.

174 The charge of Ignorance, &c.

Christians any longer, he tells him (u), how prodigiously great the number of Christians was. That among them he might find some principal, and leading men: some that were nearly related to his own friends, and of the same rank, and quality with himself.

In the first ages of the Church, when persons of distinction, of wealth, and honourable extraction, came over to the Christians, they generally laid asside their former pomp, and greatness: retir'd from the world, and liv'd in a contemptible obscutity: distributed their substance amongst their indigent brethren; and sometimes betook themselves to a mean, and fordid occupation. It was this, in all probability, that gave Celsus, and others a handle, to represent the Christians as an ignoble, and beggarly race of men. But to say that none of the first Christians were noble, or honourably descended, is a calumny made up of ignorance, malice, and falshood, and becomes none so well as a Celsus, or a Julian (w).

(w) Vide Cyrill. contra Julian. 1. 6.



CHAP. IX.

The charge of Magick Arts accounted for.

TE have not much reason to wonder, that the Primitive Christians shou'd be charg'd with practifing of magick arts, when the Lord of life himself, was said to cast out devils by Beelzebub, the prince of the Devils. That our bleffed Saviour did many great and wonderful works, the Jews themselves cou'd not deny: but that wicked and perverse people wou'd not acknowledge, that they proceeded from a divine and Almighty power, but blasphemously ascrib'd them to a correspondence with some wicked, and infernal agent. The heathens also confess'd, that our Lord and his Apostles heal'd diseases; gave fight to the blind; made the dumb to speak, and the lame to walk: but they resolv'd all those miraculous cures into, I know not what, magical powers, and curious, and clandestine arts.

Celsus, that impious, and bungling advocate for the pagan cause, by perusing St. Matthew's Gospel had found, that our infant Saviour was carried into Ægypt, to avoid the cruelty of Herod. Up-

176 The charge of Magick Arts

on this he has the impudence to tell us (a), that our Lord, whilst in Agypt, learnt those curious arts, by the affistance of which, he afterwards did so many mighty, and wonderful things. The Agyptians, according to Celsus, divided a human body into thirty six parts (b); and imagin'd, that over each of these presided a damon, or atherial deity: and when any one part was affected, or out of order, it was only making supplication to the damon, that had the care of it, and the part wou'd be healed, and made persectly whole. With such wretched, and ridiculous stuff, does this wonderful Philosopher attempt, to account for those miraculous cures, persorm'd by the eternal Son of God!

There were other stupid sables invented by the heathens, in order to depreciate the miracles wrought by the Apostles. Both St. Peter, and St. Paul were said, to be well instructed in magical arts (c). Some said the Christian religion was to last only three hundred and sixty sive years, and that the continuance of it so long, was owing to Peter's cutting a child of a year old in pieces, or to his great skill in clandestine, and magick arts (d). And for this the heathens produc'd a

(d) Augustin. de Civit. Dei. l. 18. c. 53. 54.

⁽a) Origen. contra Gelf. l. 1. p. 30. Arnob. adv. Gentes. l. 1.

⁽b) Origen. contra Celf. l. 8. p. 416. (c) Augustin. de Consens. Evangelist. l. 1. c. 9, 10, 11.

pretended oracle. But when the Christian religion surviv'd that satal period, its enemies were hard put to it for new subtersuges, and new inventions, to prop up their sinking cause. Whatever they might say to the contrary, 'tis certain they were convinc'd in their own minds, that the miracles wrought by the Apostles, and primitive Christians, were wrought by the power of God, and in the name of Jesus Christ: for, in their enchantments, and diabolical tricks, they themselves made use of the holy name of Jesus (e); and sometimes call'd upon the God of Abraham, of Isaac, and of Jacob (f).

r. The primitive Christians were call'd magicians, because they did many mighty works by the affistance of God's holy spirit: which cou'd not be effected by any visible, and ordinary cause; and were incontestedly superior, and contrary to the stated laws of nature. For how long a time the Church of Christ was bless'd with those extraordinary, and wonderful gifts, I cannot precisely determine. We have substantial, and express evidence of their continuance, much later than Cellus's time, which is enough for my purpose.

About the middle of the secon'd century Justin tells Trypho (g), at this day Christians have the gift of

⁽e) Augustin. Exposit. in Ewangel. Johan. cap. 1. Trastat. 7. (f) Origen. contra Cels. l. 4. p. 183, 184.

⁽g) Dial. cum Tryph. p. 308.

178 The charge of Magick Arts

Prophecy, and cast out devils, which tremble at the name of Jesus (h). There is a famous passage in Irenaus (i) to this purpose, cited by Eusebius (k). Great and many, he says, were those gifts and graces, which the Lord conferr'd upon the faithful in his time. Some cast out devils; and others heal'd diseases by the imposition of hands. Some rais'd the dead to life again; and others had the gift of prophecy, and of tongues. Tertullian speaks of feveral honourable persons cur'd by the Christis ans, of divers infirmities (1). He tells a remarkable story of one Proculus, that was in great favour with the Emperour Severus, whom he had cur'd of a distemper, by anointing him with oyl (m). In the third century Origen tells us, many unclean spirits were cast out by prayer, and by the invocation of the name of Jesus (n). He himself had seen many an one deliver'd from dreadful distempers, and calamities, by the name of Jesus Christ: which, it was not in the power of men. or of devils, to remove (0). Again he favs (p). when our Lord first began to preach, there were

(k) Hift. Eccles. L. 5. cap. 7.

⁽i) L. 2. cap. 58.

⁽¹⁾ Ad Scap. cap. 4. Apol. cap. 23. ad Scap. c. 2.

⁽m) Ad Scap. cap. 4.

⁽n) Contra Cels. l. 3. p. 133. l. 7. p. 334, 376. (o) Contra Cels. l. 3. p. 124. Vide l. 2. p. 62, 80.

⁽p) Ibid. l. 7. p. 337.

fome visible signs of the Holy Spirit, or effects of his divine, and miraculous power. After our Lord's Ascension, there were more: some time after that sewer; but even now, there are some remains of that miraculous power (q). Arnobius, at the beginning of the fourth century, tells us (r), the name of Christ drives away evil spirits, and makes the oracles dumb. And Lastantius, about the same time says (s), at this very day, Christians cast out wicked spirits, by the name of their master, and by the sign of the Cross.

Thus we see for the first three hundred years (to look no further) there were some Christians, endued with a power from on high: and by the divine affistance did many wonderful things, in the name of Jesus Christ. And those mighty works were perform'd openly, and in the face of the Sun. The facts were evident, and notorious, and the heathens themselves had not the face to deny it: but they endeavour'd to account for those miraculous cures in such a manner, as not to hurt the interest of paganism, nor to do any honour to the Christian religion. They wou'd not own those mighty works to be the effect of a divine and

⁽q) Vide Min. Fel. p. 252, 254: Cyprian. ad Demetrian. ad Donat. p. 4. Firmic. de errore profan. relig.
(r) Contra Gentes. l. 1. p. 14, 27.

⁽¹⁾ L. 4. cap. 27. Vide l. 2. cap. 15. l. 5. cap. 21.

180 The charge of Magick Arts

Almighty power, because that was giving up at once the pagan cause; which right or wrong, they were refolv'd to maintain; that was acknowledging the Lord Jesus, in whose name those miracles were wrought, to be truly the fon of God: and that was disparaging their own pretended deities, which they were fensible, cou'd not effect any miraculous cures. Under these straits and perplexities, they took up a resolution (as contrary to common sense, as common justice) to charge the Christians with clandestine, or magick arts. With an intercourse, or familiarity with some wicked, infernal spirits. This I take to be the original foundation of the calumny. A calumny that begun with Christianity it felf, and probably continu'd, as long as there were any of those extraordinary, and miraculous gifts, and graces, in the Christian Church.

2. The primitive hereticks were partly the occasion of this calumny. Many of them used curious, and diabolical arts, and kept up an intimate familiarity with the heathen magicians, jugglers, astrologers, and such like (t). Simon the Archheretick did so many stupendous things by his skill in magick, that by some people he was reputed a God(u); and a great many years after his death,

⁽t) Tertul. præscrip. ad. Hær. cap. 43.

⁽u) Justin. Apol. 1. Iren. adv. Heres. cap. 20.

we are told (w), there were some hereticks who had fuch an honour for his memory, that they ador'd his very pi&ure, and offer'd up facrifices to it. The hereticks Mark, and Menander, were alfo famous magicians, that impos'd upon ignorant people, by their hellish tricks, and delusions (x). There were other hereticks of the same stamp, that pretended to do mighty feats by forcery, and enchantments. To keep up their reputation with the deluded populace, and to ape the miracles wrought in the name, and by the power of Jesus Christ, they had recourse to the vilest, the blackest arts; and scrupled not to call in all the powers of darkness, to their assistance. Now since the worst of hereticks, as we are told by Justin (y), were called Christians, 'tis probable the heathens, by observing the magical operations of some hereticks. might take occasion to calumniate the whole Christian name.

But further. The behaviour of some hereticks, that in reality were no magicians, was such as gave the heathens some sinister suspicions. The Valentinians, Basilidians, and several more of the Gnostick heresy, had a barbarous and unintelligible cant, and jargon among them (z); which

N 3

⁽w) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. l. 2. c. 13.

⁽x) Justin. Apol. 1. Iren. l. 1. cap. 8, 9, 21. Euseb. Hist. Eccl. lib. 3. cap. 26.

⁽⁷⁾ Apol. 1.

⁽z) Iren. l. 1. c. 18. Euseb. H. E. l. 4. c. 7.

182 The charge of Magick Arts

look'd like conjuration. Besides, according to their absurd Scheme, there was an innumerable company of celestial powers, or Governours (a), to whom they made their daily addresses, and supplications: which the heathens took for an invocation of dæmons, or a familiarity with infernal spirits. Hence Celsus tells us (b), he had seen some barbarous writings of the Christians, that contain'd nothing but the names of damons, and juggling tricks, or enchantments. And in another place he fays (c), the Christians were able to do mighty feats, by the name, or the invocation of certain damons. By which it appears, that Celfus applies all the nonsense, and absurdities of some hereticks, to the whole body of Christians: and charges them all, with practifing of magick arts, only because the Ophiani, and other hereticks of those times, behav'd themselves like conjurers.

3. The privacy of the Christian worship created some evil suspicions, and help'd forward the calumny. The first Christians had generally their religious assemblies in the night, at which none were allow'd to be present but the usingo, or those that were baptiz'd. This secresy, and caution, the heathens cou'd not, or wou'd not account for,

⁽a) Vide Iren. Epiphan. Theodoret. Har. fab. Origen. contra Gelf. Tertal. contra Valentin. &c.

⁽b) Origen. contra Cels. 1. 6. p. 302.

⁽c) Ibid. l. 1. p. 7.

without supposing some conspiracy against the publick peace, at those nocturnal meetings; or some magical operations, that were always managed with the utmost privacy, and therefore call'd secret, and clandestine arts (d). Tertullian dissuades his wife, in case she surviv'd him, from marrying with a heathen; and with great folidity of reafoning, describes the inconveniencies, that wou'd of necessity attend, so unequal a match. Amongst other things, he tells her, a heathen husband wou'd suspect her guilty of magical operations, when the rose in the night, to go to prayer; and when she sign'd her self with the sign of the cross (e).

It is entirely needless, and impertinent, to offer at a vindication of the primitive Christians; or to shew how innocent they were of this heavy charge. As foon as any heathen became a fincere convert to the Christian faith, he renounc'd the devil, and all his works: abandon'd all magical tricks, and delusions (f): and those that before had used curious arts, brought their books, and burnt them before all men (g). Whatever wicked arts, or superstitious fooleries have been practis'd, in the corrupt ages of Christianity; 'tis certain,

⁽d) Arnob. adv. Gentes. l. 1. p. 25. (e) Tertul. ad uxorem. l. 2. cap. 5.

⁽f) Vide Justin. Apol. 1.

184 The charge of Magick, &c.

the primitive Christians stood clear of all imputations of that kind. They treated with a just abhorrence, all southsayers, fortune-tellers, enchanters, and diviners of all kinds: and they are expressly condemn'd, as wicked, and detestable, by several canons of the Greek church (b).

CHAP.

⁽h) Vide Concil. Ancyr. Can. 24. Laod. 36. Trul. 61. Canon. Basil. 7, 65.



CHAP. X.

The Heresies, and divisions in primitive Christianity, accounted for.

Here have been in all ages of Christianity some, that have disturb'd the peace of the church; that have maintain'd either fome fingular, extravagant opinions; or without any apparent necessity, have made an unreasonable separation from the catholick communion. as the primitive Christians were some of the best of men; so the primitive hereticks were the vilest monsters the world ever saw. Even while the Apostles were sowing the good seed, the enemy scattered tares: but assoon as those pillars of the truth, those glorious defenders of the faith were crown'd with martyrdom, and translated from this life to a better: then blasphemous error, and impiety appear'd bareface, and made an impudent progress (a). All the united powers of hell vigorously engag'd, to pull down the church of Christ. Many agents of fatan were fent abroad, under the

⁽a) Eufeb. Hift. Eccl. l. 3. c. 32.

disguise of Christians; who attempted to undermine the foundations of our holy faith. Those enemies of all righteousness, and children of the devil, did not cease to pervert the right way of the Lord: and assuming to themselves the title of Christians, they gave both Jew and Gentile occasion to blaspheme (b). All their numberless errours, divisions, and infinite contrariety of opinions, were, very unfairly, plac'd to the account of the whole Christian society.

Whatever those vile miscreants pretended, they had no manner of title to the honourable appellation of Christians; nor were their errours any more chargeable upon primitive Christianity, than pagan idolatry, or those various absurdities, maintain'd by the heathen philosophers. Tis true, the generality of them afferted there was one God: but they entertaind impious, and most unworthy notions of the supreme Being (c). Some of them openly blasphem'd the God of Israel, the Almighty Creator of the universe (d). Others call'd themselves the sons of God: the Saviours of mankind, and promised their followers an immortality (e). The primitive hereticks unanimously de-

(c) Iren. l. 1. c. 19. Clem. Alex. Strom. 6. p. 802. (d) Iren. l. 1. c. 28, 29. Justin. Apol. 1.

⁽b) Vide Justin. dial. cum Tryph. p. 253. Origen. contra Celf. l, 5.p. 272.

⁽e) Euseb. Hist. Eccl. l. 3. c. 26. Origen. contra Cels. l. 6. p. 382. Iren. l. 1. c. 20.

ny'd (f) the incarnation of the x6205, or divine word. They maintain'd that the Lord Jesus Christ, was neither manifested in the flesh, nor suffer'd upon the Cross in reality, sed putative tantum, but onely in appearance, or imagination: and hence they were stil'd Docetæ (g); and Tertullian, with his usual smartness, calls them (b) imaginary Christians. Many of them pretended to a more perfect degree of knowledge, than was vouchsafed either the prophets, or Apostles (i). Some had the impudence to put themselves upon an equality with the bleffed Jesus; and others claim'd a superiority (k). The Ophiani, according to Origen (1), were so far from being Christians. that they blasphem'd our Saviour as much as Celfus did: and before they admitted any one a member of their fociety, they oblig'd him to curfe the Lord Jesus Christ.

They treated the facred Scriptures with a shameful irreverence; and eras'd, interpolated, and alter'd just as they pleas'd. Confront them with facred scripture, and immediately they condemn'd it, as of no authority (m): and when

⁽f) Secundum autem nullam sententiam hæreticorum, Verbum Dei caro sactum est. Iren. l. 3. c. 11.

⁽g) Iren. l. 5. c. 1. Ignat. Epift. ad Smyrn. ad Trell.

⁽b) Contra Valentin.
(i) Iren. l. 1. c. 1.

⁽k) Ibid. l. 1. c. 24.

⁽¹⁾ Contra Celf. 1. 6. p. 294.

⁽m) Iren. l. 3.c. 2.

they cou'd find nothing in the facred volumes, that cou'd possibly be brought to countenance their errors, they made a scripture of their own. Out of the books of the old and new Testament, they selected some passages (n), of an obscure, or ambiguous interpretation, which they wrested to their own purpose. These they treated with the utmost veneration, and respect: but as for the rest, they were slighted, and contemn'd. The Valentinians, and Marcionites alter'd, and mangled the Gospels (o), and particularly that of St. Luke (p). The Ebionites admitted only of St. Matthew's Gospel, and rejected all St. Paul's Epissles, calling him an Apostate from the Law (q).

Some of them, no doubt of it, were regularly baptiz'd, but the generality of them had a form of baptism, of a very strange, and singular nature, and as distant as possible from that, which was instituted by our blessed Lord. There is nothing more shocking, and impious, than those various methods of Initiation, they made use of, which are set down at large by Irenaus (r). As for the Sacrament of the Lords Supper, they entirely

(o) Origen. contra Cels. 1. 2. p. 77.

⁽n) Clem. Alex. Strom. 3. p. 528. Strom. 7. p. 891, 893.

⁽p) Iren. l. 3. c. 11. (q) Iren. l. 1. c. 26.

⁽r) Adv. Haref. l. 1. c. 18.

neglected it (s). For the Eucharistical bread and wine, being a mystical representation of our Lord's body that was broken, and of his blood that was shed upon the Cross; they cou'd not celebrate the Eucharist, seeing they deny'd the reality of Christ's sufferings, without contradicting their own wicked notions, and consuting of themselves.

Their morals were as corrupt, and as scandalous, as their principles. They neither believ'd nor acted, in any one respect, like Christians. Nor indeed were they ever treated by the primitive Church, as mistaken, or erroneous Christians: but as a pack of prosligate pagans, atheists, monsters, and emissaries of the devil. The Christians declin'd all commerce, or society with them (t): which offended them very much, and raised many heavy complaints (u). Polycarp, accidentally meeting with the heretick Marcion, call'd him Primogenitus Satana, the first born of Satan. We are told that St. John was once a going into the Bath at Ephesus, but espying the heretick Cerinthus there, he started back; and said, he was afraid the bath wou'd tumble

⁽¹⁾ Ευχαεισίας η σερστυχής (1. σερστροράς) απέχρνται, δια το μη οιωλογάν τω Ευχαεισίαν σάςτης είναι τε σωτής φημίν Ιπος Χεις ε, των ερά άμαςτιον ήων παθεσαν. Ignal. Epift. ad Smyrn. cap. 7.

⁽t) Justin. Dial. cum Tryph. p. 253. Iren. l. 3. c. 3.

(u) Queruntur de nobis, quod cum similia nobiscum sentiant, sine causa abstineamus nos a communicatione eorum: & cum eadem dicant, & eandem habeant doctrinam, vocemus illos hæreticos. Iren. l. 3. c. 15.

down, because such an enemy of the truth was in it (w). In a word, those hereticks were not Christians in any sense, or degree whatsoever: nor were they ever reputed so, by the primitive Christians. They were as much enemies to the truth, and at as great a distance from it, even as that vile impoftor Mahomet, who feems to have imitated, in many particulars, those primitive hereticks (x).

2. The primitive hereticks were not by far fo numerous, as has been represented. 'Tis true, we have the names of above twenty herefies in the two first centuries; but then we are to observe, that one and the same herefy frequently went under distierent names. Thus the Carpocratians, Valentinians, &c, were also call'd Gnosticks, from their pretended superiority in knowledge: and Borborita, from their filthy conversation. Those that condemn'd marriage, and certain meats, as unlawful, were call'd Tatiani, Severiani, and Continentes. And, to give but one instance more, the Montanists were also styl'd Cataphrygians, Pepuzians, Priscillians, Quintillians, &c. This diversity of names, seems to have led some authors into mistakes: and has but them upon writing a catalogue of more heresies, than in reality there ever were.

Besides, The erroneous Tenets propagated by the primitive hereticks, are reducible to a very

few heads: and firially speaking, there were not above three, or four herefies, for the first two hundred years. For, every succeeding heretick refind upon his master; was ambitious of making new discoveries; made some little alterations, or additions, and was fond of giving a new name to a herefy, that was onely an old one, in a new dress. That this was the case, we are told by Irenaus (y), an author, of indisputable credit, and great antiquity. Menander was Simon's disciple, and made some emprovements upon his master's scheme. Saturninus copied after Menander (z); but took the liberty of making some variations, and changing the name of the herefy. Those that succeeded, went on in the same method; so that there might be the names of an hundred heresies, tho' all grafted upon one common flock, and without varying from one another, in the most material, and essential points.

3. Most of the heresies in the two first centuries continued but a little time. There was always indeed one heresy or other on foot; but the

⁽⁷⁾ Ab his autem qui prædicti sunt jam multæ propagines multarum hæresum factæ sunt, eo quod multi ex ipsis, immo omnes volunt Doctores esse, & abscedere quidem ab hæresi in qua suerunt. Aliud'autem dogma ab alia sententia, & deinceps alteram ab altera componentes, nova docere insistunt, semetipsos adinventores sententiæ quamcunque compegerint, enarrantes. Iren. l. 1. cap. 30. vide cap. 18. vide Theodoret. de Simon. Epiphan. de Menan.

elder commonly gave place to the younger; and the preceding herefy was loft, and abforb'd, in the following one (a). Thus Cerinthianism was lost in Cerdonism, and Cerdonism in Marcionism. The Simonians, strictly so call'd, were of a very short continuance (b): and the Menandrians the same (c). Origen tells us, there were not thirty Simonians in the whole world, in his time (d): and his meaning is, he did not know of any: for in another place he fays, εδιαμέ & της δικεμένης Σιμωνιανοί. There are no Simonians in any part of the world (e). Several of those heresies were extinct, almost as soon as they appear'd (f): and there were but very few of them, that long surviv'd their impious authors. The Montanist herefy kept its ground a long time, and scattered its contagion in many distant places. And the reason was this; Montanus, and his followers, pretended to an uncommon fanctity, and a more than ordinary strictness of Discipline. Their agitations, and exitafies were very furprizing, and aftonishing; and pass'd, with many weak, and well meaning Christians, for the operations of the Holy Spirit. But the Gnosticks were such a crew of

(c) Epiphan. Hæres. 22. (d) Contra. Cels. l. 1. p. 44.

(d) Contra. Cels. l. 1. p. 44. (e) L. 6. p. 282.

⁽a) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. l. 4. cap. 7.(b) Theodoret. Fab. Hæret. l. 1.

⁽f) Euseb. Hist. Eccles. 1. 6. cap. 37, 38. Origen. con. Cels. 1. 6. p. 282.

profligate debauchees, that no man of fobriety cou'd like them. And their Tenets were so extravagantly absurd, that no one cou'd possibly, for any long time, be impos'd upon by them, that had but a common share of understanding.

The causes of herefy, that were more peculiar to the first ages of the church (not to mention those that are common to all times, as ignorance, and a corruption of manners, passion, and prejudice, interest, and ambition, singularity, and oftentation) are these.

First, Many of the converts to Christianity came from the schools of the philosophers; who retaining a high veneration for their masters, were for blending their philosophical notions, with the truth of God. When a man change's his religion (which is commonly done with too much hast and precipitancy) he either runn's into an opposite extream, thinking he cannot get at too great a distance from that he dislikes; or he stopp's short, and is for compounding, and incorporating the old religion with the new. We have many inflances of mistakes, and miscarriages of both kinds. The Ebionites and Nazaraan hereticks, were Jewish converts, that paid a mighty regard to the Mosaick institutions: they kept the Jewish sabbaoth, and strictly observ'd many legal rites and ceremonies (g), and feem'd desirous of being members at once both of the old

covenant

⁽g) Origen. Con. Cels. 1.5. p. 272. Euseb. Hist. Eccles. 1.3.

194 The charge of Heresies, &c.

covenant and the new. On the other hand there were some, that thought they cou'd not possibly sly too far from Judaism: they cou'd not think it allowable to imitate the Jews, in any thing whatever, since they were the **vestoxsoloves*, or murderers of the Lord of life. To celebrate the paschal solemnity, according to the Jewish computation, was reputed unlawful; and a mighty pother, and a fierce contention was rais'd on this account, between the Asiatick, and the western Churches.

Some of the gentile converts, out of an honest, but indifcreet zeal, and hatred against every thing, that was heathenish, condemn'd philosophy, as the invention of the devil; and prohibited the reading of all prophane authors. But the numbers on the other hand, were infinitely greater, of those who were for grafting Christianity upon pagan Theology, and attempted to reconcile their darling philosophy, and poetical dreams, with the revealed will of God. We may trace the heathen poet, or philosopher, in many of those heretical fables, that were propagated in the primitive ages: and if a book on this subject, written by St. Apollinaris, the famous bishop of Hierapolis, had been extant at this day; we shou'd have been able to have demonstrated, from what seet of Philosophy, every particular herefy drew its errors. As several of the first hereticks were well acquainted with the philosophical

phical sciences (b), so we learn from Tertulian (i); that herefy was the off-spring of philosophy; and came originally from the schools of Aristotle, Plato; Epicurus, and the Stoicks. Marcion's two original Beings, the one good, the other evil, is nothing but a piece of Stoicism. Valentinus intermix'd Platonism (k), with his heretical nonsense; and his Cosmogony is nothing but a poetical tale, badly told. Bafilides enjoyn'd his scholars a five years filence, after the manner of Pythagoras (1): and the Continentes abstaining from the flesh of all animals, was probably, in imitation of that philosopher (m). The fables of the Gnosticks were borrow'd, as Irenaus rightly observes (n); from the heathen poets, and more particularly from Homer (o).

Secondly, Persecution for righteousness sake, tho' it wonderfully contributed towards the propagation of the Christian saith, and settled it upon a sirm, and lasting soundation; yet was it the occasion of some heretical errours in the first ages. When a man is in danger of losing his life for the

⁽b) Cerinthus. Valentinus. Epiphanes. Tatianus, & a.

⁽i) Apol. cap. 47. Prescrip. adv. Heret. cap. 7. (k) Tertull. Prescrip. adv. Heret. c. 7.

⁽¹⁾ Agrippa Caftor. apud Euseb. H. Eccl. l. 4. c. 7.

⁽m) Ibid. (n) Adv. Haref. l. 2. cap. 19:

⁽o) Ibid. l. 4 cap. 58.

sake of his religion, frail human nature too often gets the ascendant, and makes him fashion his religion agreeably to his ease, his interest, and security. There goes a remarkable story (p) of one Theodotus of Byzantium, who being apprehended by the heathen perfecutors, was brought under a neceffity, of parting either with his life, or his religion. The love of life prevail'd, and the miserable wretch openly deny'd his Lord and Saviour, Jesus Christ. Asham'd of what he had done, he went to Rome, hoping to lie there conceal'd: but one of his acquaintance met with him, and charged him with his infamous apostaly. His answer was, he had not deny'd God, but man: and being ask'd what man, he reply'd, the man Jesus Christ. Thus to vindicate himself, he commenc'd a heretick; and purely with a design to extenuate his crime, he ever after maintain'd, that the Lord Jesus Christ was merely man. It was an avow'd principle amongst the primitive hereticks, that Martyrdom was a foolish and unnecessary thing, and not agreeable to the will of our holy Redeemer (q): but the true foundation of that pretended persuasion, was this: not one of them ever had the courage, or the constancy, to suffer for the Tell them of their offering sacrifices to idols; and of their denying the faith, in time of

⁽p) Epiphan. adv. Hæref. l. 2. Tom. 1. p. 463.
(q) Vide Tertul. Scorpiac. adv. Gnofic.

persecutions; their answer was, those things were matters of indifferency (r), and they were entirely at liberty, to act just as they pleas'd. Thus self-preservation was the parent of heresy, and to avoid a temporal inconvenience men run into errours.

Persecution in another respect was the cause of herely. Repeated hardships and mileries turn'd the heads of some weaker Christians, and made them delirant, as in a fever. Melancholy retirement frequently gives birth to visions, and Enthufiasm; and a man by suffering much, and dwelling too long, upon the melancholy fide of religion, may be feiz'd at last with a religious phrenzy, The Reveries of the Montanists look like the product of a melancholy, retir'd, and mortify'd way of life. The Cathari, or Novatians, who finally rejected the penitent Lapsi from communion, seem to have been a fet of people, that were fower'd with sufferings, and were for reducing the Christian religion to a conformity with their own morose, and inflexible dispositions.

There has rarely happen'd any perfecution in the Christian world, but a spirit of enthusiasm has immediately appear'd. At the beginning of the reformation, during the religious wars in Germany, there were swarms of Enthusiasts, who broach'd

⁽r) Agrip. Castor. apud Euseb. H Eccl. l. 4. c. 7. Origen. con. Cels. l. 6. p. 282.

198 The charge of Herefies, &c.

fuch blasphemous opinions, as cou'd be the effect of nothing, but downright madness (1). We have lately observ'd, in a neighbouring nation, some Protestants dragoon'd into a fort of religious madness; who after they had endur'd many hardthips, and had been depriv'd of their civil, and religious rights, pretended to prophecy, and inspiration. There were many of our own nation, who, to avoid the scandalous cruelties of Queen Mary's reign, fled into foreign countries. There they made many forrowful reflections upon their own sufferings, and those of their countrymen; which whetted their refentments against Poperv, that cruel and fanguinary religion, and gave them an irreconcileable aversion to every thing, that came from Rome. Hence they quarrell'd with the reformation made in King Edwards reign, as too nearly ally'd to the church of Rome. In this melancholy exile (t), they laid a plan for a separation, that has unhappily continu'd to this very day.

If we consider, what barbarous oppressions the first Christians met with: what manifold temptations they lay under to deny the faith: what obstinate prejudices were entail'd upon those, who came from the schools of the philosophers, into the Church of Christ; we need not be under any surprize, to find the primitive ages infected with

⁽¹⁾ Vide Sleidan. Commentar. de Stat. Relig. (1) Heylin's Hist. of the Resormat. Q. Mary's Reign.

herefy. It is rather a matter of wonder, that the orthodox faith shou'd be able to keep its ground, when attack'd by so many secret, and open enemies: and that the sincere, and genuine Christians, shou'd almost as far outgoe the hereticks in numbers, as they did in the goodness of their cause. Our blessed Lord, and his Apostles expressly foretold, that there wou'd arise false Christs, and false prophets. That some wou'd depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils. And St. Paul declares to the Corinthians, there must be heresses, that they which are approved, may be made manifest among you (u).

CHAP.

⁽a) 1 Cor. 11. 19. .



CHAP. XI.

The charge of corrupting the Sibyllin Oracles accounted for.

Hether the present eight books of Sibyllin Oracles are one entire piece of pious fraud, and the spurious production of some weak, or wicked Christian: or whether they contain the genuine predictions of the ancient Sibylls, with some corruptions and interpolations, is a controversy amongst the learned, not yet decided. Celsus, who flourish'd about the middle of the second century, is the first, that taxeth the Christians with interpolating the Sibyllin Oracles (a). The same charge was afterwards carried on by several others, as we learn from Lactantius, the Emperour Constantine, and St. Austin (b). Whoever will peruse the present collection of Sibyllin Oracles, with any tole-

(a) Origen. contra Cels. 1. 7. p. 368, 9.

⁽b) Lectan. de vera Sapientia. l. 4. c. 15. Constantin. Orat:
ad Sanctor. cat. c. 19. Augustin. de Civit. Dei. l. 18. c. 46, 47.

rable attention, must meet with many evident marks of forgery; so that I cannot forbear pitying the weakness, and credulity of those, who stifly contend for the legitimacy, of the whole collection of those pretended Oracles.

We there find an account of the Creation of the world, the fall of our first parents, the ark of Noah, the deluge of waters (c), the tower of Babel, &c. all undoubtedly taken from the book of Genesis. The historical books of the new Testament have also furnished the forger of those Oracles, with feveral pretended prophecies concerning our faviour. The manner of our Lord's nativity, his life and actions, his tryal and sufferings, his resurrection and ascension, are describ'd with much plainness and particularity; which makes it more than probable, that several of those Oracles, relating to our blessed Saviour, are not predictions of future events, but historical narrations of facts, that were already past, and gone. The author, in order to disguise the imposture, is something anigmatical, in his account of the Roman Emperours (d). He describes them all, from Julius to Adrian, chiefly by the initial letter of their names. There is mention made of three successors to Adri-

(d) Vide 1.5.

⁽c) The pretended Sibyl fays (l. 1. p. 182. l. 3. p. 283.) fhe was wife to one of Noah's fons, and was with him in the ark.

202 The charge of corrupting, &c.

an, which has occasion'd a conjecture (e), that the present collection of Sibyllin Oracles was made in the reign of the Antonine samily.

Oplopaus, the learned Editor of the Sibyllin Oracles has substantially prov'd (f), that many of them at least, were forg'd after our Lord's afcension. But he attempts, to set the original date of fome of them too low: and his zeal to convict them of forgery, has led him into some mistakes. Sibyl, he tells us, afferts (g), that she had seen the second temple of Vesta consum'd by fire: and from thence we are to infer, that the author of the Sibyllin Oracles, livad after the year of our Lord 199; when, according to Eusebius's computation, that temple was burnt down. But Fabricius has corrected this mistake; and has prov'd (b), that it is not Vesta's second temple, burnt in the reign of Commodus; but the second temple at Jerusalem, destroy'd in Vespasian's time, that is mention'd in the Sibyllin verses. The author of them is charged by Opfopaus, with espousing one of Origen's her rerodox notions, viz. that the torments of the damn'd shall not be eternal: and consequently is suppos'd, to be Origen's cotemporary, in the third century, if not later. Now the passage cited by

(f) Prafat. & Not. (g) L. 5. p. 332.

⁽e) Cav. Hift. Lis. Prideaux. Connec. Vol. 2. 1. 9;

⁽h) Biblioth. Grac. Tom. 1. l. 1. c. 32. p. 209.

Ophoxus, and upon which he produces a marginal remark from an old manuscript, does not, in my opinion, make good the charge. 'Tis faid in that place (i), that Almighty God at the request of the righteous, shall deliver men from the consuming fire; by which we are to understand a fort of purgatorial fire, through which all men, whether good or bad, are to pass; and from which, the righteous alone shall be deliver'd, upon making their humble supplication to Almighty God, This interpretation will be confirm'd, if we look a little backward (k); where the pretended Sibyl declares thus: All shall pass through a burning lake of unquenchable fire. All the righteons shall be fav'd, or deliver'd out of it; but the wicked shall be destroy'd in it, to all eternity. This, I confess, is an odd, and extravagant notion: but it is either none of Origen's. or not peculiar to him, or to the times he liv'd in; and consequently does not prove, that the author of that passage, cited by Opsopaus, liv'd in the third century.

It is said in the fifth and eighth books of Sibyllin Oracles (1), that after Adrian three shall reign, that is Antoninus Pius, Antoninus the Philosopher, and Lucius Verus, and then shall be the end of all

⁽i) L. 2. p. 212. Paris. Edit. 1607.

⁽k) Καὶ τότε δη φάνες δια άιθομένε φοταμοίο, Καὶ ολορὸς ἀσθές ε διελέυσον છે. ὅι τε δέναιοι Πάνες σωθήσον , ἀσεβάς δ' ἀπὶ τοῖσιν ὁλενται

Eis Aiwvas ONEs. L. 2. p. 207.

⁽¹⁾ P. 304, 367.

things. From whence it is evident, that the author of those passages cou'd not be later than the reign of M. Antoninus, the last of the three abovemention'd Emperours. For, it wou'd be ridiculous, to suppose that the author shou'd fix the end, and confummation of all things, to the conclusion of M. Antoninus's reign, and tell us so, after the accomplishment of that period. How long those verses were written before the death of M. Antoninus I cannot precisely tell: but if I may be allow'd to add one conjecture to many, that have been offer'd upon this head, I would suppose, this to be done sometime, between the death of Lucius Verus, and the death of M. Antoninus. For the pretended Sibyl tells us (m), the third and last of those three Emperours, that succeeded Adrian, shall have the whole sovereign power in his own hands, if late, that is M. Antoninus shall have partners in the Empire, for feveral years, and at last shall reign alone. This was true in fact: but how he came to the knowledge of this; or how he cou'd tell, whether Marcus, or Lucius, was the third, and last Emperour, I cannot concieve, without supposing, that he surviv'd Lucius Verus Antoninus. I therefore conclude, that the fifth, and eighth books of Sibyllin Oracles, or part of them at least, were

⁽m) L. S. p. 304.

written after the death of Lucius, and before the death of M. Antoninus, about the year of our Lord, 170.

It has been observed by a very learned, and eminent author, that the present collection of Oracles was made, between the year of your Lord 138, and the year 167. It cou'd not be earlier, for therein mention is made of the next successor of Adrian, that is Antoninus Pius, who did not succeed him, till the year 138. And it cou'd not be later, because Justin Martyr in his writings, several times quotes it, and appeals to it, who did not out live the year 167 (n).

This observation is built upon a supposition, that Justin had seen, and quoted that very collection of Sibyllin Oracles, we have at present; which is by no means probable. Some of Justin's quotations, I confess, agree with the present collection: nay surther, it must be own'd that those pretended prophecies in our Sibyllin Oracles, relating to our Saviour, speak of him (in exact agreement with Justin's account of them) not in a typical allegorical way, but plainly, and openly (o). But this is far from amounting to a proof, that the entire eight books of Sibyllin Oracles were extant in Justin's time; before the year of our Lord 167. For by this way of reasoning, we

(0) Justin. Cohortat. ad Grec.

⁽n) Prideaux. Connec. vol. 2. L. 9. p. 626.

might carry the date of them back as far as Vefpafian's time; because Josephus cites (p) a passage
from the Sibyllin Oracles, the substance of which,
we find in our present collection (q). The eight
books of Sibyllin Oracles were undoubtedly
patch'd up, and collected at different times, and
by different hands: and as some of them are older
than our Saviour's time, so there are others as late
as the reign of the Antonine family; and some parts
of them much later still.

We can never suppose, that Justin took our prefent collection for the genuine predictions of the ancient Sibylls; without taxing that holy and learned Martyr with weakness, ignorance, and credulity, to a great degree. Who can imagine, he shou'd call so gross an imposture, and recent a forgery, the production of his own times, the most ancient Oracles of the Sibylls, that were dispers'd all over the world (r)? Besides, the Sibyllin Oracles, as cited by Theophilus, Athenagoras, and others, who wrote after Justin, were different from those we have at present: from whence I infer, that the collection now extant, was not feen, and appeal'd to by Justin. But further. The Acrostick in the eighth book, seem's to be of a more modern date, than most of the rest of those

⁽p) Antiq. Jud. 1. t. c. 5.

⁽q) Sibyll. Orac. l. 3. p. 223, 4. (r) Austin. Cohortas. ad Grac.

pretended Oracles. For, the Emperour Constantine in the fourth century, is the first, that mentions it. The initial letters in that Acrostick, make up the following words, Invis. Xquisds. Original Languages. Transfer. Xquisds. Original Languages. Transfer. Sandages. Transfer. The Son of God, the Sandages. The Cross (s). Here is a plain description of our blessed Lord, even by his very Name: which yet is not once taken notice of, for the first three hundred years. But the fathers of the second and third centuries, had that Acrostick been extant, and reputed genuine in their days, cou'd not have forgot to urge so express, and illustrious a testimony, in their disputes with the heathens.

Some are of opinion that Tertullian alludes (t) to this Acrostick, when he calls our Saviour ixove which word is compos'd of the initial letters of these greek words, Inous, Xelodo, Oes ijde, Saldo Jesus Christ, the son of God, the Saviour. But what reason there is to suppose, that Tertullian referr's to those Sibyllin verses, written in the Acrostick way, I cannot conceive. He does not once mention that Acrostick. Besides, the word ixous (which signifies a fish) is nothing but a trisling conceit, arising from a whimsical conjunction of five initial letters, taken from words that were

⁽s) Sibyll. Orac. l. 8. p. 380. Constantin. Orat. ad Sanctor. Cat. c. 19.

⁽¹⁾ Sed nos pisciculi secundum Ix due nostrum Jesum Christum, in aqua nascimur. De Baptismo. c. 1.

very common, and to be met with in ten thoufand places, besides the eighth book of Sibyllin
Oracles. Lastantius, who wrote about an hundred years after Tertulian, paid a great regard to
the Sibyllin Oracles; and made frequent appeals
to them; and yet as to this Acrostick he is perfectly silent. Nay he quotes (u) the eighth line in
this Acrostick; but instead of eitern (they shall
throw down) where the initial letter e makes up
the second letter in the word xeises; he sets down
reliter (they shall break to pieces) which effectually
spoils the Acrostick (w).

The Emperour Constantine stiffly contends for the great antiquity, and the genuine authority of this Acrostick (x). He calls in Cicero, as an impartial witness on his side: who in the opinion of most learned men, says nothing at all in his favour. The Acrostick mention'd by Cicero, was widely different (as Dr. Prideaux has (y) very rightly observ'd) from that which we find in the eighth book of Sibyllin Oracles. Besides Cicero tells us, that the Sibyl (or whoever else it was, that had a hand in the framing of that Oracle, which declar'd that the Romans must have a king, if they wou'd be safe) acted very cunningly in not

⁽u) L. 7 · cap. 19. (w) Vide Not. Opsop. in Sibyll. Orac. p. 65.

⁽x) Orat ad Sanctor. cat. cap. 19. (1) Connec. Part. 2. Book. 9. p. 628.

paming of times, and persons (z): by which means the Oracle was capable of various, and uncertain interpretations; and was applicable to different purposes. But in our Sibyllin Acrostick, Jesus Christ, the son of God, is expresty nam'd: and in another place, the time is precisely fix'd for the coming of this great, and immortal King, viz. when Ægypt shall be added to the Roman Empire (a).

That feveral things contain'd in the present collection, were extant long before Justin's time, is evident enough. Among our Sibyllin Oracles. we find many passages, that agree with the writings of Orpheus, Homer, and some others of the ancient Greek Poets (b). But whether those Poets borrow'd from the Sibylls, or the pretended Sibylls from them, remains an uncertainty. Herodotus (c), Strabo (d), and others, site some Greek verses, as from an ancient heathen Oracle, which we find at this day amongst our Sibyllin Oracles.

⁽z) Hoc si est in libris, in quem hominem, & in quod tempus est? Callide enim qui illa composuit, perfecit, ut quodeunque accidisset, prædictum videretur, hominum & remporum definitione sublata. De Divinat. l. 2.
(a) Αυτας επεί Ρώμη η Αιγύπος βασιλεύσει,

בוֹכ בני לוֹשׁנישׁשׁם, הסדב לה במסוגנים עובונהו Α Δανάτε Βασιλή 🕒 έπ' αιθεώποισι φανείται.

⁽b) Vide Fabric. Biblioth. Grec. l. I. c. 33. p. 217.

⁽c) L. 1. c. 47. Vide Siby U. Orac. l. 8. p. 392. (d) Geograph. l. 1. p. 36. Vide Orac. Siby H. l. 4. p. 292.

Many more inflances of this kind might be produced, which make it evident, even to demonstration, that the entire eight books of Sibyllin Oracles now extant, cou'd not be the invention of some Christian impostor, or the forgery of the second century.

There has been an objection urg'd against the authority of the Sibyllin Oracles, which is taken from the silence of the Apostles. It is agreed that the heathens held the ancient Oracles of the Sibylls in high esteem: and if any in the Apostolick age had spoke of our blessed Saviour, in as strong, and express terms, as ours do; their authority wou'd frequently have been urg'd with success, and they must have contributed very much towards the conversion of an insidel. But since the Apostles make no appeals to the Sibyllin Oracles, 'ris concluded that they had either no existence, or at least, no reputation or authority, in the Apostolick age.

But to this it is answer'd, First. The Epistles of St. Paul, and of the rest of the Apostles, are directed not to insidels, but to Christians (e): and consequently no such arguments are to be expected there, as were proper for the conversion of an unbeliever. Instead of dissuasives from heathenish idolatry, and superstition. Instead of proofs, that the Lord Jesus was the Messiah, taken from

⁽e) Montague's Acts and Monuments. c. 3. p. 209.

Prophecy, and Miracles (which to an actual believer wou'd have been entirely superfluous) we find in the facred writings, exhortations to righteousness and holyness: to a continuance in welldoing, and a perseverance in the faith. Cautions against error, and imposture: and rewards, and punishments propos'd, to encourage men to stand fast in the faith, and to keep them within the bounds of their duty. As to the Acts of the Apostles, they give us a short history of the first settlement of Christianity. But we are to observe, that the Apostles, when they first preach'd the Gospel, had to do chiefly with the Jews: who in all probability, were not to be won over by any appeals to the Sibyllin Oracles. Besides, the Acts of the Apostles contain in reality, little more than the Acts of St. Paul: nay the history of that great Apostle, given us by St. Luke, is very short, and defective. St. Paul, I confess, seems to have had a favourable opportunity (f), of citing the Sibyllin Oracles, in his dispute with the Athenians: but who can tell, what substantial reasons there might be for such an omission? Or might not the historian, for the fake of brevity, pass by such a citation, as well as omit feveral other particulars, relating to that dispute? Many things were faid,

⁽f) Vide Vales. Annotat. in cap. 18, 1, 5. Euseb. de vité Constant.

and many things written by the holy Aposseles, which we have no account of. We know very little of the method they pursued: or of the arguments they urg'd in preaching the Gospel: which doubtless, they varied, according to the different capacities, and dispositions of the people, they were concern'd with. The silence therefore of the Aposseles in this case, is far from being a proof, that they never appeal'd to the Sibyllin Oracles.

A fecond answer to the abovemention'd objection is this. 'Tis said, the Apostles in their preaching to the Gentiles, did actually refer them to the Sibyllin Oracles. Clement of Alexandria, a most learned Father, tells us (g), that Almighty God being willing, the Jews shou'd be sav'd, gave them prophets; and also rais'd up from amongst the worthiest of the Greeks, prophets of their own. This he fays evidently appears, not only from the preaching of Peter (a book of that name) but also from the words of St. Paul, who speaks thus. · Take the Greek books, consider how Sibyl speaks of One God, and of things which are to come. Take and read Hystaspes. You'll find he describes, more plainly and exprest, the son of God, and that opposition made against Christ by the Kings of the earth, who hate him, and all that bear his name.

⁽g) Strom. 6. c. 5: p. 761.

This quotation is taken from a book now loft, but extant in the time of Clement of Alexandria. The Kneuyua Mites, the preaching of Peter, which Doctor Grabe supposeth (h), was joined to the preaching of Paul, was a book of no contemptible character; and was frequently cited by feveral very ancient fathers of the church. Notwithstanding some heretical interpolations, it contain'd feveral curious Apostolical remains. Whether or no the passage just mention'd, is of that kind, I cannot say. Clement of Alexandria declares for the affirmative; and we must allow him to be a witnels of great fignificancy, whether we confider his learning, or the times that he liv'd in. Besides, there is no manner of improbability, in supposing that St. Peter, and St. Paul quoted the Sibyllin Oracles; when St. Clement of Rome, the companion of the Apostles, expresly did it, in his famous Epistle to the Corinthians (i). We do not

⁽h) Spicileg. Patr. Tom. 1. p. 66, 67. Vide Lastan. l. 4.

⁽i) Ει της παρέσης κατασάσεως το τέλος έσιν, ή διά τε πυρός κρίσις των άσεδων καθά φασιν άι γραφαί προφηθών κλ Αποσόλων, έτι ή κλ της Σιβύλλης, καθώς φησιν ο μακάριος Κλήμης ον τη πρός Κορινθίας Επισολή. Quaft. 74. ad Orihodox, inter Op. Julin.

Since this passage, concerning the end and consummation of all things, and the judgment of the wicked by fire, is pretty much of a piece with the following lines in Ovid; I cannot forbear thinking, that the Poet had his notion of the conslagration of the world from the Sibyllin Oracles.

Esse quoque in satis reminiscitur affore tempus, Quo mare, quo tellus, correptaque regia Cæli Ardeat; & mundi moles operosa laboret.

now meet with that quotation from the Sibyllin Oracles, in his Epistle: and the reason is this. There is but one manuscript copy of that Epistle, remaining in the world, as far as we know, and that imperfect: but that the quotation was originally in Clement's Epistle, we have no reason to doubt (k).

It will perhaps be allow'd, that some of those Oracles, in the collection now extant, were not only prior to the incarnation of the Messiah, but were also cited in the Apostolick age: but there is started another difficult question, viz. whether any of those Oracles, that were indisputably prior to our Saviour's incarnation, did prædict the advent, or give any character, or description of the Messiah. The Oracles cited by Herodotus, Strabo. St. Clement, Fosephus, and some others, are of a quite different nature: and even the quotation of St. Paul, in Clement of Alexandria, only informs us; that the Sibyl spake of one God, and of things which were to happen afterwards; without any express mention of the Messiah. In answer to this query let us observe.

First, We are inform'd by Justin Martyr, that the Sibyl foretold the coming of Jesus Christ; and and spake of all those things that were to be done

⁽k) Vide Bevereg. Cod. Conon. vindicat. l. I. c. 14. Sec. 8. Fabric. Biblioth. Gree. Tom. 1. l. 1. c. 33. Sec. 11.

by him, oupos, i pareços, plainly and openly (1). I know 'tis objected, that Justin's copy of the Sibyllin Oracles, was a corrupt piece of forgery. But how does that appear? Is there any necessity to take the words oapas, no paregus, in such a latitude, as to make us believe, that in Justin's copy. the Messiah was describ'd with the same punctuality, and particularity, and all those circumstances relating to his actions and sufferings, as the author of our pseudo-Sibyllin Oracles describes him? If the ancient Sibyl faid fuch things of a future Messiah, as were applicable to none but the person of the blessed Jesus, she describ'd him plainly, and openly. The quotations from the Sibyllin Oracles in Justin, have nothing in them. I will venture to affirm it, that looks modern, or supposititious. There the unity of the Godhead is afferted, and the idolatrous worship of the heathen's is condemn'd: which is no more than has been done by Orpheus, Sophocles, Plato. and feveral more philosophers and poets, long before the coming of our Saviour (m). The book, wherein Justin speaks most fully and particularly of the Sibyllin Oracles, was probably one

(m) Clem. Alex. Cohortat. ad Grac. p. 62. 63. &c. Vide

Justin, Cobortat. ad Grac.

⁽¹⁾ Σιβύλλη — কৌ δε τῆς τὰ σωνῖες ἡμθό Ινσῶ Χεις ε μελλέσης ἔσειος παςεσίας, τὸ τὰ πάντων τῆ τῶ ἀντῶς μελλόντων, σαφῶς, τὸ φανεςῶς περαναφωνέση. Cohortat. ad Græc.

of his first performances after his conversion, and confequently written, pretty near the beginning of the second century. Now since Justin appeals to the Sibyllin Oracles as very ancient; as very common in the world; and to be met with in all the parts of it. Since no forgery whilst new can pass for genuin, and a considerable time is previously necessary to establish its reputation: I think it is evident, that the copy of the Sibyllin Oracles, referr'd to by Justin, must be at least as old, as the Apostolick age. And whether it was then forg'd; in that purest and best age of Christianity, and even whilft the Apostles were living: or whether it be not very unfair, to entertain any fuspicion of that kind, without any manner of evidence, I leave to the judgement of the candid, and impartial reader.

Secondly, the passage in Clement of Alexandria, cited from St. Paul, evidently implies, that the Sibyl foretold the coming of our Saviour: and if we cou'd but prove the passage to be genuine, it wou'd afford us a decisive argument, and be a direct, and full answer to the query propos'd. Take and read Hystaspes (says the author of that passage) and you'll find the son of God describ'd, wou'd that you'll find the son of God describ'd, wou'd that you'll find the son of God describ'd the son of God, tho' not in such express terms, as Hystaspes. When therefore it is said of Sibyl,

Snaor

Λυλοί - τὰ μέλλοντα έσεως, she declares things which are to come, the meaning is, she speaks of a future Messiah (n).

Thirdly, There was a general expectation of the Messiah, for some time before he came, which was founded on some ancient Oracles, or prophecies. What made the Jews engage in a war with the Romans, that prov'd their utter ruin, was, as Fosephus (o) informs us, an ambiguous Oracle. concerning a king that was to arise out of Judea. who was to be the governour of the whole world. Nor was the expectation of a great and mighty king, peculiar to the Jews. Suetonius tells us, An ancient, and constant Opinion had passed currently all over the east; that the fates had decreed, that about that time, there shou'd arise out of Judaa, those who shou'd have the government of the world (p). Tacitus fays, Many were firmly perfuaded, that it was contain'd in the ancient sacerdotal books, that about that time the east should prevail, and that some shou'd arise out of Judaa, who shou'd have the government of the world (q).

It is generally suppos'd, that this notion of a Messiah, or king of the Jews, who was to have

⁽n) Clem. Alex. Strom. 6. c. 5. (o) De Bello Ind. l. 7. c. 31. Vide Sueton. in Vespas. c. 4. • (p) Ibid.

⁽⁹⁾ Hiftor. 1. &

the empire of the world, was deriv'd from the Jewish prophecies; because the Jews were dispers'd all over the eastern countries; were very industrious in gaining of proselytes, and talk'd very much (as it is reasonable to imagine) of their prophetick predictions, concerning the advent of their glorious king, the Messiah. But since the Iews commonly appear'd odious, filly, and contemptible creatures to the Gentiles, amongst whom they liv'd; because they profess'd a quite different religion, and deny'd those to be Gods, whom all Asia, and the world worshipp'd: we cannot fairly suppose, that the Gentiles shou'd give much credit to any Jewish prophecies; and consequently that expectation of a mighty king of Judza, which prevail'd all over the east, must have some other foundation, besides the Jewish prophecies.

The Magi, or wise men, came from the east to worship our Saviour, as soon as he was born: but what was it that gave them information of his nativity? why shou'd they conclude from the appearance of an unusual star, that the king of the Jews was born? In the prophetick writings in the Old Testament, we find no account of that surprizing Phanomenon, that accompanied our Lord's nativity: nor was there any thing of this nature in the Jewish prophecies, at the time when the son of God was incarnate: for, if any of the prophets had foretold the appearance of an extraordinary star, which was to point out, and hang

over

over the place of our Lord's nativity; the Jews must certainly have accompanied the wise men to Bethlehem, and wou'd themselves have ador'd their infant King, and Saviour. Some of the ancients were of opinion (r), that the Magi understood the meaning of the Star's appearance, by the affiltance of Balaam's prophecy; where it is faid, There shall come a star out of Jacob, and a scepter shall arise out of Ifrael, and shall smite the corners of Moab, &c (s). But this is undoubtedly a mistake; for the words do not speak of a star, but of some eminent, and illustrious person, that was to spring from the loyns of Jacob. The wife men were warn'd of God in a Dream, that they shou'd not return to Herod: and perhaps it was by some such divine revelation, that they became acquainted with the birth of the Messiah: however it is evident, that those eastern Magi had some knowledge of the Messiah, or king of the Jews, that was not borrow'd from the prophetick writings amongst the lews.

The Gentiles had prophets of their own, as Clement of Alexandria observes, who deliver'd many things of great importance, that were consistent with truth, and divine revelation. Since our blessed Lord was to be a light to lighten the Gentiles, as well as the glory of the people of

(s) Numb. 24. 17.

⁽r) Vide Iren. 1. 3. c. 9- Tertul. adv. Marc. c. 28.

Israel, it pleased Almighty God to give the Gentiles some previous notices of a Messiah; and frequently made the very enemies of his truth, the instruments of its propagation. Balaam, a diviner or foothfayer, and not a prophet of the Lord (t), foretold the coming of the Messiah. The devils themselves consess'd that Jesus was the Son of God most high (u). The Oracles of the devil were sometimes compell'd to bear testimony to the truth. Justin Martyr tells us, when one went to the Oracle, and enquir'd who were the most pious and religious men. He receiv'd for answer. the Hebrews; who worship God, the self existent King (w). Porphyry also cites some Oracles, that mightily extol the Hebrews, for their wifdom, and knowledge of religion (x). The Oracle of Apollo confess'd, as we learn from Porphyry. that the God of Israel was the true God (v). We are inform'd by the same author, and several others, that many of those Oracles spake highly in commendation of our Saviour, and acknowledg'd him to be the Son of God (z). From these and fuch like oracular responses, as well as from some

SERVICE !

⁽t) Vide Origen. Homil. 30. in Num.

⁽u) Luke 8. 28. Mark 5. 7.

⁽w) Cohortat. ad Gracos.

⁽x) Enseb. praparat. Evangel. l. 9. c. 10.

⁽⁷⁾ Ibid. (2) Euseb. Demonstrat. l. 3. c. 6. Augustin. de Civit. Dei. 1. 19. c. 23. Niceph. Hift. 1. 1.

of the most ancient poets, and philosophers, many of those pretended Sibyllin Oracles have been borrow'd, that we meet with in our present collection.

What those Sibyllin Oracles were, that were purchas'd by Tarquin, or whence they came, we cannot tell. In this however we are certain; that they were of a quite different stamp from ours: and were calculated, as far as it appears, merely to serve the interest of heathenish superstition, and idolatry. About fourscore years before the birth of our Saviour, those ancient Oracles were burnt with the Capitol, where they were deposited: and the Roman senate, in order to repair the loss, sent Embassadours to Samos, Ilium, Erythra, &c. (a); who return'd to Rome, with a new collection of Sibyllin Oracles. This co!lection was mightily increas'd in Augustus's time; who ordered a great many volumes of Oracles to the flames; and at the same time commanded, that all the Sibyllin Oracles that were then in private hands, shou'd be brought into the city Prator under pain of death (b). But this was a command of little fignificancy. There were fo many copies of those Sibyllin Oracles, and difpers'd in so many parts of the world; that it was an absolute impossibility to recover them all.

⁽a) Tacit. Annal. l. 6.

⁽b) Tacit. Annal. 1.6. Justin. Mart. Apol. 2.

Besides those that had them in their own possession, were desirous of keeping them: and since it was an easy matter to conceal them, they run little or no hazard by transgressing of Casar's commands. They afterwards sell into the hands of the Christians, who many times appeal'd to them in their disputes with the heathens; and successfully urg'd their authority, in behalf of the Christian Religion.

The fourth ecloque of Virgil is suppos'd to contain a description of the Messiah, and of the happiness and prosperity of his kingdom, taken from the Sibyllin Oracles. This appears to be the more probable, fince that ecloque was written at a time, when there were a great many copies of Sibyllin Oracles carried to Rome; which Virgil might have the opportunity of perusing, at least before they were examin'd, and committed to the custody of the Quindecemviri. There are some, I know, who understand by the Cumaum carmen, mention'd by Virgil, not the verses of the Sibyl of Cuma, but Hesiod's Golden age: but this interpretation is forc'd, and unnatural; and has nothing but bare conjecture to support it. The poet in that eclogue, has a design to paint the glories of Augustus's reign, and to compliment Pollio upon the birth of a fon: but he builds upon the plan of the Cumaan verse; and let his intentions be what they wou'd, his account of the birth of a great person, and of the happy times that were to enfue,

ensue, is much more applicable to the Messiah, than to Pollio's son, or any one else.

As to the Sibyllin Oracles being corrupted and interpolated by some Christians, I own the charge to be true: but I speak this only with regard to the collection now extant. For that the Sibyllin Oracles were interpolated by the Christians, so early as Celsus's time rests entirely upon his single evidence. But he has been so often convicted of falfity; and has discover'd so much virulent malice and prejudice against the Christians, that very little, or no regard is to be paid to what he afferts. Besides he does not descend to particulars; nor tell us of what kind those interpolations were. He says the Christians had inserted world if βλάσφημα, many and blasphemous things. His meaning is perhaps, that the Sibyllin Oracles appeal'd to by the Christians, spake irreverently, and disrespectfully of the heathen Gods, and deny'd their reality, or existence: but if this be the sole foundation of the charge, he might have carried it a great deal further; and have tax'd the primitive Christians with interpolating the writings, of some of the most ancient poets, and philosophers.



CHAP. XII.

The charge of worshipping a Cross accounted for.

SINCE there is no manner of difficulty in accounting for the remaining Calumnies, I shall but just mention the original causes of them, before I dismiss my reader.

The primitive Christians were accus'd of worshipping a Cross, because they frequently made
use of the sign of the Cross. Wherever they were,
or whatever business they were about, they made
the sign of the Cross upon their foreheads (a).
The Signaculum Crucis was to them, a memorial of
their blessed Saviour's sufferings, and a badge of
their Christian profession. Besides, they sound by
experience, that unclean Spirits were cast out
not only by the invocation of the holy name of
Jesus, but by the sign of his passion (b). They
also observ'd, that if a Christian happen'd to be
present at the heathen sacrifices, and sign'd him-

⁽a) Tertul. de Corona Mil. c. 3.

The charge of Cross-Worship, &c. 225

felf with the fign of the Cross, tho' never so privately; the southsayers or diviners cou'd make no discovery, by looking into the entrails of beasts (c). For these reasons, the primitive Christians not only made use of the sign of the Cross, but also had an honourable, and reverential esteem for it. But to say that they paid adoration to a Cross, is a base calumny; and contrary to the express declarations of the primitive Christians (d).

Tertullian has affign'd the reasons (e), why the Christians were charg'd with worshipping the Sun. viz. their turning their faces towards the East in time of prayer (which was a very ancient, and early usage amongst the Christians) and their keeping their weekly sestival upon the dies Solis, or

Sunday.

The Christians were said to be the cause of all the signal calamities that befel mankind, First, because the heathens took them to be a most prophane, irreligious, and atheistical set of people. They thought their gods wou'd never suffer such capital wickedness to escape with impunity; but must pour down their hottest vengeance upon the world, for suffering such execrable monsters to live in it. Hence whenever there happen'd a pestilence,

(c) Ibid. de Morte Persecutor. c. 10.

(e) Tertul. Apol. c. 16.

⁽d) Cruces etiam nec colimus, nec optamus. Min. Fel. p. 284.

226 The charge of Cross-Worship

a famine, a deluge of waters, or any other extraordinary, and publick calamity, immediately it was the common cry, Christianos ad Leones. Drag the Christians away to the Lyons. Some of the Christian writers substantially prov'd (f), that the world was visited with as severe calamities, before the coming of our Saviour, as it was afterwards: but this was little credited, fince the humour then prevail'd, as indeed it has done at all times, of extolling the happiness, and prosperity of past age. and magnifying them beyond the prefent. Besides, Secondly, This charge was urg'd with the greater vehemence, because the infidels found their account in it. It gave them an opportunity of plurdering, and destroying those whom they hated. Proclaim a man an enemy to mankind, and you take away all tenderness, and pity towards him. and have it in your power, to facrifice him when vou please.

As to the charge of an unfociable refervedness and taciturnity, it had this foundation. The Christians cou'd not with a safe conscience keep up an intimate acquaintance, and familiarity with the insidels, who were wholely given up to the grossest idolatry. Nor could they cultivate an open familiarity, without exposing themselves to

⁽f) Vide Orof. contra Pagan.

many dangers, and temporal inconveniencies. This made them shun society, and choose a melancholy retirement: and tho' this was done for the preservation of their innocence, and the security of their lives, and fortunes; yet their enemics imputed it to ill nature and moroseness, and a hattred towards mankind.

That abominable and beastly charge of worshipping the Genitals of the priests, was evidently
occasion'd thus. The Penitents, before they were
re-admitted into the Church, us'd to kneel down
before the priest (g); and with hearty humiliation
and sorrow, confess their faults, and earnestly beg,
that they might be no longer excluded from the
society of the faithful. This had been observ'd
by some wicked and malicious heathens; who
took hold of the remotest hints, and the slightest
appearances, in order to abuse, and calumniate
the innocent Christians.

Lastly. The charge of obstinacy, and desperation, madness, and folly, was deriv'd from that invincible courage, and patience of the Christians, under all their sufferings, and persecutions for righteousness sake. To suffer the greatest torments, and even death it self, not only with patience, but with pleasure; when they might have

⁽g) Vide Tertul. de Panitent. c. 9.

228 The charge of Cross-Worship

liv'd at ease, and enjoy'd all the comforts and conveniencies of life, was, in the opinion of the heathens, the effect of some incurable phrenzy, and unaccountable infatuation.

FINIS.

Lately Publish'd,

'A Discourse of the Pretended Apostolical Constitutions; wherein, All the Principal EVIDENCE, both External and Internal, brought by Mr. Whiston, in his Essay on those Books, to prove them Genuine, is Examin'd, and Consuted. By ROB. TURNER, M. A. Vicar of St. Peter's in Colchesser.

BOOKS Printed for R. and J. Bonwicke, and R. Wilkin, in St. Paul's Church-yard; J. Walthoe, and T. Ward, in the Temple.

Bishop Bull's Sermons, with his Life. By Mr. Nelson. 4 Vollumes Octavo.

Bohun's Geographical Dictionary, 4th Edition. To which are added, the General Pracognita of Geography, and the Doctrine of the Sphere: With an Alphabetical Table, of the most noted Rivers and Mountains in England and Wales; Shewing the Rise, Course and Falls, of the First, and the Situation and Extent of the Latter. By John Bernard, sometime Fellow of Brazen-Nose-College, Oxon. Folio.

Cole's Dictionary, English and Latin, 10th Edition, Corrected.

- English Dictionary, Octavo.

Cotton's Poetical Works: Containing, I. Scarronides, or Virgil Travessie, II. Lucian Burlesqu'd, or the Scoffer Scoft, III. The Wonders of the Peake. Illustrated with Cutts, the 2d Edition, Corrected, Duodecimo.

Lady Chudleigh's Essays upon several Subjects, in Prose and

Verse, Octavo.

Cambridge Concordance, 5th Edition, Corrected, Folio.

Common Place-Book to the Bible: Or the Scripture's Sufficiency, Practically Demonstrated: Wherein the Substance of Scripture, respecting Doctrine, Worship and Manners, is reduced to its proper Head: Weighty Cases are resolved, Truths confirmed, and difficult Texts Illustrated and Explained, 3d Edition Corrected and Improved with twelve intire additional Chapters, Quarto.

Dionis's Anatomy of Humane Bodies, 2d Edition, Octavo.

Dupin's Universal Library of Historians, Done into English from the Paris Edition, 2 Vols. Octavo.

Echard's Roman History, 9th Edition 5 Vols. Octavo.

Erasmi Familiaria Colloquia, Cum Scholiis quibusdam perquam eruditis, qua dissiciora passim loca diligenter explicant. Denuò Recensua & Emendata, Octavo.

Farnaby's Rhetorick, English and Latin, Ostavo.

Feltham's Resolves, Divine, Moral, and Political, 12th Edition, Octavo.

Guillim's Display of Heraldry, the 6th Edition: Improv'd with large Additions of many hundred Coats of Arms, under their respective Bearings, with good Authorities from the Ashmolean Library, Sir George Mackenzie, erc. With his Tract of Precedency, containing all his Rules, Observations, Arguments, and chief Instances, To which is added a Treatise of Honour Military and Civil, according to the Laws and Customs of England; By Capt. John Logan, Illustrated with the Arms, Cress, Supporters and Motto's of the Royal

Royal Family, and Nobility; the Arms of the Sees of the English Bishops, and several of the Gentry, together with the Proper Habits of the different Degrees of the Nobility of England, and the Emblems of the chief Orders of Knighthood in Europe; all fairly engraven on Copper Plates: 'Also an exact List' of the Baronets, 'from their field Creation to this present Time; and most of their arms Blazon'd. With an Account of the Custome, Government and Privileges of the City of London, the other Cities of England, and Shire-Towns of each County, and their Arms. Likewise a Supplement of Scarce Tracts relating to the Office of Arms, taken from Authentick Copies. And a Dictionary, explaining the several Terms used by Heralds, in English, Latin and French: With Proper Tables to the Whole, Folio.

The Life of Guzman d'Alfarache: Or the Spanish Rogue. To which is added, the Celebrated Tragi-Comedy, Celestona, in two Vols. Written in 'panish: by Mateo Aleman: Done into English from the New French Version, and compar'd with the Original: by several Hands: Adorn'd with Sculptures, by Gasfar Bouttats, Octavo.

Gentleman's Recreation, 2d Edition, with large Additions, Folio.

—— Dictionary, in three Parts, viz. I. The Art of Riving the Great Horse: II. The Military Art: III. The Art of Navigation. Each Part done Alphabetically, from the fixteenth Edition of the Original French, Published by the Sieur Guillet, with the Addition of above forty curious Cutts, that were not in the Original.

Goodman's Penitent Pardon'd, 8th Edition Corrected, Octavo.

Winter-Evening Conference between Neighbours, in three

Parts, Tith Edition, Corrected, Octavo

Old Religion, 4th Edition, Duodecimo,

History of England, by several Hands, 2d Edition, with large Additions 3 Vols. Folio

Hippocrates's Aphorisms, in English, By Sir J Springal, M. D Octavo. Complete Horseman, by the Sieur Sollysell, made English, from the eighth Edition of the Original: By Sir William Hope, Folio.

Compleat Horseman Abridg'd; 3d Edition Corrected, Offavo.

Littleton's Dictionary, 5th Edition, Quarto.

Livii Historia: 2 Vols Octave.

Lives of the Lords Chancellors, the 2d Edition with Additions, 2 Vols. Ostavo.

Leguat's Voyage to the East Indies, Ottavo.

L'Estrange's Alliance of Divine Ostices, Exhibiting all the Liturgies of the Church of England, since the Reformation: As also the late Scotch Service-Book, with all their respective Variations: And upon them all Annotations, Vindicating the Book of Common-Prayer, from the main Objections of its Adversaries: Together with the Order of the Communion; Set forth 2 Edward VI. the 3d Edition. In this Edition are added, the Form of Ordination, erc. Additions and Alterations in the Liturgy, erc Prayers in the Convocation: Form of Prayer used in K. Charles the Second's Chapel

Chapel at the Hague. Prayers in the Time of an Invasion. Prayers at the Healing, Folio.

Le Clerc's Compleat Surgeon, with Bandages, Duodecimo.

- Treatise of Osteology, Duodecimo.

The Life and Adventures of Lazerello de Tormes, Written by himself: Translated from the Originial Spanish, and Illustrated with twenty curious Copper Cutts. In two Parts, 2d Edition Corrected.

The Mechanism of Fire made in Chimneys: Or, the Art of Improving the Effects, and Diminishing the Expences thereof: Containing, A Freatise of New-invented Chimneys that afford more Heat than the others, and are not subject to Smoak, &c Set forth in French, by Monser Gauger: The entire Work faithfully Franslated into English, from the last Paris Edition, and Revis'd by several skilful Hands, so as to render it suitable to the Genius and Capacity of our Workmen. To which is added, all the Author's Original Draughts Corrected and fairly Engraved on Copper-plates, with a large Alphabetical Table, explaining near two Hundred Terms of Art, and other hard Words in the Treatise.

Machiavell's Works, 3d Edition Corrected, Folio

Mission's new Voyage to Italy: With curious Observations on several other Countries; as Germany. Swuzerland, Savoy, Geneva, Flanders and Holland; Together with useful Instructions for those who shall Travel thither, in 4 Vols. the 4th Edition, with large Additions throughout the whole, and adorn'd with several new Figures, Octavo.

Countess of Morton's Daily Devotions,

Nelfon's Companion for the Festivals and Fasts of the Church of England, 13th Edition, Offavo.

Great Duty of frequenting Christian Sacrifice, 8th Editi-

on, Duodecimo.

Poiret's Divine Œconomy, 6 Vols. Octavo.

Puffendorf's Law of Nature and Nations, with Monsieur Barby-

rac's Notes, English'd by Dr. Basil Kennet, 3d Edition, Folio.

Pomet's Compleat History of Druggs: To which is added, what is farther observable on the same Subject, from Mess. Lemery and Tournefort, divided into three Classes, Vegetable, Animal and Mineral; with their Use in Physick, Chymistry, Pharmacy, and several other Arts, Illustrated with above four Hundred Copper Cutts, curiously done from the Life; and an Explanation of their different Names, Places of Growth, and Countries from whence they are brought; the way to know the True from the False; their Virtues, &c. Done into English from the Original, 2d Edition, Quarto.

Patrick's Devout Christian, Duodecimo.

— Christian Sacrifice, Duodecimo.

- Pfalms, Duodecimo.

- Advice to a Friend, Duodecimo.

- Grotius of the Truth of the Christian Religion, Octavo.

--- Help to Young Communicants, Twentyfours. Plot's Natural History of Oxfordshire, Felio.

Quesnel's Moral Restections on the New Testament, 4 Vols. Octave. Rablais's Works, 2 Vols Octave.

Rapin's Critical Works, 2d Edition, 2 Vols. Octavo.

Schrevelii Lexicon, Greek & Latin, Octavo.

Solitary or Carthusian Gardiner, 3 Parts, Octavo.

Sprat's Sermons on several Occasions, Octavo.

Sparks's Primitive Devotions on the Feasts and Fasts of the Church; 8th Edition, Octavo.

Talent's Cronological Tables, Folio.

Taylor's Holy Living and Dying, 23d Edition, Octavo.

- Golden Grove, Duodecimo.

Tournefort's Compleat Herbal, Illustrated with above five hundred

Copper Plates Curiously Engraven, Quarto.

Turner de Morbis Cutaneis. A Treatise of Diseases incident to the skin: In Two Parts, with a short Appendix concerning the Efficacy of Local Remedies, and the Manner of their Operations, 3d Edi-

tion very much Enlarged.

— Syphilis, A Practical Treatife on the Venereal Disease, in two Parts, 2d Edition with large Additions, together with some Remarks on Dr. Willoughby's Translation of Monsseur Chicayneau's Method of Cure, which he entitles, the Practice of Salivating shewn to be of no use or efficacy in the Cure of the Venereal Disease, but greatly prejudicial thereunto. And also a Letter from Mr. Samuel Palmer, to the Author of the said Remarks, on the Subject abovementioned, Ostavo.

Voyage to the Levant, by the Sieur du Mont. Octavo.

Veneroni's Italian Grammer, Oftavo.

Vauguion's Compleat Body of Chirurgical Operations, 3d Edition, Oftavo.

Willymot's Peculiar Use and Signification of certain Words in the Latin Tongue, 2d Edition, Octavo.

Wilkin's Natural Religion, 8th Edition, Octavo. Whear's Method of Reading History, Octavo.

Wall's History of Infant Baptism, 3d Edition, 2 Vols. Octavo.

- Defence of the History of Infant Baptism, Octavo.

Wells's New Sett of Maps, both of Antient and Present Geography; wherein not only the Latitude and Longitude of many Places are Corrected, according to the latest Observations; but also the most remarkable Differences of Antient and Present Geography may be quickly discern'd by a bare Inspection or comparing of correspondent Maps, which seems to be the most natural and easy Method to lead young Studients (for whose Use the Work is principally intended) unto a competent Knowledge of the Geographical Science; together, with a Geographical Treatise; Particularly adopted to the Use and Design of these Maps.











